



Introduction

The study of ideals on natural numbers (ω) and on the reals (ω^ω or 2^ω) has become a central topic of infinite combinatorics and forcing theory in the past few years. My research is focused on a nice but large enough class of ideals on ω .

An ideal \mathcal{I} on ω containing the ideal of finite sets $\text{fin} = [\omega]^{<\omega}$ is *analytic* if $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega) \simeq 2^\omega$ is an analytic set in the usual product topology of the Cantor-set. \mathcal{I} is a *P-ideal* if for each countable $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ there is an $A \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I \subseteq^* A$ for each $I \in \mathcal{C}$, where $A \subseteq^* B$ iff $A \setminus B$ is finite. \mathcal{I} is *tall* (or *dense*) if each infinite subset of ω contains an infinite element of \mathcal{I} .

The *density zero ideal* and the *summable ideal*:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap n|}{n} = 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{1/n} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{n \in A} \frac{1}{n+1} < \infty \right\}$$

are classical tall analytic P-ideals.

A function $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a *lower semicontinuous (lsc) submeasure* on ω if

- (i) $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\varphi(\{n\}) < \infty$ for each n
- (ii) $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(B)$ for $A \subseteq B \subseteq \omega$
- (iii) $\varphi(A \cup B) \leq \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$ for $A, B \subseteq \omega$
- (iv) $\varphi(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \cap n)$ for each A

Note that an lsc submeasure on ω is σ -subadditive as well (i.e. $\varphi(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n) \leq \sum_{n \in \omega} \varphi(A_n)$). We assign two ideals to an lsc submeasure φ as follows

$$\text{Exh}(\varphi) = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0 \right\},$$

$$\text{Fin}(\varphi) = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \varphi(A) < \infty \right\}.$$

$\text{Exh}(\varphi)$ is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ P-ideal, and $\text{Fin}(\varphi)$ is an F_σ ideal.

Theorem. ([6],[7]) *Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω .*

- \mathcal{I} is an F_σ ideal iff $\mathcal{I} = \text{Fin}(\varphi)$ for some lsc submeasure φ .
- \mathcal{I} is an analytic P-ideal iff $\mathcal{I} = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$ for some lsc submeasure φ .
- \mathcal{I} is an F_σ P-ideal iff $\mathcal{I} = \text{Exh}(\varphi) = \text{Fin}(\varphi)$ for some lsc submeasure φ .

The almost-disjointness number

We say that $A, B \in \mathcal{I}^+ = \mathcal{P}(\omega) \setminus \mathcal{I}$ are \mathcal{I} -almost-disjoint if $A \cap B \in \mathcal{I}$. The *almost-disjointness number* of an ideal \mathcal{I} , $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{I})$ ($\bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{I})$) is the minimum of cardinalities of infinite (uncountable) maximal \mathcal{I} -almost disjoint subsets of \mathcal{I}^+ . For example $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(\text{fin}) (= \bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\text{fin}))$, $\omega = \mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{Z})$, and $\omega < \mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$. In general, $\omega < \mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{I})$ holds for each F_σ P-ideal \mathcal{I} .

Theorem. ([3]) $\mathfrak{b} \leq \bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{I})$ for any analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} , and $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\mu}}) \leq \mathfrak{a}$ for each density ideal $\mathcal{Z}_{\bar{\mu}}$.

Problem. Does $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{I}) \leq \mathfrak{a}$ hold for each analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} ?

Towers in the dual filters

A sequence $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is a *tower* if it is \subseteq^* -descending, i.e. $A_\beta \subseteq^* A_\alpha$ if $\alpha \leq \beta < \kappa$, and it has no *pseudointersection*, i.e. a set $X \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that $X \subseteq^* A_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on ω . A tower $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a *tower in \mathcal{F}* if $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$. Denote $\mathcal{I}^* = \{\omega \setminus A : A \in \mathcal{I}\}$ the dual filter of \mathcal{I} .

Theorem. ([3]) *After adding ω_1 Cohen-reals (to any model) there is a tower in \mathcal{I}^* with height ω_1 for each tall analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} .*

Theorem. (Brendle and Farkas) *It is consistent with ZFC that there is no tower in \mathcal{I}^* for each tall analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} .*

Versions of Hechler's theorem

Theorem. (Hechler's original theorem [5]) *Let (Q, \leq) be a partial ordered set such that each countable subset of Q has a strict upper bound in Q . Then there is a ccc forcing notion \mathbb{P} such that in $V^\mathbb{P}$ a cofinal subset of (ω^ω, \leq^*) is order isomorphic to (Q, \leq) .*

Let \mathcal{N} be the ideal of measure zero subsets of the reals and \mathcal{M} be the ideal of meager subsets of the reals.

Theorem. ([1], [2]) *Let (Q, \leq) be as above. Then there is a ccc forcing notion \mathbb{P} such that in $V^\mathbb{P}$ a cofinal subset of (\mathcal{M}, \subseteq) (resp. (\mathcal{N}, \subseteq)) is order isomorphic to (Q, \leq) .*

Theorem. (Farkas) *Let (Q, \leq) be as above. Then there is a ccc forcing notion \mathbb{P} such that in $V^\mathbb{P}$ a cofinal subset of $(\mathcal{I}, \subseteq^*)$ is order isomorphic to (Q, \leq) for each tall analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} from V .*

\mathcal{I} -bounding and \mathcal{I} -dominating

A *supported relation* (or simply *relation*) is a triple $\mathcal{R} = (A, R, B)$ where $R \subseteq A \times B$, $\text{dom}(R) = A$, $\text{ran}(R) = B$, and we always assume that for each $b \in B$ there is an $a \in A$ such that $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$. \mathcal{R} is Borel if $A, B \subseteq \omega^\omega$ and $R \subseteq (\omega^\omega)^2$ are Borel sets.

Let $\mathcal{R}_1 = (A_1, R_1, B_1)$ and $\mathcal{R}_2 = (A_2, R_2, B_2)$ be (Borel) supported relations. A pair of (Borel) functions $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2, \psi : B_2 \rightarrow B_1$ is a (Borel) *Galois-Tukey connection* from \mathcal{R}_1 to \mathcal{R}_2 , $(\phi, \psi) : \mathcal{R}_1 \preceq_{\text{GT}}^{(B)} \mathcal{R}_2$, if $\langle a_1, \psi(b_2) \rangle \in R_1$ whenever $\langle \phi(a_1), b_2 \rangle \in R_2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi(b_2) \in B_1 & \xleftarrow{\psi} & B_2 \ni b_2 \\ R_1 & \longleftarrow & R_2 \\ a_1 \in A_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A_2 \ni \phi(a_1) \end{array}$$

Theorem. ([3], [4], [7]) $(\omega^\omega, \leq_{\mathcal{I}}, \omega^\omega) \equiv_{\text{GT}}^B (\omega^\omega, \leq^*, \omega^\omega) \preceq_{\text{GT}}^B (\mathcal{I}, \subseteq^*, \mathcal{I}) \preceq_{\text{GT}}^B (\omega^\omega, \sqsubseteq^*, \mathcal{S}) \equiv_{\text{GT}}^B (\mathcal{N}, \subseteq, \mathcal{N})$ where $f \leq_{\mathcal{I}} g$ if $\{n : f(n) > g(n)\} \in \mathcal{I}$; as usual \leq^* stands for \leq_{fin} ; \mathcal{I} is a tall analytic P-ideal (tallness is used in the second connection); $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X}_{n \in \omega} [\omega]^{\leq n}$ is the set of slaloms; and if $f \in \omega^\omega$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$ then $f \sqsubseteq^* S$ iff $\forall^\infty n f(n) \in S(n)$.

Let $\mathcal{R} = (A, R, B)$ be a Borel relation. A forcing notion \mathbb{P} is \mathcal{R} -*bounding* if

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \forall a \in A \cap V[\dot{G}] \exists b \in B \cap V \langle a, b \rangle \in R,$$

\mathbb{P} is \mathcal{R} -*dominating* if

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists b \in B \cap V[\dot{G}] \forall a \in A \cap V \langle a, b \rangle \in R.$$

Almost all classical bounding or dominating-like properties of forcing notions can be written in this form. Examples: A forcing notion \mathbb{P} is ω^ω -bounding (resp. adds dominating real) iff \mathbb{P} is $(\omega^\omega, \leq^*, \omega^\omega)$ -bounding (resp. -dominating); \mathbb{P} has the Sacks property iff \mathbb{P} is $(\omega^\omega, \sqsubseteq^*, \mathcal{S})$ -bounding; and $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \bigcup (\mathcal{N} \cap V)$ has measure zero" iff \mathbb{P} is $(\mathcal{N}, \subseteq, \mathcal{N})$ -dominating etc.

The following observation shows that we can translate questions about implications between properties of forcing notions to combinatorial ones: Assume $\mathcal{R}_1 \preceq_{\text{GT}}^B \mathcal{R}_2$. If \mathbb{P} is \mathcal{R}_2 -bounding / dominating then it is \mathcal{R}_1 -bounding / dominating as well.

Using the above mentioned Borel GT connections we obtain for example that the Sacks property (resp. adding a slalom capturing all ground model reals) implies the \mathcal{I} -bounding (resp. -dominating) property which implies the ω^ω -bounding property (resp. adding dominating real) for each tall analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} .

The converse of the second implication is false (see the Random and resp. the Hechler forcing). The converse of the first implication is a more difficult question.

Theorem. ([3] using [4]) \mathbb{P} is \mathcal{Z} -bounding iff \mathbb{P} has the Sacks property.

Problem. Does the \mathcal{I} -bounding property imply the Sacks property for each tall analytic P-ideal \mathcal{I} ? Does the \mathcal{Z} -dominating (or \mathcal{I} -dominating) property imply adding slalom capturing all ground model reals?

References

- [1] Tomek Bartoszyński and Masaru Kada: *Hechler's theorem for the meager ideal*, Topology Appl. **146-147** (2005), pages 429-435.
- [2] Maxim R. Burke and Masaru Kada: *Hechler's theorem for the null ideal*, Arch. Math. Logic **43** (2004), pages 703-722.
- [3] Barnabás Farkas and Lajos Soukup: *More on cardinal invariants of analytic P-ideals*, to appear in Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.
- [4] David H. Fremlin: *Measure Theory. Set-theoretic Measure Theory*. Torres Fremlin, Colchester, England, 2004. Available at <http://www.essex.ac.uk/maths/staff/fremlin/mt.html>.
- [5] Stephen H. Hechler: *On the existence of certain cofinal subsets of ${}^\omega\omega$* , Axiomatic set theory, editor: Thomas Jech, Amer. Math. Soc. (1974), pages 155-173.
- [6] Krzysztof Mazur: *F_σ -ideals and $\omega_1\omega_1^*$ -gaps in the Boolean algebras $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/I$* , Fund. Math. **138** (1991), no. 2, pages 103-111.
- [7] Sławomir Solecki: *Analytic P-ideals and their applications*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **99** (1999), pages 51-72.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grant no 68262 and Dept. of Algebra, BME.