

# SUMSETS AND THE CONVEX HULL

MÁTÉ MATOLCSI AND IMRE Z. RUZSA

ABSTRACT. We extend Freiman's inequality on the cardinality of the sumset of a  $d$  dimensional set. We consider different sets related by an inclusion of their convex hull, and one of them added possibly several times.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to give a lower estimate for the cardinality of certain sumsets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

We say that a set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is *proper  $d$ -dimensional* if it is not contained in any affine hyperplane.

Our starting point is the following classical theorem of Freiman.

**Theorem 1.1** (Freiman[1], Lemma 1.14). *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a finite set,  $|A| = m$ . Assume that  $A$  is proper  $d$ -dimensional. Then*

$$|A + A| \geq m(d + 1) - \frac{d(d + 1)}{2}.$$

We will show that to get this inequality it is sufficient to use the vertices (extremal points) of  $A$ .

**Definition 1.2.** We say that a point  $a \in A$  is a *vertex* of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  if it is not in the convex hull of  $A \setminus \{a\}$ . The set of vertices will be denoted by  $\text{vert } A$ .

The convex hull of a set  $A$  will be denoted by  $\text{conv } A$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a finite set,  $|A| = m$ . Assume that  $A$  is proper  $d$ -dimensional, and let  $A' = \text{vert } A$ . We have*

$$|A + A'| \geq m(d + 1) - \frac{d(d + 1)}{2}.$$

This can be extended to different summands as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be finite sets,  $|A| = m$ . Assume that  $B$  is proper  $d$ -dimensional and  $A \subset \text{conv } B$ . We have*

$$|A + B| \geq m(d + 1) - \frac{d(d + 1)}{2}.$$

Finally we extend it to several summands as follows. We use  $kB = B + \dots + B$  to denote repeated addition. As far as we know even the case of  $A = B$  seems to be new here.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B50, 11B75, 11P70.

Supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grants No. PF-64061, T-049301, T-047276.

Supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grants No. K 61908, K 72731.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be finite sets,  $|A| = m$ . Assume that  $B$  is proper  $d$ -dimensional and  $A \subset \text{conv } B$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer. We have*

$$(1.1) \quad |A + kB| \geq m \binom{d+k}{k} - k \binom{d+k}{k+1} = \left(m - \frac{kd}{k+1}\right) \binom{d+k}{k}.$$

The case  $d = 1$  of the above theorems is quite obvious. In [2] we gave a less obvious result which compares a complete sum and its subsums, which sounds as follows.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  be finite, nonempty sets of integers. Let  $A'_i$  be the set consisting of the smallest and the largest elements of  $A_i$  (so that  $1 \leq |A'_i| \leq 2$ ). Put*

$$\begin{aligned} S &= A_1 + \dots + A_k, \\ S_i &= A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1} + A_{i+1} + \dots + A_k, \\ S'_i &= A_1 + \dots + A_{i-1} + A'_i + A_{i+1} + \dots + A_k, \\ S' &= \bigcup_{i=1}^k S'_i. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(1.2) \quad |S| \geq |S'| \geq \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^k |S_i| - \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

**Problem 1.7.** Generalize Theorem 1.6 to multidimensional sets. A proper generalization should give the correct order of magnitude, hence the analog of (1.2) could be of the form

$$|S| \geq |S'| \geq \left(\frac{k^{d-1}}{(k-1)^d} - \varepsilon\right) \sum_{i=1}^k |S_i|$$

if all sets are sufficiently large.

**Problem 1.8.** Let  $A, B_1, \dots, B_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that the  $B_i$  are proper  $d$ -dimensional and

$$A \subset \text{conv } B_1 \subset \text{conv } B_2 \subset \dots \subset \text{conv } B_k.$$

Does the estimate given in (1.1) also hold for  $A + B_1 + \dots + B_k$ ?

This is easy for  $d = 1$ .

## 2. A SIMPLICIAL DECOMPOSITION

We will need a result about simplicial decomposition.

By a *simplex* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we mean a proper  $d$ -dimensional compact set which is the convex hull of  $d + 1$  points.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be simplices,  $B_i = \text{vert } S_i$ . We say that they are in *regular position*, if

$$S_1 \cap S_2 = \text{conv}(B_1 \cap B_2),$$

that is, they meet in a common  $k$ -dimensional face for some  $k \leq d$ . (This does not exclude the extremal cases when they are disjoint or they coincide.) We say that a collection of simplices is in regular position if any two of them are.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a proper  $d$  dimensional finite set,  $S = \text{conv } B$ . There is a sequence  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  of distinct simplices in regular position with the following properties.*

- a)  $S = \bigcup S_i$ .
- b)  $B_i = \text{vert } S_i = S_i \cap B$ .
- c) Each  $S_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$  meets at least one of  $S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}$  in a  $(d-1)$  dimensional face.

We mentioned this lemma to several geometers and all answered “of course” and offered a proof immediately, but none could name a reference with this formulation, so we include a proof for completeness. This proof was communicated to us by prof. Károly Böröczki.

*Proof.* We use induction on  $|B|$ . The case  $|B| = 2$  is clear. Let  $|B| = k$ , and assume we know it for smaller sets (in any possible dimension).

Let  $b$  be a vertex of  $B$  and apply it for the set  $B' = B \setminus \{b\}$ . This set may be  $d$  or  $d-1$  dimensional.

First case:  $B'$  is  $d$  dimensional. With the natural notation let

$$S' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n'} S'_i$$

be the prescribed decomposition of  $S' = \text{conv } B'$ . We start the decomposition of  $S$  with these, and add some more as follows.

We say that a point  $x$  of  $S'$  is *visible* from  $b$ , if  $x$  is the only point of the segment joining  $x$  and  $b$  in  $S'$ . Some of the simplices  $S'_i$  have (one or more)  $d-1$  dimensional faces that are completely visible from  $b$ . Now if  $F$  is such a face, then we add the simplex

$$\text{conv}(F \cup \{b\})$$

to our list.

Second case:  $B'$  is  $d-1$  dimensional. Again we start with the decomposition of  $S'$ , just in this case the sets  $S'_i$  will be  $d-1$  dimensional simplices. Now the decomposition of  $S$  will simply consist of

$$S_i = \text{conv}(S'_i \cup \{b\}), \quad n = n'.$$

□

The construction above immediately gave property c). We note that it is not really an extra requirement, every decomposition has it after a suitable rearrangement. This just means that the graph obtained by using our simplices as vertices and connecting two of them if they share a  $d-1$  dimensional face is connected. Now take two simplices, say  $S_i$  and  $S_j$ . Take an inner point in each and connect them by a segment. For a generic choice of these point this segment will not meet any of the  $\leq d-2$  dimensional faces of any  $S_k$ . Now as we walk along this segment and go from one simplex into another, this gives a path in our graph between the vertices corresponding to  $S_i$  and  $S_j$ .

### 3. THE CASE OF A SIMPLEX

Here we prove Theorem 1.5 for the case  $|B| = d+1$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be finite sets,  $|A| = m$ ,  $|B| = d + 1$ . Assume that  $B$  is proper  $d$ -dimensional and  $A \subset \text{conv } B$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Write  $|A \cap B| = m_1$ . We have*

$$(3.1) \quad |A + kB| = (m - m_1) \binom{d+k}{k} + \binom{d+k+1}{k+1} - \binom{d-m_1+k+1}{k+1}.$$

In particular, if  $|A \cap B| \leq 1$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad |A + kB| = m \binom{d+k}{k}.$$

We have always

$$(3.3) \quad |A + kB| \geq m \binom{d+k}{k} - k \binom{d+k}{k+1} = \left( m - \frac{kd}{k+1} \right) \binom{d+k}{k}.$$

*Proof.* Put  $A_1 = A \cap B$ ,  $A_2 = A \setminus B$ . Write  $B = \{b_0, \dots, b_d\}$ , arranged in such a way that

$$A_1 = A \cap B = \{b_0, \dots, b_{m_1-1}\}.$$

The elements of  $kB$  are the points of the form

$$s = \sum_{i=0}^d x_i b_i, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, x_i \geq 0, \quad \sum x_i = k,$$

and this representation is unique. Clearly

$$|kB| = \binom{d+k}{k}.$$

Each element of  $A$  has a unique representation of the form

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i d_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i \geq 0, \quad \sum \alpha_i = 1,$$

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^d \alpha_i b_i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i \geq 0, \quad \sum \alpha_i = 1,$$

and if  $a \in A_1$ , then some  $\alpha_i = 1$  and the others are equal to 0, while if  $a \in A_2$ , then at least two  $\alpha_i$ 's are positive.

Assume now that  $a + s = a' + s'$  with certain  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $s, s' \in kB$ . By substituting the above representations we obtain

$$\sum (\alpha_i + x_i) b_i = \sum (\alpha'_i + x'_i) b_i, \quad \sum (\alpha_i + x_i) = \sum (\alpha'_i + x'_i) = k + 1,$$

hence  $\alpha_i + x_i = \alpha'_i + x'_i$  for all  $i$ . By looking at the integral and fractional parts we see that this is possible only if  $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i$ , or one of them is 1 and the other is 0. If the second possibility never happens, then  $a = a'$ . If it happens, say  $\alpha_i = 1, \alpha'_i = 0$  for some  $i$ , then  $\alpha_j = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$  and then each  $a'_j$  must also be 0 or 1, that is,  $a, a' \in A_1$ .

The previous discussion shows that  $(A_1 + kB) \cap (A_2 + kB) = \emptyset$  and the sets  $a + kB$ ,  $a \in A_2$  are disjoint, hence

$$|A + kB| = |A_1 + kB| + |A_2 + kB|$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad |A_2 + kB| = |A_2| |kB| = (m - m_1) \binom{d+k}{k}.$$

Now we calculate  $|A_1 + kB|$ . The elements of this set are of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^d x_i b_i, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, x_i \geq 0, \quad \sum x_i = k + 1,$$

with the additional requirement that there is at least one subscript  $i$ ,  $i \leq m_1 - 1$  with  $x_i \geq 1$ . Without this requirement the number would be the same as

$$|(k+1)B| = \binom{d+k+1}{k+1}.$$

The vectors  $(x_0, \dots, x_d)$  that violate this requirement are those that use only the last  $d - m_1$  coordinates, hence their number is

$$\binom{d - m_1 + k + 1}{k + 1}.$$

We obtain that

$$|A_1 + kB| = \binom{d+k+1}{k+1} - \binom{d - m_1 + k + 1}{k + 1}.$$

Adding this formula to (3.4) we get (3.1).

If  $m_1 = 0$  or  $1$ , this formula reduces to the one given in (3.2).

To show inequality (3.3), observe that this formula is a decreasing function of  $m_1$ , hence the minimal value is at  $m_1 = d + 1$ , which after an elementary transformation corresponds to the right side of (3.3). Naturally this is attained only if  $m \geq d + 1$ , and for small values of  $m$  the right side of (3.3) may even be negative.  $\square$

#### 4. THE GENERAL CASE

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* We apply Lemma 2.2 to our set  $B$ . This decomposition induces a decomposition of  $A$  as follows. We put

$$A_1 = A \cap S_1, A_2 = A \cap (S_2 \setminus S_1), \dots, A_n = A \cap (S_n \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1})).$$

Clearly the sets  $A_i$  are disjoint and their union is  $A$ . Recall the notation  $B_i = \text{vert } S_i$ .

We claim that the sets  $A_i + kB_i$  are also disjoint.

Indeed, suppose that  $a + s = a' + s'$  with  $a \in A_i$ ,  $a' \in A_j$ ,  $s \in kB_i$ ,  $s' \in kB_j$ ,  $i < j$ . We have

$$\frac{a + s}{k + 1} \in S_i, \quad \frac{a' + s'}{k + 1} \in S_j,$$

and these points are equal, so they are in

$$S_i \cap S_j = \text{conv}(B_i \cap B_j).$$

This means that in the unique convex representation of  $(a' + s')/(k + 1)$  by points of  $B_j$  only elements of  $B_i \cap B_j$  are used. However, we can obtain this representation via using the representation of  $a'$  and the components of  $s'$ , hence we must have  $a' \in \text{conv}(B_i \cap B_k) \subset S_i$ , a contradiction.

This disjointness yields

$$|A + kB| \geq \sum |A_i + kB_i|.$$

We estimate the summands using Lemma 3.1.

If  $i > 1$ , then  $|A_i \cap B_i| \leq 1$ . Indeed, there is a  $j < i$  such that  $S_j$  has a common  $d - 1$  dimensional face with  $S_i$ , and then the  $d$  vertices of this face are excluded from  $A_i$  by definition. So in this case (3.2) gives

$$|A_i + kB_i| = |A_i| \binom{d+k}{k}.$$

For  $i = 1$  we can only use the weaker estimate (3.3):

$$|A_1 + kB_1| \geq |A_1| \binom{d+k}{k} - k \binom{d+k}{k+1}.$$

Summing these equations we obtain (1.1). □

**Acknowledgement.** The authors profited much from discussions with Katalin Gyarmati and Károly Böröczky. In particular, we are indebted to Prof. Böröczky for the present version of the proof of Lemma 2.2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Freiman, *Foundations of a structural theory of set addition*, American Math. Soc., 1973.
- [2] Katalin Gyarmati, M. Matolcsi, and I. Z. Ruzsa, *A superadditivity and submultiplicativity property for cardinalities of sumsets*, *Combinatorica*, to appear.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST, PF. 127, H-1364 HUNGARY, (ALSO AT BME DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS, BUDAPEST, H-1111, EGRY J. U. 1)  
*E-mail address:* `matomate@renyi.hu`

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST, PF. 127, H-1364 HUNGARY  
*E-mail address:* `ruzsar@renyi.hu`