

1. Suppose  $e \in R$  is an idempotent element. Prove that  $R_R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R$ .

*Solution:* It is enough to show that  $\{e, 1 - e\}$  is a complete set of orthogonal idempotents. Indeed,  $e$  is idempotent by assumption,  $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - 2e + e^2 = 1 - 2e + e = 1 - e$ , so  $1 - e$  is also idempotent,  $e(1 - e) = (1 - e)e = e - e^2 = 0$ , so they are orthogonal, finally,  $e + (1 - e) = 1$ , so they form a complete set of idempotents.

2. Write  $R_R$  as a direct sum of indecomposable modules. What are the dimensions of the direct components?  
 a)  $R = KC_4$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}_2$   
 b)  $R = KC_4$ ,  $K = \mathbb{R}$   
 c)  $R = KC_4$ ,  $K = \mathbb{C}$   
 d)  $R$  is the ring of  $3 \times 3$  upper triangular matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$

*Solution:* In a), b) and c), let the group  $G \cong C_4$  be generated by the element  $a$ . Then  $KG = \{x + ya + za^2 + ua^3 \mid x, y, z, u \in K\}$ . For the decomposition, we need to find idempotents different from 0 and 1.

- a) Here we can use that  $x^2 = x$  and  $2x = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . So  $(x + ya + za^2 + ua^3)^2 = x + ya^2 + z + ua^2 = (x + z) + (y + u)a^2$ , and this is equal to  $x + ya + za^2 + ua^3$  if and only if  $y = z = u = 0$ , so this element is either 0 or 1. This means that  $KG_{KG}$  is indecomposable.

- b) Note that for any  $H \leq G$ , the sum of the elements  $s_H$  of  $H$  is nilpotent or almost idempotent:  $s_H h' = (\sum_{h \in H} h)h' = \sum_{h \in H} hh' = s_H$ , so  $s_H^2 = |H|s_H$ . If  $\text{char } K \mid |H|$ , then this gives  $s_H^2 = 0$ , if not, then  $e = \frac{1}{|H|}s_H$  is idempotent.

Use this for  $H = \{1, a^2\}$ . Then we get that  $e = \frac{1}{2}(1 + a^2)$  and  $1 - e = \frac{1}{2}(1 - a^2)$  form a complete set of orthogonal idempotents. But  $f = \frac{1}{4}s_G$  is also idempotent, and it is in  $eKG$  (since  $1 + a + a^2 + a^3 = (1 + a^2)(1 + a)$ ). But  $eKG = e^2KG = eKG_e$ , so by the statement of HW1,  $f$ ,  $e - f$  and  $1 - e$  generate direct components of the regular module. The dimensions of the three summands are 1, 1 and 2, so the only question is whether  $(1 - e)KG$  is decomposable. If we try to find a decomposition of the last summand, we have to solve the equation  $((1 - a^2)(x + ya))^2 = (1 - a^2)(x + ya)$ , that is,  $2x^2 - 2y^2 = x$  and  $4xy = y$ , which gives either  $y = 0$  and  $x = 0$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$ , producing the trivial idempotents, or it leads to an equation  $2y^2 = -\frac{1}{8}$ , which has no solution in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So the three components are indecomposable.

- c) The system of idempotents found in part b) also give a decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}G$ , but here the last idempotent is decomposable: the equation in the previous part gives  $x = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $y = \frac{1}{4}i$ , and this produces two more 1-dimensional components:  $(1 - a^2)KG = (1 + ia)(1 - a^2)KG \oplus (1 - ia)(1 - a^2)KG$ . The four components are 1-dimensional, so they must be simple modules, thus indecomposable.

- d) The idempotent matrices  $e_1 = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = \text{diag}(0, 1, 0)$  and  $e_3 = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1)$  form a complete set of orthogonal idempotents, and HW1 shows that the components are indecomposable, since  $e_i R e_i = e_i \mathbb{R}$  is one-dimensional, and its only idempotents are 0 and  $e_i$ . The component  $e_i R$  consists of those matrices of  $R$  which have all 0's outside its  $i$ 'th row, so the dimensions of the components are 3, 2 and 1.

3. Let  $0 = M_0 < M_1 < \dots < M_{k-1} < M_k = M$  be a composition series of the module  $M$ ,

and let  $U$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Prove that the factors of the series

$$0 = M_0 \cap U \leq M_1 \cap U \leq \dots \leq M_{k-1} \cap U \leq M_k \cap U = U \text{ and}$$

$$0 = (M_0 + U)/U \leq (M_1 + U)/U \leq \dots \leq (M_{k-1} + U)/U \leq (M_k + U)/U = M/U$$

are all simple or zero modules, and at each step the factor is zero in exactly one of the two series, and isomorphic to the corresponding factor  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  in the other.

*Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{i+1} \cap U)/(M_i \cap U) &= (M_{i+1} \cap U)/((M_{i+1} \cap M_i) \cap U) = (M_{i+1} \cap U)/(M_i \cap (M_{i+1} \cap U)) \\ &\cong ((M_{i+1} \cap U) + M_i)/M_i \cong (M_{i+1} \cap (U + M_i))/M_i \leq M_{i+1}/M_i \end{aligned}$$

(using the first isomorphism theorem and the modular identity from Problem Set 1/5). The last module is supposed to be simple, so  $(M_{i+1} \cap U)/(M_i \cap U)$  is either zero or  $\cong M_{i+1}/M_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ((M_{i+1} + U)/U)/((M_i + U)/U) &\cong (M_{i+1} + U)/(M_i + U) = ((M_i + M_{i+1}) + U)/(M_i + U) \\ &= ((M_i + U) + M_{i+1})/(M_i + U) \\ &\cong M_{i+1}/((M_i + U) \cap M_{i+1}) \\ &= M_{i+1}/(M_i + (U \cap M_{i+1})), \end{aligned}$$

and this is a factor of  $M_{i+1}/M_i$  by the second isomorphism theorem, so

$((M_{i+1} + U)/U)/((M_i + U)/U)$  is either zero or it is isomorphic to  $M_{i+1}/M_i$ .

If the  $i$ th factor of the first series is zero, then  $(M_{i+1} \cap U) + M_i = M_i$ , so  $M_{i+1} \cap U \leq M_i$ , so the factor of the second series is isomorphic to  $M_{i+1}/(M_i + (U \cap M_{i+1})) = M_{i+1}/M_i$ .

If the factor of the second series is zero, then  $(M_i + U) \cap M_{i+1} = M_{i+1}$ , so  $M_{i+1} \leq M_i + U$ , so the factor of the first series is isomorphic to  $(M_{i+1} \cap (U + M_i))/M_i = M_{i+1}/M_i$ .

4. Prove that

a)  $\text{Hom}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(M_i, N)$ , and

b)  $\text{Hom}(M, \prod_{i \in I} N_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(M, N_i)$ .

*Solution:* a) Let  $M_i \xrightarrow{\iota_i} \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  be the embedding of the  $i$ 'th component. Then to any

morphism  $\varphi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow N$ , we can assign  $(\iota_i \varphi)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(M_i, N)$ , which is

clearly a homomorphism of abelian groups. This homomorphism is also bijective, because for any  $(\psi_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(M_i, N)$ , there is a unique morphism  $\varphi : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow$

$N$  such that  $\psi_i = \iota_i \varphi$  for every  $i$ , according to the categorical definition of a coproduct.

b) Let  $\prod_{i \in I} N_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} N_i$  be the projection to the  $i$ 'th component. Then to any  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} N_i$ , we can assign  $(\varphi \pi_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(M, N_i)$ , which is clearly a homomorphism of abelian groups. This homomorphism is also bijective, because for any  $(\psi_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(M, N_i)$ , there is a unique morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} N_i$  such that  $\psi_i = \varphi \pi_i$  for every  $i$ , according to the categorical definition of a product.

5. Suppose that for a submodule  $U \leq R_R$ , the factor module  $R_R/U$  is semisimple. Prove that  $U \geq J(R)$ . Give an example when  $R_R/J(R)$  is not semisimple.

*Solution:* If  $R_R/U = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$ , where  $S_i$  are simple, then for every  $i$  there is an epimorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}_i : R_R/U \rightarrow S_i$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker } \tilde{\varphi}_i = \bar{0}$ , and then for the natural extensions  $\varphi_i : R_R \rightarrow S_i$  of  $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ , we have  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker } \varphi_i \leq U$ . Since  $\text{Im } \varphi_i$  are simple, this intersection contains  $J(R)$ , thus  $J(R) \leq U$ .

For  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , the maximal ideals are  $p\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $p$  are primes, and  $\bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} p\mathbb{Z} = 0$  (there is no nonzero integer which is divisible by all primes), so  $J(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . But  $\mathbb{Z}/J(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  is not semisimple, since it cannot be written as a direct sum of simple modules (such a direct sum would have only elements of finite order).

A right or left ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is nilpotent if there is an integer  $k > 0$  such that  $I^k = 0$

6. Prove that the following three statements are equivalent for a right ideal  $J$  of a finite dimensional algebra  $A$ .

- (i)  $J$  is nilpotent.
- (ii) Every simple  $A$ -module is annihilated by  $J$ .
- (iii) Every finite dimensional  $A$ -module is annihilated by an appropriate power of  $J$ .

*Solution:* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose  $S$  is simple. Then  $SJ \leq S \Rightarrow SJ = 0$  or  $SJ = S$ . But if  $SJ = S$ , then  $S = SJ = SJJ = \dots = SJ^k = S0 = 0$  for a large enough  $k$ , which is a contradiction.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): A finite dimensional module has a finite composition series  $0 = M_0 < M_1 < \dots < M_k = M$ , and by condition (ii),  $J$  annihilates every composition factor, i.e.  $M_{i+1}J \leq M_i$  for every  $i$ , so  $MJ^k \leq M_{k-1}J^{k-1} \leq \dots \leq M_1J = 0$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We can apply condition (iii) to  $A_A$ : there is a  $k$  such that  $0 = AJ^k \supseteq 1J^k = J^k$ .

7. Prove the following statements for the Jacobson radical of a finite dimensional algebra  $A$ .
- a)  $J(A)$  annihilates all (semi)simple modules.
  - b)  $J(A)$  is the smallest right ideal such that  $A_A/J(A)$  is semisimple (i.e. it is contained by all the other right ideals with this property).
  - c)  $J(A)$  is the largest nilpotent right ideal (i.e. it contains all the other nilpotent right ideals).
  - d)  $J(A)$  is the only right ideal with the property that  $A_A/J(A)$  is semisimple and  $J(A)$  is nilpotent.
  - e)  $J(A)$  is a two-sided ideal.

*Solution:* a) Let  $M$  be a simple module. Then any  $0 \neq m \in M$  generates  $M$ , i.e.  $mA = M$ . There is a homomorphism  $\varphi : A_A \rightarrow M$  such that  $1 \mapsto m$ . Its kernel is maximal in  $A_A$ , so it contains  $J(A)$ . But then  $0 = J(A)\varphi = 1\varphi J(A) = mJ(A)$ , thus  $J(A)$  annihilates every element of  $M$ . It follows from this that  $(\bigoplus S_i)J = \bigoplus (S_iJ) = \bigoplus 0 = 0$  if all modules  $S_i$  are simple.

- b)  $J(A)$  is the intersection of all maximal right ideals, but since  $A$  is finite dimensional, it is the intersection of finitely many maximal right ideals. Thus  $A/J(A)$  can be embedded into the direct product of finitely many simple modules, but that is also

the direct sum of those simple modules, so  $A/J(A)$  can be embedded into a semisimple module, which implies that  $A/J(A)$  itself is semisimple.

On the other hand, if the factor by some right ideal  $U$  is semisimple then by Problem 5,  $J(A) \leq U$ .

- c) From part a), and Problem 6 it follows that  $J(A)$  is nilpotent. On the other hand, every nilpotent right ideal  $U$  annihilates all simple modules, and so all semisimple modules, as well, thus  $(A/J(A))U = \bar{0}$ , and this means that  $U \leq AU \leq J(A)$ .
- d) Part b) and c) shows that  $J(A)$  is nilpotent, and  $A/J(A)$  is semisimple. On the other hand, if some  $U \leq A_A$  is nilpotent, and  $A/U$  is a semisimple module, then by part b) and c),  $J(A) \leq U$  and  $U \leq J(A)$ , so  $U = J(A)$ .
- e)  $J(A)^k = 0$  implies that  $(AJ(A))^k = A(J(A)A)^{k-1}J(A) \leq AJ(A)^k = A0 = 0$ , so  $AJ(A)$  is also nilpotent. But  $J(A)$  contains all nilpotent right ideals by part c), so  $AJ(A) \leq J(A)$ .

8. Which of the rings in problem 2 are semisimple? What is the Jacobson radical in each case?

*Solution:* We use the notation  $A$  instead of  $R$ , to remind ourselves that all four rings are actually finite dimensional algebras.

- a) Let us observe that  $(1-a)^4 = 0$ , and  $A$  is commutative, so  $((1-a)A)^4 = (1-a)^4A^4 \leq 0A = 0$ , thus  $(1-a)A \leq J(A)$  by 7.c). This ideal also contains  $1-a^2 = (1-a)(1+a)$  and  $1-a^3 = (1-a)(1+a+a^2)$ , so it is at least 3-dimensional. But  $J(A) \neq A$  if  $A$  is a finite dimensional algebra, so  $J(A) = (1-a)A$ . In fact, this ideal consists of those elements, for which the sum of the coefficients is 0. This also means that  $A$  is not semisimple.
- b)  $J(A)$  is the direct sum of the Jacobson radical of the three components, so it is either 1-dimensional or 0, depending on whether the 2-dimensional component is simple or not. But there is a 2-dimensional simple module over  $\mathbb{R}C_4$ : the generator element  $a$  can act on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by the matrix  $m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . The order of this matrix is 4, so  $a \mapsto m$  gives a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}C_4$  to  $\text{End } \mathbb{R}^2$ , and this vector space has no nontrivial  $m$ -invariant subspace, since  $m$  has no real eigenvector. So  $A_A$  must have a 2-dimensional simple module among its composition factors, thus the last component must be simple, so  $A$  is semisimple and  $J(A) = 0$ .
- c) The solution of 2.c) shows that  $A_A$  is the direct sum of four 1-dimensional simple modules, so  $A$  is semisimple and  $J(A) = 0$ .
- d) The upper triangular matrices whose diagonal elements are all 0 clearly form an ideal  $I$ , and this ideal is also nilpotent (its third power is 0), so this ideal is included in  $J(A)$ . On the other hand,  $A/I \cong \{\text{diagonal matrices}\} \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is semisimple, so  $J(A) = I$ .

**HW1.** Suppose that  $M$  is a direct summand of  $R_R$ , i.e.  $M = eR$  for some idempotent element  $e$ . Prove that  $M$  is decomposable if and only if there is an idempotent element  $f \in eRe$  such that  $0 \neq f \neq e$ .

**HW2.** Prove that  $\text{Hom}(M, \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(M, N_i)$  if  $M$  is finitely generated.