Weighted Fejér Constants and Fekete Sets

Á. P. Horváth [*]

Abstract

We indicate the connections among the Fekete sets, the zeros of orthogonal polynomials, $1(w)$-normal point systems, and the nodes of an interpolatory process which is called stable and the most economical, via the Fejér constants. Finally the convergence of a weighted Grünwald interpolation is proved.

1   Introduction

L. Fejér introduced the so-called Hermite-Fejér interpolatory process, and in 1934 he gave the definition of normal- and ϱ-normal system of nodes for which the Hermite-Fejér interpolation is a positive interpolatory process. The surprisingly nice convergence properties of Lagrange, Hermite and Hermite-Fejér operators on ϱ-normal systems were proved by L. Fejér, G. Grünwald, etc. On the other hand the experiences in electrostatics ensure a system of nodes: the Fekete set, which has uniform distribution in some sense, hence it must be a good set for interpolation. The properties of the system of zeros of orthogonal polynomials are very similar to the properties of the Fekete points, as it it well-known. From another point of view, Egerváry and Turán asked, if it is possible to find an interpolatory process and a system of nodes together where the interpolatory polynomial has minimal degree, and the operator has minimal norm. The above-mentioned point systems can be a suitable system of nodes for an interpolatory process in general sense and also with respect to the Egerváry-Turán problem.

The primary aim of this paper is to reconsider the connections among these sets of nodes, and interpolatory problems. Former results can be found e.g. in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [10]. In the next section, we will summarize and reformulate these results, furtheremore we complete them, when the original statements are proved only in the classical cases. It will be pointed out, that in these equivalences the so-called Fejér constants (see(3)) play the key role, that is to

say that the characterization of this special system of nodes is ensured by the Fejér constants.

As an application of the results of the second section, in the third section we prove a convergence theorem on Grünwald interpolatory process on the real line for Freud-type weights. As it turned out, giving the weighted Fekete sets with respect to a fixed weight is difficult. (However, there are several methods of giving approximating Fekete sets.) The zeros of orthogonal polynomials are Fekete sets for some varying weights. Unfortunately these varying weights tend to zero locally uniformly, so interpolation on Fekete sets in this sense gives only trivial (convergent) processes. The investigation of these weights at infinity leads to define a weighted Grünwald operator (see (11)), which has rather good convergence properties. Comparing this result with the previous ones of [8], [13], it turns out that the convergence is valid here for a wider function class.

2 Connections

At first we give the definition of classes of weights in question.

Definition 1 *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a closed set. w is quasi-admissible on Σ, if it is non-negative, upper semi-continuous, and if Σ is unbounded, $\lim_{\substack{|z|\to\infty \\ z\in\Sigma}} |z|w(z) = 0$. It is admissible, if $\mathrm{cap}\{z \in \Sigma : w(z) > 0\} > 0$. Let us call an admissible weight as approximating on $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, if it has finite moments, it is twice differentiable and $\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)\right)'' \geq 0$ on (a,b), and if a is finite, then $\lim_{x\to a+} \frac{w(x)}{x-a} = 0$, and if b is finite, then $\lim_{x\to b-} \frac{w(x)}{b-x} = 0$.*

Definition 2 *[11]III.1 Let w be a quasi-admissible weight on a closed set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_n$ are called n-th weighted Fekete sets associated with w, if the supremum below is attained at the set $\mathcal{F}_{n,w} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.*

$$d_{n,w} = \sup_{z_1,\ldots,z_n\in\Sigma} d_{n,w}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$$

$$= \sup_{z_1,\ldots,z_n\in\Sigma} \left(\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} |z_i - z_j| w(z_i)w(z_j)\right)^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}} \tag{1}$$

Usually these points are not unique, but in one dimension by some restrictions on the weight, uniqueness can be proved. In the classical, unweighted case on $[-1,1]$, the result is proved by Popoviciu (cf. [14] Ch 6.7 p. 139., and the reference therein). In weighted case, after some restrictions on the weight a representation of Fekete points was given by M. E. H. Ismail ([5] Thms. 2.1, 2.4), which ensures the unicity of the Fekete sets as well. In the following we will investigate the one-dimensional case.

Now let us discuss the weighted Lagrange interpolatory polynomials on a system of nodes $X = \{x_{k,n}, k = 1, \ldots, n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $l_k(x) = \frac{\omega(x)}{\omega'(x_k)(x-x_k)}$,

where $\omega(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n}(x - x_k)$ (denoting $x_k = x_{k,n}, k = 1, \ldots, n$) the fundamental polynomials of the Lagrange interpolation, and let $w(x) = e^{-Q(x)}$ be an approximating weight. The properties of $L_{k,w,X}(x) = L_{k,w}(x) = w(x)\frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)}$ will be investigated. It is clear, that $L_{k,w}(x_k) = 1$, that is the sup-norm of this weighted polynomial is at least 1. If this sup-norm is equal to one, then $L_{k,w}$ has a maximum at the point x_k, that is

$$(L_{k,w})'(x_k) = w(x)\frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)}\left(\frac{w'(x_k)}{w(x_k)} + \frac{2l_k'(x_k)}{l_k(x_k)}\right)$$

$$= w(x)\frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)}\left(-Q'(x_k) + \frac{\omega''}{\omega'}(x_k)\right) = 0, \qquad (2)$$

which ensures that

$$C_{k,w} := C_{k,w,X} = \frac{\omega''}{\omega'}(x_k) + \frac{w'}{w}(x_k) = 0. \qquad (3)$$

This is the case, when X is a Fekete set with respect to $w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}$, namely

$$L_{k,w,X} = \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}}\left((x - x_l)^2 w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x)w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x_l)\right)}{\prod_{\substack{1 \le l \le n \\ l \ne k}}\left((x_k - x_l)^2 w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x_k)w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x_l)\right)}$$

$$\times \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n \\ i,j \ne l}}\left((x_i - x_j)^2 w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x_i)w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x_j)\right)}{\prod_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n \\ i,j \ne l}}\left((x_i - x_j)^2 w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x_i)w^{\frac{2}{2(n-1)}}(x_j)\right)} \le 1,$$

because in the denominator appears $d_{n,w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}}^{n(n-1)}$.

It will turn out in the following, that the behavior of the constants $C_{k,w}$ as an indicator, shows the properties of the point systems, interpolatory systems and operators. Emphasizing the importance of these constants, let us call them "**Fejér constants**".

Following carefully the proof of the above mentioned theorem of Ismail ([5],Thm. 2.1), we get the following

Proposition 1 *Let w be an approximating weight on an interval (a,b). Then $d_{n,w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}}^{n(n-1)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ attains its maximum on (a,b) at a unique set $\mathcal{F}_{n,w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}}$, for which the following characterization is valid.*

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad C_{k,w} = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. \qquad (4)$$

At first we have to note here, that finite moments are not necessary in this statement. According to Ismail [5], the proof of this theorem is the following: taking the partial derivatives of $\log d_{n,w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}}^{n(n-1)}$, it turns out, that

$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \log d_{n,w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}}^{n(n-1)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0$ $j = 1,\ldots,n$, if and only if $C_{k,w} = 0$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$. Computing the Hessian, it can be seen, that $-H$ is always positive definite, so recalling the boundary condition on w, we get that the maximum-set is unique, that is it is the unique solution of the equation system: $C_{k,w} = 0$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$. Independently of the previous chain of ideas, an elementary proof on uniqueness can be given.

Proposition 2 *Let w be an admissible, continuous weight on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\log \frac{1}{w}$ is convex. Then the associated weighted Fekete sets are unique.*

Proof: Assume that $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are Fekete points with respect to w enumerated in increasing order, and let $z_i = \frac{x_i+y_i}{2}$. Then because of the ordering of the points, and the log-convexity of the weight, by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$|z_i - z_j|w(z_i)w(z_j) = \left| \frac{(x_i - x_j) + (y_i - y_j)}{2} \right| w\left(\frac{x_i + y_i}{2} \right) w\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{|x_i - x_j| + |y_i - y_j|}{2} w\left(\frac{x_i + y_i}{2} \right) w\left(\frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right)$$

$$\geq \sqrt{|x_i - x_j|}\sqrt{|y_i - y_j|}\sqrt{w(x_i)}\sqrt{w(y_i)}\sqrt{w(x_j)}\sqrt{w(y_j)},$$

where the inequality is an equality if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all indices, wich establishes the uniqueness.

For special weights, the Fekete sets are the zeros of some orthogonal polynomials (cf. [5], [4]). Before setting the precise statement we need some definitions.

Definition 3 *Let $w = e^{-Q}$ be an approximating weight on (a,b). Let*

$$A_n(x) = \varrho_n \int_a^b p_{n,w}^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(t) - Q'(x)}{t - x}dt, \tag{5}$$

where $p_{n,w} = \gamma_n x^n + \ldots$ is the nth orthonormal polynomial with respect to w, and $\varrho_n = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n}$

Now we can define our weights:

Definition 4 *Let w be as in the previous definition.*

$$w_n(x) = \frac{w(x)\varrho_n}{A_n(x)} \tag{6}$$

In the following investigations the constant ϱ_n doesn't play role, but it will be important in connection with a convergence theorem in the next section. Let us see some examples of $\frac{A_n(x)}{\varrho_n}$ ([5]), which in classical cases are different only in normalization from the weights w_1 ([10]), for which the derivatives of $p_{n,w}$-s are orthogonal :

Example:

(1) If $w = e^{-x^2}$,
$$\frac{A_n(x)}{\varrho_n} = 2,$$
that is $w_n = \frac{1}{2}w$ independently of n and x, and here $w_1 = w = 2w_n$.

(2) If $w = x^\alpha e^{-x}$,
$$\frac{A_n(x)}{\varrho_n} = \frac{1}{x},$$
that is $w_n = x^{\alpha+1}e^{-x} = xw$ independently of n, and here $w_1 = w_n$.

(3) If $w = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$,
$$\frac{A_n(x)}{\varrho_n} = \frac{\alpha + \beta + 1 + 2n}{1 - x^2},$$
that is $w_n = \frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+1+2n}(1-x)^{\alpha+1}(1+x)^{\beta+1}$, and here $w_1 = (\alpha+\beta+1+2n)w_n$.

(4) If $w = e^{-x^4}$,
$$\frac{A_n(x)}{\varrho_n} = 2(x^2 + \varrho_n^2 + \varrho_{n+1}^2),$$
that is $w_n = \frac{1}{2(x^2+\varrho_n^2+\varrho_{n+1}^2)}w$.

From another point of view w_n has also an importance. Denoting by $p_n\sqrt{w_n} = z_n$, it satisfies the following differential equation with some Φ_n (cf. [9], Th. 3.6.):

$$z_n''(x) + \Phi_n(x)z_n(x) = 0 \tag{7}$$

In the next statement we reformulate the results of Ismail, Rutka and Smarzewski (cf. [5], [10]).

Proposition 3 *Let w_n be as in the definitions above, and let us assume that w_n is an approximating weight. Then*

$$C_{k,w_n} = 0, \quad k = 1,\ldots,n \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \{x_k\} \quad \text{the zeros of} \quad p_{n,w} \tag{8}$$

The proof of this statement depends on the differential equation of orthogonal polynomials. The equation system on C_k-s means that the differential equation fulfils at the points x_k, $k = 1,\ldots,n$. In the classical cases, it is a Sturm-Liouville equation, that is there are polynomials of degree n in the differential equation, which is realized at n points. In the general case uniqueness is used.

Normal and ϱ-normal point systems were introduced on $[-1, 1]$ by L. Fejér in 1934 ([2]). The weighted analogue of this definition was given in [3]. The original aim of these definitions was assuring the positivity of the Hermite-Fejér interpolatory operator. The limit case, when $\varrho = 1$ was investigated on the weighted real line in [4]. Only this last definition is cited only here.

Definition 5 *Let w be an approximating weight on (a, b). A system of nodes $X = \{x_{k,n}, k = 1, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $1(w)$-normal, if there is an $L > 1$ such that*

$$|x_{k,n}| < La_n, \tag{9}$$

where a_n is the M-R-S number, and

$$w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} \leq 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{10}$$

where $l_k(x)$-s are the fundamental polynomials of the Lagrange interpolation.

In this definition the kernel function of the Grünwald operator appears. Here we will follow the notations of [8] and [13], that is the weighted Grünwald operator on the nodes $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ with respect to an f is

$$w(x)Y_n(f, x) = w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} l_k^2(x) f(x_k) \tag{11}$$

Mostly the boundedness of the operator-norm ensures the convergence of the interpolatory process. The boundedness by one, is a very special criterion. This is the case for instance, when the reciprocal of the weight function has non-negative even order derivatives, and the Grünwald operator coincides with the Hermite-Fejér one. Also in this chain of ideas the Fejér constants play the key role. More precisely, with the notations above, the weighted Hermite interpolatory polinomial (with some weight w) of a differentiable function can be expressed as (cf. [4])

$$w(x)H_n(f, f', x) = w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(1 - C_{k,w}(x - x_k))l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)}(fw)(x_k)$$

$$+w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(x - x_k)l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)}(fw)'(x_k), \tag{12}$$

and the corresponding weighted Hermite-Fejér operator is

$$w(x)H_{n,w}(f, x) = w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(1 - C_{k,w}(x - x_k))l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)}(fw)(x_k), \tag{13}$$

which coincides with the weighted function at the nodes $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$, and which has zero derivatives at the nodes. Furthermore by the definition of the Fejér

constants, $H_{n,w}(f,x)$ is the (unweighted) Hermite interpolatory polynomial of $\frac{1}{w}$. So when the Fejér constants are zero

$$Y_{n,w}(x) := w(x)Y_n\left(\frac{1}{w},x\right) = w(x)\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} = w(x)H_{n,w}(\frac{1}{w},x)$$

$$= w(x)\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1-C_{k,w}(x-x_k))l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} = w(x)H_n\left(\frac{1}{w},\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)',x\right) \qquad (14)$$

is the Hermite interpolatory polynomial of $\frac{1}{w}$ with respect to the nodes: $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^n$. So the following connections are established.

Proposition 4 *Let w be a weight as above.*
If a system of nodes $\{x_k\}$ is $1(w)$-normal, then $C_{k,w} = 0$, $\quad k = 1,\ldots,n$.
On the other hand, let us suppose further, that $\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{2n} \geq 0$ on $|x| \leq La_n$. Now
if $C_{k,w} = 0$, $\quad k = 1,\ldots,n$ then the system of nodes is $1(w)$-normal.

Proof: If $\{x_k\}$ is $1(w)$-normal, then $w(x)\frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} \leq 1$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$ (see (10)), so $C_{k,w} = 0$, $\quad k = 1,\ldots,n$. According to (14), by the error formula of the Hermite interpolation, it is clear, that $1 - w(x)\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} \geq 0$, when $\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{(2n)} \geq 0$ on $|x| \leq La_n$.

The Egerváry-Turán interpolatory problem (cf. [10], and the references therein) is to find an interpolatory process of the lowest degree, and of the smallest norm. Below we denote by $\hat{l}_k(x)$ each polynomial of arbitrary degree for which $\hat{l}_k(x_i) = \delta_{ki}$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$.

Definition 6 *Let w be as in Definition 5. The interpolatory system of polynomials $\hat{l}_k(x)$, $k = 1,\ldots,n$ is w-stable on (a,b) if for all $y_1,\ldots,y_n \geq 0$*

$$0 \leq w(x)\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\hat{l}_k(x)}{w(x_k)}y_k \leq \max_k y_k, \quad x \in (a,b). \qquad (15)$$

A w-stable interpolatory system on (a,b) is most economical, if

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \deg\left(\hat{l}_k(x)\right) \qquad (16)$$

is minimal.

Let us remark that if the weight function tends to zero quickly at the boundary points of the fundamental interval, then the w-stability of the Grünwald operator coincides with the $1(w)$-normality of the nodes. It is proved for all the classical weights (cf. [10], Thm. 2.3), that an interpolatory system is w_n-stable and most economical, if and only if it is the Grünwald operator on the zeros of

$p_{n,w}$. From the previous investigations, similarly to the classical cases, we can state the parallel theorem for general weights.

Let us denote by

$$I_{n,w}(x) := w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{l}_k(x)}{w(x_k)}$$

Proposition 5 *Let w be an approximating weight on an interval (a, b). If $I_n(x)$ is w-stable and most economical, then*

$$C_{k,w} = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{17}$$

Let us assume further that $\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{(2n)} \geq 0$ on $|x| \leq La_n$. If $C_{k,w} = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n,$ then

$$I_n(x) = Y_{n,w}(x) \quad \text{is } w\text{-stable and most economical} \tag{18}$$

Proof: As it was pointed out eg. in [10], if an interpolatory process $I_n(x)$ is w-stable and most economical, it must be the Grünwald operator, because by the positivity of the operator, $\hat{l}_k(x)$ has zeros at the points $x_i, i = 1, \ldots, n, i \neq k$ of even multiplicity, that is $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \deg\left(\hat{l}_k(x)\right) \geq 2n(n-1)$. It is realized by $Y_{n,w}$. As it was shown in Statement 4, if $Y_{n,w}$ has maxima at x_k-s then C_k-s are zero. The opposite direction also derives from Statement 4.

Finally enumerating the properties discussed above, we can summarize these results as it follows.

(**A**) $C_{k,w} = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n$ (**A$'$**) $C_{k,w_n} = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n$
(**B**) $\mathcal{F}_{n,w^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ (**B$'$**) $\mathcal{F}_{n,w_n^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$
(**C**) $p_{n,w}(x_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n$
(**D**) $Y_{n,w}(x)$ is w-stable and most economical
(**D$'$**) $Y_{n,w_n}(x)$ is w_n-stable and most economical
(**E**) $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is $1(w)$-normal   (**E$'$**) $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is $1(w_n)$-normal

Through the equivalence of all the above mentioned properties with property (**A**) (or (**A$'$**)), that is the Fejér constants are zero, one can get

Corollary:

Let w be an admissible, approximating weight on an interval (a, b). If $\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{(2n)} \geq 0$ on (a, b), then (**A**),(**B**),(**D**),(**E**) are equivalent, and if $\left(\frac{1}{w_n}\right)^{(2n)} \geq 0$ on (a, b), then (**A$'$**), (**B$'$**), (**C**), (**D$'$**), (**E$'$**) are equivalent.

We have to show an example on the second assumption .

Example: Let

$$Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} d_k x^{2k}, \qquad d_k \geq 0, \ k = 1, \ldots, m, \tag{19}$$

and let $w(x) = e^{-Q(x)}$. For these special Freud-type weights $\left(\frac{1}{w_n}\right)^{(2n)} \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to the Leibniz rule it is enough to show that $\left(\frac{A_n}{\varrho_n}\right)^{(j)} \left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{(2n-j)} > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$. Because

$$\frac{\partial^j \left(\bar{Q}(t,x)\right)}{\partial x^j} = \sum_{k=1}^m 2kd_k \frac{\partial^j \left(\frac{t^{2k-1}-x^{2k-1}}{t-x}\right)}{\partial x^j} = \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil + 1}^m 2kd_k \sum_{l=j}^{2k-2} b_l t^{2k-2-l} x^{l-j},$$

where b_l-s are positive, taking into consideration that w is an even weight function, (and so $p_n^2(w)$ is also even), one can see that

$$\left(\frac{A_n}{\varrho_n}\right)^{(j)} = \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil + 1}^m 2kd_k \sum_{l=j}^{2k-2} b_l \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_n^2(w,t)w(t)t^{2k-2-l}dt x^{l-j}$$

is a polynomial of x with nonnegative coefficients, and all the exponents of this polynomial are even if j is even and are odd if j is odd. By a simple induction one can see that

$$\left(\frac{1}{w(x)}\right)^{(j)} = p(j,x)e^{Q(x)},$$

where $p(j,x)$ is a polynomial having the same properties as the previous one. Because j and $2n-j$ have the same parity,

$$\left(\frac{1}{w_n}\right)^{(2n)}(x) = p(x)e^{Q(x)},$$

where $p(x)$ is a polynomial with even exponents and positive coefficients, so it is positive on the real line for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally we have to remark that the assumption $\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)^{(2n)} \geq 0$ seems to be assymetric, and it is necessary only because of the method of the proof by Hermite interpolation. The question that can it be weakened or not, is unsolved yet.

3 Interpolation

In this section, let $w = e^{-Q}$ be a three times continuously differentiable Freud weight on $\mathbb{R}$, that is we suppose that Q is even, $Q' > 0$ on $(0,\infty)$, and for some $A, B \geq 2$; $A \leq \frac{(xQ'(x))'}{Q \cdot (x)} \leq B$ on $(0,\infty)$, moreover there is a constant c such that for every $|x| \geq 1$, $\left|\frac{xQ^{(3)}(x)}{Q''(x)}\right| \leq c$. By these assumptions there is a $d \geq 1$ such that $Q''(x) \geq \frac{1-(B-1)^2-c(B-1)}{x^2}$, when $|x| \geq d$. Now we can define

Definition 7 *With $d > 1$ given above, let*

$$\tilde{w}(x) = \begin{cases} w(x), |x| \leq 1 \\ w(x)\frac{x}{Q'(x)}, |x| \geq d \\ \text{twice continuously differentiable, elsewhere} \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Furthermore we assume that $\log\frac{1}{\tilde{w}}$ has positive and continuous first and second derivatives on $(0, \infty)$.

Let us remark at first that $Q'(1) \leq Q'(d) + \frac{d}{Q'(d)}\left(\frac{Q'(x)}{x}\right)'(d)$, because Q' is increasing, and the second member of the right-hand side is positive, when $A \geq 2$. That is a suitable connection can be defined between the two parts of $\log\frac{1}{\tilde{w}}$.

As usually we define

Definition 8

$$C_{\tilde{w}} = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R})|\lim_{|x|\to\infty}(f\tilde{w})(x) = 0$$

Let $Y_n(f, \cdot)$ be as in (11), the Grünwald operator on the zeros of $p_{n,w}$. Now we have the following

Theorem 1 *Let $f \in C_{\tilde{w}}$ Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|(Y_n(f) - f)\tilde{w}\| = 0 \tag{21}$$

Comparing this theorem with Cor. 2. of [13], we can see, that we have two different weights in this theorem, but when $A \geq 2$, then the function class is wider here, that is the functions can grow more quickly at infinity.

The previous definition of the weight was inspirated by the next lemma. Investigating the weights w_n from the previous section, it turns out, that however w_n tends to zero locally uniformly when n tends to infinity, the behavior of w_n-s are the same at infinity. It means, that the Grünwald operator on Fekete points with respect to the varying weights w_n has trivial convergence properties, but it allows to find a non-trivial process, as it is given in the theorem.

The following estimation of A_n is valid.

Lemma 1 *Let w be as above, and let $A \geq 2$. Let L_0 be a constant such that $\frac{L_0}{2}a_n > a_{2n+[A-1]+1}$. For every $L > L_0$*

$$\frac{A_n(x)}{\varrho_n} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{n}{a_n^2}, & \text{if } |x| \leq La_n \\ \frac{Q'(x)}{x}, & \text{if } |x| \geq La_n \end{cases}, \tag{22}$$

where the constants in "$\sim$" depend only on L, but they are independent of n.

Proof:

At first we have to note that such an L_0 exists by [7] 5.9. The first line of the inequality is proved by H. N. Mhaskar ([9], Prop. 3.7.). To prove the second line we have to divide the integral into some parts. Since A_n is even we can choose $x > La_n$.

$$\frac{A_n(x)}{\varrho_n} = \int_{|t| \leq \frac{x}{2}} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(t) - Q'(x)}{t - x}dt + \int_{|t| > \frac{x}{2}} (\cdot)dt = I_1 + I_2$$

If $|t| \leq \frac{x}{2}$, using that Q is convex on $\mathbb{R}$, and estimating the denominator as $\frac{x}{2} \leq |t - x| \leq \frac{3}{2}x$,

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{\left(2^{A-1} - 1\right)}{2^{A-1}}\frac{Q'(x)}{x} \leq \frac{2}{3}\frac{Q'(x) - Q'\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}{x} \leq \frac{Q'(t) - Q'(x)}{t - x}$$

$$\leq 2\frac{|Q'(t)| + Q'(x)}{x} \leq 4\frac{Q'(x)}{x},$$

where in the first inequality we used the properties of Q', cf [7] 5.3. So

$$I_1 \sim \frac{Q'(x)}{x}\int_{|t| \leq \frac{x}{2}} p_n^2 w \sim \frac{Q'(x)}{x}\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_n^2 w \sim \frac{Q'(x)}{x}, \tag{23}$$

where in the second "tilde", the lower estimation fulfils by [9],(2.6), say.

For $|t| > \frac{L}{2}a_n > 4a_n$

$$I_2 = \int_{|t| > \frac{x}{2}} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(t) - Q'(x)}{t - x}dt = \int_{\substack{|t| > \frac{x}{2} \\ |x-t| \leq 1}} (\cdot) + \int_{\substack{|t| > \frac{x}{2} \\ |x-t| > 1}} (\cdot) = I_3 + I_4 \tag{24}$$

By the properties of Freud weights, and by [9],(2.6),

$$I_3 = \int_{\substack{|t| > \frac{x}{2} \\ |x-t| \leq 1}} p_n^2(t)w(t)Q''(\xi(x,t))dt$$

$$\leq c\frac{Q'(x)}{x}\int_{|t| > \frac{x}{2}} p_n^2(t)w(t)dt \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\frac{Q'(x)}{x} \tag{25}$$

$$I_4 = \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{x}{2}} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(|t|) + Q'(x)}{|t| + x}dt + \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x-1} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(t) - Q'(x)}{t - x}dt$$

$$+ \int_{x+1}^{2x} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(t) - Q'(x)}{t - x}dt + \int_{2x}^{\infty} (\cdot) = I_5 + I_6 + I_7 + I_8 \tag{26}$$

$$I_5 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{x}{2}} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(|t|)}{|t|}dt + \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{x}{2}} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(x)}{x}dt$$

11

According to [7], 5.2,

$$I_5 \leq \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{\infty} p_n^2(t)w(t)t^{[A-1]+1}dt + c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\frac{Q'(x)}{x}$$

According to [9] 2.7,

$$\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{\infty} p_n^2(t)w(t)t^{[A-1]+1}dt \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\int_{|t|\leq a_{2n+[A-1]+1}} p_n^2(t)w(t)t^{[A-1]+1}dt$$

Because $A \geq 2$, $\frac{Q'(t)}{t}$ is increasing, so by [7] 5.2 and 5.9

$$\int_{|t|\leq a_{2n+[A-1]+1}} p_n^2(t)w(t)t^{[A-1]+1}dt \leq \frac{Q'(x)}{x}\int_{|t|\leq a_{2n+[A-1]+1}} p_n^2(t)w(t)t^2 dt$$

$$\leq c a_n^2 \frac{Q'(x)}{x}$$

that is

$$I_5 \leq c_3 e^{-c_2 n}\frac{Q'(x)}{x} \qquad (27)$$

If $t \in \left(\frac{x}{2}, x-1\right)$ we can write that $x = \lambda t$, where $1 < \lambda \leq 2$, that is according to [7], 5.3, recalling that $B \geq A \geq 2$, $\frac{Q'(x)-Q'(t)}{x-t} \leq \frac{Q'(t)}{t}\frac{\lambda^{B-1}-1}{\lambda-1} \leq c(B)\frac{Q'(t)}{t} \leq c(B)\frac{Q'(x)}{x}$, where in the last step we used that $\frac{Q'(t)}{t}$ is increasing. So

$$I_6 \leq c\frac{Q'(x)}{x}\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x-1} p_n^2(t)w(t)dt \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\frac{Q'(x)}{x} \qquad (28)$$

As in the previous case, when $x+1 < t < 2x$, $\frac{Q'(t)-Q'(x)}{t-x} \leq \frac{Q'(x)}{x}\frac{\lambda^{B-1}-1}{\lambda-1}$ so

$$I_7 \leq c(B)\frac{Q'(x)}{x}\int_{x+1}^{2x} p_n^2(t)w(t)dt \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\frac{Q'(x)}{x} \qquad (29)$$

Because $t - x > \frac{t}{2}$ in I_8,

$$I_8 \leq 2\int_{2x}^{\infty} p_n^2(t)w(t)\frac{Q'(t)}{t}dt \leq 2\int_{2x}^{\infty} p_n^2(t)w(t)t^{[A-1]+1}dt$$

So as in I_5,

$$\leq c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\int_{|t|\leq a_{2n+[A-1]+1}} p_n^2(t)w(t)t^{[A-1]+1}dt \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\frac{Q'(x)}{x} \qquad (30)$$

That is $I_1 \sim \frac{Q'(x)}{x}$, and $I_2 \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 n}\frac{Q'(x)}{x}$, which proves the second line of the lemma.

For the proof of the Theorem we need the following

Lemma 2 *If $f \in C_{\tilde{w}}$, then by the notation of (13)*

$$\|Y_{n,\tilde{w}}\| = O(1) \tag{31}$$

Proof:

At first let $\frac{a_n}{2} \le |x| \le La_n$. Here, by [7] 5.5, $\frac{x}{Q'(x)} \sim \frac{a_n^2}{n}$. Using that $\frac{Q'(x)}{x}$ is even, and it is increasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$ when $A \ge 2$, we have

$$Y_{n,\tilde{w}}(x) = \frac{x}{Q'(x)} w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} \frac{Q'(x_k)}{x_k}$$

$$\le c \frac{a_n^2}{n} w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} \frac{Q'(a_n)}{a_n} \le c w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} = O(1), \tag{32}$$

For the last equality cf. [12] (39).

When $|x| > La_n > |x_k|$, then $A \ge 2$ yields that $\frac{x}{Q'(x)} \frac{Q'(x_k)}{x_k} \le 1$. That is

$$Y_{n,\tilde{w}}(x) \le c w(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} = O(1), \tag{33}$$

as in the previous case.

Let $d < |x| < \frac{a_n}{2}$.

$$Y_{n,\tilde{w}}(x) = \tilde{w}(x) \sum_{\substack{k \\ |x_k| \le 2|x|}} (\cdot) + \tilde{w}(x) \sum_{\substack{k \\ |x_k| > 2|x|}} (\cdot) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$$

Because as in previously, when $|x_k| \le 2|x|$, $\frac{x}{Q'(x)} \frac{Q'(x_k)}{x_k} < c(B)$'

$$\Sigma_1 = O(1) \tag{34}$$

$$\Sigma_2 = w(x) p_n^2(x) \frac{x}{Q'(x)} \sum_{\substack{k \\ |x_k| > 2|x|}} \frac{Q'(x_k)}{w(x_k) x_k (x - x_k)^2 p_n'^2(x_k)}$$

Since $\frac{1}{p_n'^2(x_k) w(x_k)} \sim \frac{a_n^2}{n} \Delta x_k$, (cf [1] 4.11, 4.17)

$$\Sigma_2 \le c w(x) p_n^2(x) \frac{x}{Q'(x)} \frac{a_n^2}{n} \sum_{\substack{k \\ |x_k| > 2|x|}} \frac{Q'(x_k)}{x_k (x - x_k)^2} \Delta x_k$$

$$\le c w(x) p_n^2(x) \frac{x}{x^2 Q'(x)} \frac{a_n^2}{n} \int_{2d}^{a_n} \frac{Q'(x)}{x} dx \le c \frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1}{x Q'(x)}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{n}{a_n^2} + \int_{2d}^{a_n} \frac{Q(x)}{x^2} dx \right) \le c \frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1}{x Q'(x)} Q(a_n) \le c \frac{1}{d Q'(d)} = O(1) \tag{35}$$

13

Here we used that $w(x)p_n^2(x) \leq \frac{c}{a_n}$ for $|x| \leq \frac{a_n}{2}$, cf. [1] 4.6.

Finally let $|x| \leq d$. Let us remark, that $\frac{\tilde{w}(x)}{w(x)}$ is between two constants on $[1, d]$.

$$Y_{n,\tilde{w}}(x) \leq cw(x) \sum_{k, x_k < 2d} \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} + cw(x) \sum_{k, x_k \geq 2d} \frac{l_k^2(x)}{w(x_k)} \frac{Q'(x_k)}{x_k} = \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4$$

As previously,
$$\Sigma_3 = O(1) \tag{36}$$

Similarly to the estimation of Σ_2,

$$\Sigma_4 \leq c\frac{a_n}{n} \sum_{k, x_k \geq 2d} \frac{Q'(x_k)}{x_k(x-x_k)^2}\Delta x_k \leq \frac{c}{d^2} \frac{a_n}{n}\left(\frac{n}{a_n^2} + Q(a_n)\right) = O(1) \tag{37}$$

Proof (of the Theorem): Because polynomials are obviously in $C_{\tilde{w}}$, according to the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, the previous lemma ensures the result.

References

[1] G. Criscuolo, B. Della Vecchia, D. Lubinsky, G. Mastroianni, Functions of the second kind for Freud weights and series expansion of Hilbert transforms, *J. of Math. Analysis and Appl.* **189**, (1995), 256-296.

[2] L. Fejér, On the characterization of some remarkable systems of points of interpolation by means of conjugate points, *American Math. Monthly*, **41** (1934) 1-14.

[3] Á. P. Horváth, $\varrho(w)$-normal point systems, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **85** (1-2) (1999), 9-27.

[4] Á. P. Horváth, Weighted Hermite-Fejér interpolation on the real line: L_∞ case, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **115** (1-2) (2007), 101-131.

[5] M. E. H. Ismail, An electrostatic model for zeros of general orthogonal polynomials, *Pacific J. of Math.* **193** (2) (2000), 355-369.

[6] I. Joó, On positive linear interpolation operators, *Analysis Math.* **1** (1975), 273-281.

[7] E. Levin, D. S. Lubinsky, Christoffel functions, orthogonal polynomials, and Nevai's conjecture for Freud weights, *Constr. Approx.* (1992) (8) 463-535.

[8] D. S. Lubinsky, Hermite and Hermite-Fejér interpolation and associated product integration rules on the real line: The L_∞ theory, *J. Approx. Theory* **70** (1992), 284-334.

[9] H. N. Mhaskar, Bounds for certain Freud-type orthogonal polynomials, *JAT* **63** (1990), 238-254.

[10] P. Rutka, R. Smarzewski, Extremal interpolatory problem of Fejér type for all classical weight functions, *Electronic Trans. on Num. Anal.* **39** (2012) 46-59.

[11] E. B. Saff and V. Totik, Logaritmic Potentials with External Fields, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[12] J. Szabados, Weighted Lagrange and Hermite-Fejér interpolation on the real line, *J. of Inequalities and Applications*, **(1)** (1997), 99-123.

[13] V. E. S. Szabó, Weighted Interpolation: The L_∞ theory. I, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **83** (1-2), (1999), 131-159.

[14] G. Szegő, Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1959.

Department of Analysis,
Budapest University of Technology and Economics
g.horvath.agota@renyi.mta.hu