## Mathematical Statistical Physics – LMU München, summer semester 2012 Hartmut Ruhl, Imre Péter Tóth Homework sheet 12 – solutions

12.1 (homework) Ising model: Weak sensitivity of the canonical probability of a "+" on a single neighbouring spin at high temperature. Consider the (nearest neighbour ferromagnetic) Ising model without external field on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , with interaction constant J = 1:

$$H(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|i-j|=1} \sigma_i \sigma_j = -\sum_{\{i,j\}:i\sim j} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

(That is: count every pair of neighbours once with interaction 1, or count every pair twice with interaction 1/2.) Let  $\gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta)$  denote the probability of finding a spin +1 at position  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  with respect to the canonical measure in the box  $\Lambda = \{k\}$  with boundary condition  $\eta \in \Omega = \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ . For  $\eta \in \Omega$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $\eta_j^+$  denote the configuration which is the same as  $\eta$  everywhere except possibly at j, where it is +1. Define  $\eta_j^-$  similarly.

Show that for any  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and any  $\eta \in \Omega$ ,

$$|\gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta_j^+) - \gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta_j^-)| \le \beta \mathbf{1}_{k \sim j}.$$

**Solution:** Since the interaction is nearest-neighbour,  $\gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta)$  clearly depends only on the spins neighbouring k (i.e. also not on the spin at k), so  $\gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta_j^+) - \gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta_j^-) = 0$  unless  $k \sim j$ . Moreover,  $\gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta)$  depends only on the number of + spins around k – which can be  $0, 1, \ldots, 2d$ . Let  $\gamma(m)$  denote the value of  $\gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta)$  for those  $\eta$  for which this number is m. This way if  $j \sim k$ ,

$$|\gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta_j^{+}) - \gamma_k^{\beta}(+|\eta_j^{-})| = |\gamma(m+1) - \gamma(m)|$$

for some  $m \in \{0, 1, ..., 2d - 1\}$  and we only need to find the maximum of these 2d values. For that, we explicitly calculate  $\gamma(m)$ . First calculate the energies  $H(+|m) := H_k(+|\eta)$  and  $H(-|m) := H_k(-|\eta)$  when  $\eta$  has m "+" spins around k:

$$H(+|m) = -[m \cdot ((+1) \cdot (+1)) + (2d - m) \cdot ((+1) \cdot (-1))] = 2(d - m)$$
  
$$H(-|m) = -[m \cdot ((-1) \cdot (+1)) + (2d - m) \cdot ((-1) \cdot (-1))] = 2(m - d)$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\gamma(m) = \frac{e^{-\beta H(+|m)}}{e^{-\beta H(+|m)} + e^{-\beta H(-|m)}} = \frac{e^{2\beta(m-d)}}{e^{2\beta(m-d)} + e^{2\beta(d-m)}}$$

(increasing in *m*, of course, since the model is ferromagnetic). We simply estimate the largest possible value of  $\gamma(m+1) - \gamma(m)$  by the maximal derivative of  $\gamma(x)$  (to be precise, we can refer to the Lagrange mean value theorem):

$$\gamma'(x) = 4\beta \frac{e^{4\beta(d-x)}}{(1+e^{4\beta(d-x)})^2}.$$

If we introduce  $y := e^{4\beta(d-x)}$ , we get

$$\gamma'(x) = 4\beta \frac{y}{(1+y)^2} \le 4\beta \frac{1}{4} = \beta$$

which implies

$$|\gamma(m+1) - \gamma(m)| = \gamma(m+1) - \gamma(m) \le \beta$$

## 12.2 (homework) Ising model: independence of the thermodynamic limit from the boundary conditions.

(a) Consider a finite  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  and the phase space  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = \{-1, 1\}^{\Lambda}$  with two different Hamiltonians  $H_{\Lambda}, H'_{\Lambda} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $||H_{\Lambda} - H'_{\Lambda}||$  denote the distance of  $H_{\Lambda}$  and  $H'_{\Lambda}$  in the supremum norm:

$$||H_{\Lambda} - H'_{\Lambda}|| := \max_{\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda}} |H_{\Lambda}(\sigma) - H'_{\Lambda}(\sigma)|$$

Consider the canonical distributions with the partition functions  $Z(\Lambda, \beta)$  and  $Z'(\Lambda, \beta)$ and, as usual, let  $p_{\Lambda}(\beta)$  and  $p'_{\Lambda}(\beta)$  denote the thermodynamic pressures for the two Hamiltonians:

$$p_{\Lambda}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta |\Lambda|} \log Z(\Lambda, \beta),$$
  
$$p'_{\Lambda}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta |\Lambda|} \log Z'(\Lambda, \beta).$$

Estimate  $|p_{\Lambda}(\beta) - p'_{\Lambda}(\beta)|$  with the help of  $||H_{\Lambda} - H'_{\Lambda}||$ .

(b) We say that  $\Lambda_n \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda_{n+1}$  and  $\bigcup_n \Lambda_n = \mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that  $\Lambda_n \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$  in the sense of van Hove if  $\Lambda_n \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\frac{|\partial \Lambda_n|}{|\Lambda_n|} \to 0$ , where  $\partial \Lambda$  denotes the boundray of  $\Lambda$ :

$$\partial\Lambda := \{k \in \Lambda : dist(k, \Lambda^c) = 1\}.$$

Consider the Ising model (with external filed) for a sequence  $\Lambda_n$  such that  $\Lambda_n \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$  in the sense of van Hove, and with an arbitrary sequence  $\eta_n$  of boundary conditions. Show that the limiting thermodynamic pressure

$$p(\beta, h) := \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{\Lambda_n}(\beta, h)$$

is independent of the boundary conditions.

(Remark: We have not fully checked, but it is true that the limit exist for empty boundary conditions, and is independent of the sequence  $\Lambda_n$ .)

## Solution:

(a) To write less, introduce  $K := ||H_{\Lambda} - H'_{\Lambda}||$ . With this, for every  $\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda}$ 

$$e^{-\beta K} \le \frac{H'_{\Lambda}(\sigma)}{H_{\Lambda}(\sigma)} \le e^{\beta K},$$

which implies

$$e^{-\beta K} \le \frac{Z'(\Lambda,\beta)}{Z(\Lambda,\beta)} \le e^{\beta K},$$

which in turn implies

$$-\frac{K}{|\Lambda|} \le p'_{\Lambda}(\beta) - p_{\Lambda}(\beta) \le \frac{K}{|\Lambda|}$$

that is,

$$|p_{\Lambda}(\beta) - p'_{\Lambda}(\beta)| \le \frac{||H_{\Lambda} - H'_{\Lambda}||}{|\Lambda|}$$

(b) Let  $\eta_n$  and  $\nu_n$  be any two boundary conditions and let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_{\Lambda_n}(\sigma) & := & H_{\Lambda_n}(\sigma | \eta_n), \\ H'_{\Lambda_n}(\sigma) & := & H_{\Lambda_n}(\sigma | \nu_n). \end{array}$$

Since the interaction is nearest neighbour and bounded, the contribution of the boundary condition to the energy is limited by the number of spins at the boundary:

$$||H_{\Lambda} - H'_{\Lambda}|| \le const |\partial \Lambda_n|.$$

By the previous part this implies

$$|p'_{\Lambda_n}(\beta,h) - p_{\Lambda_n}(\beta,h)| \le const \frac{|\partial \Lambda_n|}{|\Lambda_n|}$$

which goes to zero by our assumption that  $\Lambda_n \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$  in the sense of van Hove.

- 12.3 Symmetry braking in the 2d Ising model: Peierls argument. For  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  an elementary contour  $\gamma$  is a sequence of edges of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  which forms a non-self-intersecting circle of the dual graph. Such a contour has an "inside" and an "outside", both consisting of points of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Consider the (nearest neighbour) ferromagnetic Ising model on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  without external field. For a configuration  $\sigma \in \Omega = \{\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ , an elementary contour  $\gamma$  is said to be present if all the spins adjacent to the contour on the inside are +1 and all the spins adjacent to the contour on the outside are -1, or vice versa.
  - (a) For  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and an elementary contour  $\gamma$  which is "inside  $\Lambda$ ", show that there is a 1-1 correspondence between configurations  $\sigma$  for which  $\gamma$  is present, and configurations  $\sigma'$  for which all the spins adjacent to  $\gamma$  are the same.
  - (b) Estimate the probability (w.r.t. the canonical measure) of a given contour  $\gamma$  of length n being present.
  - (c) Give a rough estimate for the number of elementary contours of length n that surroud the origin. The estimate can be rough, but make sure that it's at most exponential in n.
  - (d) Based on the previous two points, give the easiest possible estimate for the probability that a contour surrounding the origin is present.
  - (e) Consider the ferromagnetic Ising model (without external field) in the box  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with the boundary condition " $\eta = +1$  everywhere". Show that if  $\beta$  is big enough, then there is an  $\alpha = \alpha(\beta) < \frac{1}{2}$  such that for any  $\Lambda$

$$\mu_{can}^{\beta}(\{\sigma_0 = -1\}|\eta) \le \alpha.$$

- (f) Conclude that for  $\beta$  big enough, the Gibbs measure is not unique.
- (g) Conclude that for  $\beta$  big enough,  $p(\beta, h)$  is not differentiable in h at h = 0.