

**Probability 1**  
**CEU Budapest, fall semester 2018**  
 Imre Péter Tóth  
**Homework sheet 1 – solutions**

1.1 (**homework**) Define a  $\sigma$ -algebra as follows:

**Definition 1** For a nonempty set  $\Omega$ , a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\omega$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^\Omega$ , where  $2^\Omega := \{A : A \subset \Omega\}$  is the power set of  $\Omega$ ) is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\Omega$  if

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A^C := \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complement taking)
- if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) \in \mathcal{F}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable union).

Show from this definition that a  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under countable intersection, and under finite union and intersection.

**Solution:**

If  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A_i := \Omega \setminus B_i \in \mathcal{F}$  as well, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  due to (1), and thus  $C := (\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) \in \mathcal{F}$  by (1). Finally,  $\Omega \setminus C \in \mathcal{F}$  by (1), but  $\Omega \setminus C = \cap_{i=1}^\infty B_i$  by the basics of set algebra, so we have shown that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable intersection. For finite union, notice that if  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , then we can choose  $A_{n+1} = A_{n+2} = \dots = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$  by (1), to get  $(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = (\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) \in \mathcal{F}$  by (1). So  $\mathcal{F}$  is shown to be closed under finite union. Closedness under finite intersection can be seen similarly.

1.2 (**homework**) *Continuity of the measure*

a.) Prove the following:

**Theorem 1** (*Continuity of the measure*)

- i. If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is an increasing sequence of measurable sets (i.e.  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ ), then  $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i)$  (and both sides of the equation make sense).
- ii. If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a measure space,  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a decreasing sequence of measurable sets (i.e.  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_i \supset A_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ ) and  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(\cap_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i)$  (and both sides of the equation make sense).

b.) Show that in the second statement the condition  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$  is needed, by constructing a counterexample for the statement when this condition does not hold.

**Solution:**

a.) i. Let  $B_1 = A_1$  and let  $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . so  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint and

- $A_n = \cup_{i=1}^n B_i$ , so by additivity  $\mu(A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i)$
- $\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i = \cup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$ , so by  $\sigma$ -additivity

$$\nu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(B_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

ii. Let  $C_i = A_1 \setminus A_i$ , so  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  is an increasing sequence and we can apply the result of the previous point:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\cap_{i=1}^\infty A_i) &= \mu(A_1 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^\infty C_i) = \mu(A_1) - \mu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty C_i) = \mu(A_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_n) \\ &= \mu(A_1) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\mu(A_1) - \mu(A_n)] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Whenever we wrote  $\mu(A_1)$  in a subtraction, we heavily used that  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ .

b.) Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mu$  be Lebesgue measure and let  $A_i = [i, \infty)$ . Then  $\mu(A_i) = \infty$  for every  $i$ , but  $\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

1.3 (**homework**) Let  $\Omega = \{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq 6\}$  be the set of all 36 possible outcomes in an experiment where we roll a blue and a red die: the result of the experiment is a pair of numbers between 1 and 6, the first number being the number rolled on the blue die, and the second number being the number rolled on the red one.

Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $f((i, j)) := i + j$ , so  $f$  is the sum of the two numbers rolled. Clearly, the range of  $f$  is  $Y := \{2, 3, \dots, 12\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the discrete  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $Y$  and let

$$\mathcal{F} := \{f^{-1}(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{G}\},$$

so  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^\Omega$ .

a.) Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\Omega$ .

b.) Describe the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ : which are the sets that belong to it? Give examples of subsets of  $\Omega$  that are not in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Solution:**

a.) We check the definition:

- $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, so  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G}$ , so  $\emptyset = f^{-1}(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A = f^{-1}B$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $Y \setminus B \in \mathcal{G}$  as well, so  $\Omega \setminus A = f^{-1}(Y \setminus B) \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A_i = f^{-1}B_i$  for some  $B_i \in \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in \mathcal{G}$  as well, so  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

b.) “Atoms” of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  are the sets

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &:= f^{-1}(\{2\}) = \{(1, 1)\} \\ C_3 &:= f^{-1}(\{3\}) = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\} \\ C_4 &:= f^{-1}(\{4\}) = \{(1, 3), (2, 2), (3, 1)\} \\ C_5 &:= f^{-1}(\{5\}) = \{(1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 1)\} \\ C_6 &:= f^{-1}(\{6\}) = \{(1, 5), (2, 4), (3, 3), (4, 2), (5, 1)\} \\ C_7 &:= f^{-1}(\{7\}) = \{(1, 6), (2, 5), (3, 4), (4, 3), (5, 2), (6, 1)\} \\ C_8 &:= f^{-1}(\{8\}) = \{(2, 6), (3, 5), (4, 4), (5, 3), (6, 2)\} \\ C_9 &:= f^{-1}(\{9\}) = \{(3, 6), (4, 5), (5, 4), (6, 3)\} \\ C_{10} &:= f^{-1}(\{10\}) = \{(4, 6), (5, 5), (6, 4)\} \\ C_{11} &:= f^{-1}(\{11\}) = \{(5, 6), (6, 5)\} \\ C_{12} &:= f^{-1}(\{12\}) = \{(6, 6)\}. \end{aligned}$$

These form a partition of  $\Omega$ . Every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is a disjoint union of some  $C_i$ . An  $\omega \in \Omega$  can only be in  $A$  if the whole class  $C_i$  containing  $\omega$  is also subset of  $A$ . For example,  $A := \{(2, 2), (3, 1)\} \notin \mathcal{F}$ : if we had  $A = f^{-1}(B)$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $f((2, 2)) = 4$  would have to be in  $B$ , but then all of  $C_4 = f^{-1}(\{4\})$  would have to be part of  $A$ , including  $(1, 3)$ , which is not there.