

1. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

- a) Is there a subspace of the vector space of 2×2 matrices that contains exactly one of A and B ?
 b) Is there a subspace that contains exactly two of A , B and I ?
 c) Is there a subspace that contains no nonzero diagonal matrices?

Solution: a) Yes, there is, actually in both ways:

$\text{span}(A) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ does not contain B , and $\text{span}(B) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y \end{bmatrix} \mid y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ does not contain A .

b) No, there is no such subspace, since $A - B = I$, so $I \in \text{span}(A, B)$, and from this equation we also get $A = B + I$ and $B = A - I$, thus $A \in \text{span}(B, I)$ and $B \in \text{span}(A, I)$.

c) Yes, there is, for example $\text{span}(C)$, where $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

2. True or false for an $m \times n$ matrix A of rank r describing a system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$?

- (i) If $n = m = r$ then A is invertible.
 (ii) If $n < m$ then the system has no solution.
 (iii) If $n > m$ then there are free columns.
 (iv) If the system has a unique solution then $n = r$.
 (v) If the system has no solution then $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $r > 0$.

Solution: (i) True. If $n = m = r$ then the reduced row echelon form contains $m = n$ nonzero rows, so every row and every column has a pivot, thus the reduced row echelon form is I .

(ii) False, for example the system $x = 2$, $2x = 4$ has a solution, and here $m = 2$, $n = 1$.

(iii) True, since there can be at most m pivots, so there must be a column in the row echelon form which contains no pivot.

(iv) True. There cannot be a free column, so every column contains a pivot in the row echelon form. On the other hand, there cannot be more pivots than n , so the number of pivots (and then also the number of nonzero rows in a row echelon form, that is, the rank of A) is n .

(v) False. Though $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$ does follow but r may be zero: $0x = 1$ has no solution.

3. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ but scaling is defined by $\lambda \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda v_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Is this a vector space?

Solution: No, the axiom $1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ does not hold. (Actually, this is the only axiom that fails here.)

4. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ but addition is defined by $\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 + w_2 \\ v_2 + w_1 \end{bmatrix}$. Is this a vector space?

Solution: No, here the addition is not commutative:

$$\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 + w_2 \\ v_2 + w_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{but} \quad \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 + v_2 \\ w_2 + v_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_2 + w_1 \\ v_1 + w_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

so $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}$ even for $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

(The associativity of the addition also fails).

5. Describe the smallest subspace of the 2×3 matrices that contain

(a) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; (b) A and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; (c) B and $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Solution:

a) $\{xA \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$, that is, the set of matrices whose second row is all 0, and the entries of the first row are equal.

b) $\{xA + yB \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x+y & x+2y & x+3y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. These are the matrices whose second row is all zero, and the first row forms an arithmetic sequence (it is clearly true for these matrices, and for any sequence $a, a+d, a+2d$, we have $y = d$ and $x = a - d$).

c) $\{xB + yC \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x+y & 2x+2y & 3x+3y \\ y & 2y & 3y \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. These are the matrices whose every row is a scalar multiple of $(1, 2, 3)$ (indeed, if the rows are c times and d times $(1, 2, 3)$ then $y = d$ and $x = c - d$ works).

6. Which of the following give the correct definition of the rank of A (with R being a reduced row echelon form)?

- (i) The number of nonzero rows of R .
- (ii) The number of columns minus the number of zero rows.
- (iii) The number of columns minus the number of free columns.
- (iv) The number of 1's in the matrix R .

Solution: (i) is the definition of rank. But (iii) is also equal to the rank: the difference of the number of columns and the number of free columns is the number of pivot columns, that is, the number of pivots in R , and this is equal to the number of nonzero rows in R .

(ii) is not equal to the rank, for example for $A = R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

(iv) is not equal to the rank, either. The number of pivots in R is equal to the rank but there can be other 1's in R , the matrix A above is also a counterexample here.

7. Write the special solutions of $R\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and of $R^T\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ for the following matrices. Write down the nullspace matrices.

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution: R_1 is in reduced row echelon form, where the first and second are the pivot columns. We have special solutions assigned to each free column by giving the value 1 to the corresponding free variable and 0 to the other free variable(s), then expressing the pivot variables. We get the special solution corresponding to the free variable x_i by writing 1 in the i 'th position, 0 in the other "free" positions and then filling out the gaps by the negatives of the first r entries of the i 'th column of R . So the special solution corresponding to x_3 is $(-2, -3, 1, 0)$, the one corresponding to x_4 is $(-4, -5, 0, 1)$. (Check that these are indeed solutions of $R_1\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.) The nullspace matrix contains these special solutions as columns:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 \\ -3 & -5 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

R_2 is also reduced row echelon, and its only pivot column is the second. The special solution corresponding to x_1 is $(1, 0, 0)$, to x_3 is $(0, -2, 1)$. The nullspace matrix is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. R_1^T and R_2^T are not reduced row echelon matrices, so we have to use elementary row operations to bring them to reduced row echelon form.

$$R_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The free variable is y_3 , the special solution is $(0, 0, 1)$, the nullspace matrix is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$R_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The free variables are y_2, y_3 , the special solutions are $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$, and the nullspace matrix

is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

8. **HW** Find the reduced row echelon form and the rank of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & c & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Which are the pivot columns? Give the special solutions. (The answer will depend on c .)
9. Prove that every rank- r matrix can be written as a sum of r rank-1 matrices.

Solution: If we bring A to row echelon form, we get $PA = R$, where P is the product of the row operation matrices, so it is invertible. Then $A = P^{-1}R$. If calculate this product as the sum of column times row matrices, then only the first r summands will be nonzero, since the other rows of R are zero. So we decomposed A into a sum of r rank-1 matrices.

(In fact, we can also write the $m \times n$ matrix A as the product of the $m \times r$ matrix consisting of the pivot columns of A and the $r \times n$ matrix consisting of the nonzero rows of the reduced row echelon form, and this obviously gives a sum decomposition into r rank-1 matrices if we use the column times row products. This is a more convenient way to decompose A .)