On the solvability of some special equations over finite fields

By Bálint Felszeghy

Abstract. Let F be a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p with n variables and of degree d. Suppose that it is impossible to transform F by invertible homogeneous linear change of variables to a polynomial, which has less than n variables. Also suppose that the degree of F in each variable is less than p. Rédei conjectured that if $d \leq n$ then F = 0 has at least one solution in \mathbb{F}_p . This was disproved in [5] by a collection of counterexamples, but the cases $\deg F = 3$ and $\deg F = 5$ remained open. We give a counterexample with $\deg F = 5$ over \mathbb{F}_{11} . On the positive side, we prove the statement for symmetric polynomials of degree 3.

Along a related line, consider polynomials of the form $F(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=a_1x_1^k+\ldots+a_nx_n^k+g(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$, where $a_1a_2\ldots a_n\neq 0, g\in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ and $\deg g< k$. We will show, that if $n\geq \left\lceil\frac{p-1}{\lfloor\frac{p-1}{k}\rfloor}\right\rceil$, then the equation $F(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=0$ is solvable in \mathbb{F}_p^n . This is a generalization of a result of Carlitz ([2]).

1. Introduction

In 1946 László Rédei formulated a conjecture (see [4]) about the solvability of polynomial equations over finite fields. Although it turned out that there are counterexamples, for some special polynomials the conjecture holds. We give first a brief overview of the related results.

 $Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:\ 11T06,\ 11D79.$

Key words and phrases: finite fields, equations, solvability.

Research supported in part by OTKA and NWO-OTKA grants, and the EU-COE Grant of MTA SZTAKI.

Let p be a prime, \mathbb{F}_p be a field with p elements and $F(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ be a polynomial, with n variables. We can assume that the degree of F in x_i is at most p-1 for $1 \leq i \leq n$, that is the polynomial is reduced. We denote the linear subspace (in the space of polynomials with n variables over \mathbb{F}_p) spanned by the partial derivates of F by V, so we put $V = \text{Lin}\left\{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. The rank of F is defined to be $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

We note that the original definition of rank in [4] is different. We will use that rank F is precisely the least positive integer r for which there exists an invertible homogeneous linear change of variables which carries F into a polynomial with r variables. The equivalence to the original notion can be found in [5]. With this notion of the rank, the conjecture is the following:

Rédei's Conjecture. Let $F \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be reduced, not constant and deg $F \leq \operatorname{rank} F$. Then $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ is solvable.

In [5] Rónyai disproved this by giving counterexamples. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$ $(p \geq 5)$ be a quadratic nonresidue, and $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^2 - c$. It is clear, that F = 0 cannot be solvable in \mathbb{F}_p . In the case $n \geq 4$, F serves as a counterexample to the conjecture, as it is not difficult to see that $n = \operatorname{rank} F$. A similar polynomial can be constructed for p = 3. (The conjecture is true if p = 2.) There are counterexamples for every degree $d \geq 6$.

It is pointed out in [5] that the conjecture is valid for degrees 1 (this case is trivial) and 2. The remaining cases (deg F=3 or 5) are still open. In Section 2 we show a counterexample for deg F=5 and p=11, and, as a positive result, we prove the conjecture for cubic symmetric polynomials. We note that the counterexample given above for deg F=4 is symmetric.

Rédei's conjecture holds also for some equations of diagonal type, see [5]. We prove the conjecture in Section 3 for a class of generalized diagonal polynomials.

2. The cases of degree 3 and 5

Proposition 1. Let n > 5 be an integer, and let F be the polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{11} :

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^5 + (x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^2 - 7.$$

Then $\deg F = 5$, rank F = n, but $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ has no solutions in \mathbb{F}_{1}^{n} , so Rédei's conjecture is not true for degree 5 in general.

PROOF. Consider the polynomial $f(x,y)=x^5+y^2-7$. Since in \mathbb{F}_{11} $x^5 \in \{-1,0,1\}$ and $y^2 \in \{0,1,3,4,5,9\}$, x^5+y^2 never equals 7. So f=0 has no solutions, and hence nor has F=0.

It remains to show that rank F=n, that is the partial derivates of F are linearly independent. Indeed, suppose that $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n\in\mathbb{F}_{11}$ and $0=\sum\limits_{i=1}^n\alpha_i\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$. For a fixed j, we can regard $\sum\limits_{i=1}^n\alpha_i\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$ as a polynomial in x_j (over the extension field $\mathbb{F}_p(x_1,\ldots,x_{j-1},x_{j+1},\ldots,x_n)$), so it can be 0 for all x_j only if each coefficient of x_j^l is zero. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} = 5\alpha_{1}x_{1}^{4} + 4\left(x_{2}^{2} + x_{3}^{2} + \dots + x_{n}^{2}\right) \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_{i}x_{i},$$

the coefficient of x_1^4 is $5\alpha_1$, so $\alpha_1 = 0$. Thus we have

$$0 = 4 (x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \dots + x_n^2) \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i x_i$$

and $0 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$. This can happen only if $\alpha_i = 0$ ($2 \le i \le n$), which means that rank F = n.

On the positive side, we prove the conjecture for symmetric cubic polynomials. We are only interested in reduced polynomials, so for the remaining part of this section we suppose that $p \geq 5$. We denote the rth elementary symmetric function in variables x_1, \ldots, x_n by σ_r for $1 \leq r \leq n$.

Proposition 2. If $F(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a symmetric polynomial of degree 3, then there exists a uniquely determined polynomial f in $\mathbb{F}_p[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ of the form

$$f(y_1, y_2, y_3) = ay_3 + y_2(by_1 + c) + g(y_1),$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $g(y_1) \in \mathbb{F}_p[y_1]$, $\deg g \leq 3$, such that $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$.

The main part of the next statement is a corollary of Hasse's Theorem (see [6] or Hasse's original paper [3]) on elliptic curves over finite fields.

Proposition 3. Let $p \geq 5$, and h(x) be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$, and suppose that $1 \leq \deg h \leq 3$. Then the equation $y^2 = h(x)$ is always solvable in \mathbb{F}_p^2 .

PROOF. If deg $h \leq 2$, then $y^2 - h(x)$ is a polynomial with rank 2, so it has a root in \mathbb{F}_p^2 .

Suppose that $\deg h=3$. If $x_0\in\mathbb{F}_p$ is a root of h, then $(x_0,0)$ is a solution of the above equation. If h has no roots in \mathbb{F}_p , then h is irreducible, and so h has three distinct roots (in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}), which means that $y^2=h(x)$ is an equation of a (nonsingular) elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p . Hasse's Theorem yields that for the number E of the projective points of the curve the inequality $|E-(p+1)|\leq 2\sqrt{p}$ holds. Consequently $E\geq p+1-2\sqrt{p}$, which is greater than one, if p is greater than 4, and so the curve has at least 2 projective points. Since an elliptic curve with equation of type $y^2=h(x)$ has exactly one point at infinity, this proves the statement.

We apply the two propositions above to prove Rédei's conjecture for cubic symmetric polynomials.

Theorem 4. Let $p \geq 5$, and $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a symmetric polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p of degree 3 with rank $F \geq 3$. Then $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ has a solution in \mathbb{F}_p^n .

PROOF. It suffices to show the statement for n=3. Using Proposition 2 we obtain that $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = a\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 (b\sigma_1 + c) + g(\sigma_1)$. Finding a root

for F is equivalent to find a solution (in x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , y_1 , y_2 , y_3) for the following system of equations.

$$ay_3 + y_2(by_1 + c) + g(y_1) = 0 (1)$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = y_1 \tag{2}$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 = y_2 (3)$$

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 = y_3 \tag{4}$$

By (2), we eliminate first x_1 from (3) and (4).

$$(y_1 - (x_2 + x_3))(x_2 + x_3) + x_2 x_3 = y_2$$
(3')

$$(y_1 - (x_2 + x_3))x_2x_3 = y_3 (4')$$

From (1), (3') and (4') we infer

$$a(y_1 - (x_2 + x_3))x_2x_3 + ((y_1 - (x_2 + x_3))(x_2 + x_3) + x_2x_3)(by_1 + c) + g(y_1) = 0.$$
 (5)

It is obvious that (5) is solvable iff the initial system of equations has a solution. Now let $u = x_2 + x_3$, $v = x_2x_3$ and $y = y_1$. With these variables (5) takes the form

$$a(y - u)v + ((y - u)u + v)(by + c) + g(y) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{(y-u)u(by+c)+g(y)}{(a+b)y-au+c} = -v.$$
 (6)

Since rank F=3, at least one of a, b and c is nonzero, so (a+b)y-au+c is not identically 0. If we can solve (6) then x_2 and x_3 have to be the two roots of the polynomial x^2-ux+v . So precisely those solutions of (6) are satisfactory for which $\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^2-v=z^2$ is solvable. Together, we have the equation

$$\frac{(y-u)u(by+c)+g(y)}{(a+b)y-au+c} + \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^2 = z^2. \tag{7}$$
 to solve. Let $d \in \mathbb{F}_p$ be 1 or 2. If $a \neq 0$ then choose $u = \frac{1}{a}\left((a+b)y+c-d\right)$.

to solve. Let $d \in \mathbb{F}_p$ be 1 or 2. If $a \neq 0$ then choose $u = \frac{1}{a}((a+b)y+c-d)$. If a = 0, but $b \neq 0$ then choose $y = \frac{1}{b}(d-c)$. In both cases the denominator of (6) becomes d, so the left hand side of (7) is a polynomial h in one indeterminate (y or u) of degree at most 3. It is clear, that for d = 1

or d=2 h is not constant. If a=b=0, then choose u=1 or u=0 according as g is constant or not, respectively.

So finally we have an equation of the form $z^2 = h(u)$, and application of Proposition 3 completes the proof.

3. Generalized diagonal equations

In this section we give some more positive examples. We consider polynomials $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of form

$$F(x_1,...,x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^k + g(x_1,...,x_n)$$
,

where p is a prime, \mathbb{F}_p is the field with p elements, $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n \neq 0$ and $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is an arbitrary polynomial with $\deg g < k$. Then we call F a generalized diagonal polynomial. Our goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Suppose that
$$n \geq \left\lceil \frac{p-1}{\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor} \right\rceil$$
. Then $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^k + g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ is solvable in \mathbb{F}_p^n .

To compare this to Rédei's conjecture, we observe that if k = 1 then rank F = 1, otherwise we have rank F = n. Indeed, put

$$F_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = ka_i x_i^{k-1} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(x_1,\ldots,x_n).$$

Suppose that there exist some α_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i F_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0$ holds for all $(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Since $\deg \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} < k-1$, the coefficient of x_j^{k-1} is $\alpha_j k a_j$, hence $\alpha_j = 0$ for each j, which means that the F_i are linearly independent, and rank F = n.

Rédei's conjecture predicts that there is a solution $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ for $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$, in case $n \geq k$. We cannot prove this in general, but if k|p-1, then this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5. Carlitz proved this special case in [2] in a way different from ours. It could happen that for a fixed p and k there would be polynomials $g_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, such

that $F_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,i} x_i^k + g_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and none of the F_n -s have solution, however big n we would choose. Theorem 5 shows that it is impossible by presenting an upper bound $\leq p-1$ for n.

Now recall a consequence of Alon's Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, that can be found in [1].

Theorem 6. Let $G(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial, assume that $\deg G = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \geq 1$, the coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$ is not 0, and $0 \leq t_i \leq p-1$ for each i. Choose for all i an arbitrary $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|S_i| = t_i + 1$. Then G cannot be constant on $S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_n$.

Theorem 6 allows a simple proof of Theorem 5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. We can assume that $n = \left\lceil \frac{p-1}{\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor} \right\rceil$, because otherwise we can get a similar polynomial in $\left\lceil \frac{p-1}{\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor} \right\rceil$ variables by substituting zeros in place of some x_i . Let $G(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)^{p-1}$. We intend to show, using Alon's Theorem, that G is not constant on \mathbb{F}_p^n . Since the value of $G(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ can be either 0 or 1, this will imply that there exists a root of G. Let

$$t_i = \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor k \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1 \text{ and}$$
 $t_n = (p-1) k - (n-1) \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor k.$

It is obvious that $0 \le t_i \le p-1$ for all $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = (p-1)k = \deg G$. The following simple calculation

$$t_n = (p-1) k - \left(\left\lceil \frac{p-1}{\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor k$$

$$\leq (p-1) k - \left(\frac{p-1}{\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor} - 1 \right) \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor k = \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor k \leq p-1 \text{ and }$$

$$t_n > (p-1) k - \frac{p-1}{\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor k = 0$$

gives that t_n is also suitable.

In G there is a monomial $m = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$ contributed by $\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i^k\right)^{p-1}$, since $x_i^{t_i} = \left(x_i^k\right)^{\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor}$, and $x_n^{t_n} = \left(x_n^k\right)^{p-1-(n-1)\left\lfloor \frac{p-1}{k} \right\rfloor}$. The coefficient of m is

$$\frac{(p-1)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{t_i}{k}!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{\frac{t_i}{k}} \neq 0.$$

The conditions of Theorem 6 are satisfied. G is not constant, hence there exists an $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $G(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$, and equivalently $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$. The theorem is proved.

If $k \mid p-1$ then the statement is also true in an arbitrary finite field.

Theorem 7. Assume that $q = p^r$ is a prime power. If k divides p-1, $n \ge k$ and $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k + g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ then the equation $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ is solvable in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

PROOF. In the preceding proof we used only once that p is a prime, namely when we stated that the corresponding coefficient is not zero. Using k|p-1 we can easily verify that $\frac{(q-1)!}{((q-1)/k)!^k} \neq 0$ in \mathbb{F}_q . The largest power of p which divides the numerator is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{p^r - 1}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor p^{r-i} - \frac{1}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(p^{r-i} - 1 \right) .$$

This is the same for the denominator. Indeed

$$k\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{\frac{p^r-1}{k}}{p^i} \right\rfloor = k\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left\lfloor \frac{p^{r-i}-1}{k} + \frac{p^i-1}{p^ik} \right\rfloor =$$

$$k\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{p^{r-i}-1}{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (p^{r-i}-1)$$

The second to the last equality holds since $0 < \frac{p^i-1}{p^i k} < 1$ and $k \mid p-1$ implies that $\frac{p^{r-i}-1}{k}$ is an integer.

References

- [1] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 8 (1-2) (1999), 7-29.
- [2] L. CARLITZ, Solvability of certain equations in a finite field, Quart. J. Math. (2) 7 (1956), 3-4.
- [3] H. HASSE, Zur Theorie der abstrakten elliptischen Funktionenkörper, J. Reine Angew. Math. 175 (1936), 55–62, 69–88, 193–208.
- [4] L. RÉDEI, Zur Theorie der Gleichungen in endlichen Körpern, Acta Univ. Szeged Sect. Sci. Math. 11 (1946), 63–70.
- [5] L. RÓNYAI, On a conjecture of László Rédei, Acta Univ. Szeged Sect. Sci. Math. (to appear).
- [6] J. H. SILVERMAN, The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, Springer-Verlag, 1986, 131.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA
BUDAPEST UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMY
H-1111 BUDAPEST, P.O.B. 91
HUNGARY
AND

HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES COMPUTER AND AUTOMATION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

E-mail: fbalint@math.bme.hu