Maximum likelihood estimation of the parameters
of the multivariate normal distribution and
the distribution of these estimators (the Wishart distribution)

Definition 1 The \( p \times p \) random matrix \( W \) is a (centered) Wishart-matrix if it is of the form \( W = XX^T \), where the column vectors of the \( p \times n \) random matrix \( X \) are i.i.d. \( \mathcal{N}_p(0, C) \) random vectors. In other words, the joint distribution of the entries of \( W \) is called Wishart-distribution with parameters \( p \) (dimension), \( n \) (degrees of freedom), and \( C \) (positive definite covariance matrix).

This matrix is named after Wishart (1934) and it is the first random matrix of the history. We use the notation: \( W \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n, C) \).

We remark the following:

- It is easy to see that \( W \) is symmetric, positive semidefinite (Gram-matrix). Because of its symmetry, \( W \) follows, in fact, a \( p(p+1)/2 \)-dimensional distribution.

- Denoting the column vectors of \( X \) by \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \), \( W = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k X_k^T \).

- If \( C > 0 \) and \( n > p \), then \( W > 0 \) (positive definite) with probability 1.

- The \( \mathcal{W}_p(n, I_p) \)-distribution is called standard Wishart-distribution. In the case of \( p = 1 \), it is the \( \chi^2(n) \)-distribution.

Proposition 1 (Standardization) Let \( C > 0 \) be symmetric, positive definite. Then \( W \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n, C) \) holds if and only if \( C^{-1/2}WC^{-1/2} \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n, I_p) \).

The proof is the easy consequence of the fact, learned in Lesson 1, that \( X_i \sim \mathcal{N}_p(0, C) \) if and only if \( Y_i = C^{-1/2}X_i \sim \mathcal{N}_p(0, I_p) \).

Proposition 2 (Additivity) If \( W_1 \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n, C) \) and \( W_2 \sim \mathcal{W}_p(m, C) \) are independent, then \( W_1 + W_2 \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n+m, C) \).

Theorem 1 (Lukács) Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}_p(m, C) \) be i.i.d. sample, further

\[
\bar{X} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k \quad \text{and} \quad S := \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^T.
\]

Then

1. \( X \sim \mathcal{N}_p(m, \frac{1}{n}C) \),
2. \( S \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n-1, C) \),
3. \( \bar{X} \) and \( S \) are (stochastically) independent. (Equivalently, \( \bar{X} \) is independent of both the empirical- and corrected empirical covariance matrices of the sample.)
Proof: The first statement follows from the previously learned facts: $\mathbf{X}$ is linear combination and we proved that its covariance matrix is $\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{C}$.

To prove the other two statements, let us transform the vectors $\mathbf{X}_k$’s with an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix $\mathbf{V}$ such that it contains entries equal to $1/\sqrt{n}$ all along its last line. This implies that the sum of the entries in its first $n-1$ rows are all zeros. The transformation is the following:

$$\mathbf{Y}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{ik} \mathbf{X}_k, \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),$$

where $v_{ik}$’s are the entries of $\mathbf{V}$. Equivalently, with matrix notation: Let $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_n)$ be $p \times n$ matrices. Then

$$\mathbf{Y}^T = \mathbf{VX}^T \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{XV}^T.$$

The so defined random vectors $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_n$ also have multivariate normal distribution and they are independent. Indeed, because of the multivariate normality it suffices to check that their cross-covariance matrices are zeros:

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{Y}_j) = \mathbb{E}\left[ (\mathbf{Y}_i - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}_i)(\mathbf{Y}_j - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}_j)^T \right] =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{ik}(\mathbf{X}_k - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_k)\sum_{l=1}^{n} v_{jl}(\mathbf{X}_l - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_l)^T \right] =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} v_{ik}v_{jl}\mathbb{E}\left[ (\mathbf{X}_k - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_k)(\mathbf{X}_l - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_l)^T \right] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} v_{ik}v_{jl}\delta_{kl} \text{Var}\mathbf{X}_k =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{ik}v_{jk}\text{Var}\mathbf{X}_k = \mathbf{C} \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{ik}v_{jk} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{C}.$$

This implies that the cross-covariance matrix of $\mathbf{Y}_i$ and $\mathbf{Y}_j$ is the zero matrix if $i \neq j$; therefore, they are independent of each other. Further, in the $i = j$ case we obtain that the covariance matrix of any $\mathbf{Y}_i$ is $\mathbf{C}$.

Their expectation vectors are:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{ij}\mathbb{E}\mathbf{X}_j = m \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{ij} = 0$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}_n = \sqrt{n}\mathbf{m}$, since $\mathbf{Y}_n = \sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{X}}$.

Summarizing, $\mathbf{Y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_{n-1} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(0, \mathbf{C})$, $\mathbf{Y}_n \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\sqrt{n}\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{C})$, and they are completely independent.

We will also need that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{Y}_k \mathbf{Y}_k^T = \mathbf{YY}^T = \mathbf{XV}^T \mathbf{VX}^T = \mathbf{XX}^T = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_k^T,$$

where we used the above transformations and the fact that $\mathbf{V}$ being orthogonal, $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_n$. 
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Finally, we will write $S$ in terms of the first $n - 1$ $Y_i$'s. In view of the multidimensional Steiner equality:

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - \bar{X})(X_k - \bar{X})^T = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_kX_k^T - n\bar{X}\bar{X}^T =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_kY_k^T - n \left( \frac{Y_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \left( \frac{Y_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^T = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Y_kY_k^T.$$

In this way, $S$ is the dyadic sum of $n - 1$ independent $N_p(0, C)$ random vectors. Hence, by the definition of the Wishart-distribution, $S \sim W_p(n - 1, C)$. Since $Y_n$ and therefore $\bar{X}$, is independent of the first $n - 1$ $Y_i$'s, it is also independent of $S$. This finishes the proof.

**Theorem 2 (ML-estimation of the multivariate normal parameters)** Based on the $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim N_p(m, C)$ i.i.d. sample, the maximum likelihood (ML) estimators of the parameters are:

$$\hat{m} = \bar{X}, \quad \hat{C} = \frac{1}{n} S.$$

**Proof:** Consider the likelihood function written in the following form (see the preceding lesson):

$$L_{m,C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2}|C|^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_k - m)^T C^{-1} (X_k - m)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{np/2}|C|^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} tr(C^{-1}S)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} n(X - m)^T C^{-1} (X - m)}.$$

The ln function being strictly increasing, to find the place of the maximum, it suffices to maximize the so-called log-likelihood function with respect to the parameters:

$$l_{m,C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \ln L_{m,C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$$

$$= c - \frac{n}{2} \ln |C| - \frac{1}{2} tr(C^{-1}S) - \frac{1}{2} n(X - m)^T C^{-1} (X - m),$$

where the constant $c$ does not depend on the parameters.

Observe that the quadratic form in the last term is nonnegative (since $C$, and hence, $C^{-1}$ is positive definite), and it is zero if and only if $X = m$, irrespective of the actual value of $C$. Therefore, the ML-estimator of $m$ is $\hat{m} = X$, and substituting it for $m$, the last term vanishes. Then we maximize the remaining part

$$l_{\hat{m},C}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = c - \frac{n}{2} \ln |C| - \frac{1}{2} tr(C^{-1}S)$$

of the log-likelihood function with respect to $C$, or equivalently, with respect to $C^{-1}$. That is, we maximize the function

$$g(C^{-1}) = c + \frac{n}{2} \ln |C^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} tr(C^{-1}S)$$

with respect to $C^{-1}$. For this purpose, we take its derivative with respect to $C^{-1}$, where the result of the differentiation is a matrix, the $ij$-th entry of which
is the derivative of the scalar function \( g(C^{-1}) \) with respect to the \( ij \)-th entry of \( C^{-1} \). (In fact, this is the gradient, the components of which vector are stored in matrix form.)

We will use the following rules of differentiating the matrix–scalar functions determinant and trace with respect to the matrix itself. If \( A \) and \( S \) are symmetric matrices, then

\[
\frac{\partial |A|}{\partial A} = \text{adj}(A)^T
\]

the adjoint matrix (of the signed minors) of \( A \), and

\[
\frac{\partial \text{tr}(AS)}{\partial A} = S^T.
\]

Using these rules and the symmetry of \( C \) and \( S \), we get that

\[
\frac{\partial g(C^{-1})}{\partial C^{-1}} = \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{|C^{-1}|} \frac{\partial |C^{-1}|}{\partial C^{-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \text{tr}(C^{-1}S)}{\partial C^{-1}} = \frac{n}{2} C - \frac{1}{2} S.
\]

If we make it equal to the \( p \times p \) zero matrix, then we get that the ML-estimator of \( C \) is \( \frac{1}{n} S \). To prove that this indeed provides the global maximum, see the Supplementary material. This finishes the proof.

In the Supplementary material you can also see the parameter space of the multivariate normal distribution, and some remarks concerning Theorem 1.

Only for the Multivariate Statistics course

**Theorem 3** The density of the standard Wishart matrix \( W \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n, I_p) \) and that of its eigenvalues is

\[
c_{np}|W|^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}nW} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_{np}(\prod_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j)^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j} \prod_{j \neq k} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k|,
\]

where the normalizing constants \( c_{np} \) and \( \kappa_{np} \) only depend on \( p \) and \( n \) \((n > p)\).

For the proof see the Supplementary material. Using transformation formulas and Proposition 1, we obtain the density of a general Wishart-matrix:

**Theorem 4** The density of the Wishart-matrix \( W \sim \mathcal{W}_p(n, C) \) is

\[
c_{np}|W|^{\frac{n-p-1}{2}} |C|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}nC^{-1}W}.
\]