

# Applications of Stochastics: Midterm

## SOME HINTS ON THE SOLUTIONS

Oct 22, 2019

The absolute max is 40 points, but 35 points is already considered as 100%. You can write in Hungarian if that's much easier for you.

- ▷ **Exercise 1.** Let  $X_k(n)$  be the number of degree  $k$  vertices in the Erdős-Rényi random graph  $G(n, \lambda/n)$ , with any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  fixed. Show that  $X_k(n)/n$  converges in probability, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to  $\mathbf{P}[\text{Poisson}(\lambda) = k]$ , for any  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  [8 points]

**Hints.** Compute the first and second moments of  $X_k(n)/n$ , then use Chebyshev. For the first moment you will need the probability that a given vertex has degree  $k$ , which is obviously  $\binom{n-1}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-1-k}$ , though many people got it wrong.

- ▷ **Exercise 2.** Show that the Erdős-Rényi random graph  $G(n, 3/n)$  contains some cycle (i.e., is not a forest) with probability tending to 1, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . [8 points]

**Hints.** If you try to do this by looking at the first and second moments of the total number of cycles,  $N = \sum_{k=3}^n N_k$ , where  $N_k$  is the number of  $k$ -cycles, it will be nightmarish, because you would need all the covariances between possible  $k$ -cycles and  $\ell$ -cycles, for all possible pairs of values  $3 \leq k, \ell \leq n$ . Nevertheless, showing that  $\mathbf{E}N \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  would already be a good first step. For this, you have to be careful not to give  $\mathbf{E}N_k$  only up to constant factors that depend on  $k$ , because then you would have no idea how big  $\sum_k$  is. So, notice that  $\mathbf{E}N_k = \binom{n}{k} \frac{k!}{2k} \left(\frac{3}{n}\right)^k$ , since there are  $\frac{k!}{2k}$  ways to draw a  $k$ -cycle on  $k$  given points (check it for yourself). So, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\mathbf{E}N \sim \sum_{k=3}^n \frac{3^k}{2k}$ , which goes to  $\infty$  very fast.

But the real solution is much simpler; you just have to think a little bit instead of trying to repeat solutions to earlier exercises. Any forest on  $n$  vertices has at most  $n - 1$  edges. The number of edges in  $G(n, 3/n)$  is  $\text{Binom}\left(\binom{n}{2}, \frac{3}{n}\right)$ , with mean and variance both  $\sim \frac{3}{2}n$ , so Chebyshev gives that the graph has more than  $n - 1$  edges with probability tending to 1.

- ▷ **Exercise 3.** The apples from an orchard of a mathematician have random weights, distributed according to  $\text{Unif}[100, 300]$  grams, independently of each other. Johnny puts 100 apples in a box. But his doctor has forbidden him from lifting anything heavier than 25 kg. Give the best upper bound you can on the probability that the box is heavier than that. [8 points]

**Hints.** Please note that  $X_1 + \dots + X_{100}$ , a sum of iid variables, has nothing to do with  $100X_1$ . For instance, the standard deviation of the first one is  $\sqrt{100}\mathbb{D}(X_1)$ , while it is  $100\mathbb{D}(X_1)$  for the second one. When you want to estimate a sum of iid variables, Markov's inequality is a possibility, but is very weak, Chebyshev is better (assuming that the variable has finite variance), and exponential Markov is much better (assuming that the variable has a finite moment generating function). Giving an estimate via the Central Limit Theorem is also a possibility, but there you won't really know how the estimate compares to reality (is the sample 100 large enough? isn't the question  $> 25$  kg too extreme?), so probably exponential Markov is the best here (which, remember, is asymptotically optimal on the exponential scale, once you have optimized the value of  $t$  in  $e^{tX}$ ).

- ▷ **Exercise 4.** Let  $p, \alpha \in (0, 1)$  arbitrary, and let  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  such that  $\alpha_n n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $n$ . Using Stirling's formula, find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \mathbf{P}[\text{Binom}(n, p) = \alpha_n n]}{n}.$$

[6 points]

**Hints.** From Stirling's formula for  $n!$ , if you don't mess up the calculations, it's quite straightforward.

- ▷ **Exercise 5.** Recall that the Cauchy distribution has density  $\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$ , and that if  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Cauchy variables, then the sum  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  has the distribution of  $nX_1$ . Prove the following:
- (a)  $S_n/n \xrightarrow{p} 0$  does not hold. [2 points]
  - (b)  $S_n/n^{1.01} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ . [2 points]
  - (c)  $S_n/n^{2.01} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ . [3 points]
  - (d) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , the expected number of returns to the interval  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  by the Cauchy walk  $S_n$  is infinite. [3 points]

**Hints.** We did (a) and (d) in class. For (b), fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and notice that  $\mathbf{P}[|S_n|/n^{1.01} > \epsilon] = \mathbf{P}[|X_1| > \epsilon n^{0.01}]$ , which is an increasing sequence of events as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , hence the limit of the probabilities is the probability of the union, which is just  $\mathbf{P}[|X_1| = \infty] = 0$ .

For (c), you first of all have to understand what almost sure convergence means. It is about the entire random sequence  $(S_1/1, S_2/2^{2.01}, S_3/3^{2.01}, \dots)$ , so the argument of (b) will not suffice here. You should apply the Borel-Cantelli lemma: if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{P}[|S_n|/n^{2.01} > \epsilon] < \infty$ , then  $\{|S_n|/n^{2.01} > \epsilon\}$  happens only finitely often almost surely, hence we have the convergence to 0. Now you can already use that  $\mathbf{P}[|S_n|/n^{2.01} > \epsilon] = \mathbf{P}[|X_1|/n^{1.01} > \epsilon] = 2 \int_{\epsilon n^{1.01}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)} dx \asymp \frac{1}{\epsilon n^{1.01}}$ , which is indeed summable in  $n$ .