

**Probability 1**  
**CEU Budapest, fall semester 2014**  
Imre Péter Tóth

**Homework sheet 1 – due on 09.10.2014 – and exercises for practice**

1. Define a  $\sigma$ -algebra as follows:

**Definition 1** For a nonempty set  $\Omega$ , a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $\omega$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^\Omega$ , where  $2^\Omega := \{A : A \subset \Omega\}$  is the power set of  $\Omega$ ) is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\Omega$  if

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A^C := \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complement taking)
- if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) \in \mathcal{F}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable union).

Show from this definition that a  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under countable intersection, and under finite union and intersection.

2. **(homework)** Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set, let  $I$  be an arbitrary *nonempty* index set, and for every  $i \in I$  let  $\mathcal{F}_i$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\Omega$ . (See the previous exercise for the definition.) Define  $\mathcal{G}$  as the intersection of all the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_i$ :

$$\mathcal{G} := \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{F}_i \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\Omega$ .

3. **(homework)** *Continuity of the measure*

(a) Prove the following:

**Theorem 1** (*Continuity of the measure*)

- i. If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is an increasing sequence of measurable sets (i.e.  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ ), then  $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i)$  (and both sides of the equation make sense).
- ii. If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a measure space,  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a decreasing sequence of measurable sets (i.e.  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_i \supset A_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ ) and  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(\cap_{i=1}^\infty A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i)$  (and both sides of the equation make sense).

(b) Show that in the second statement the condition  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$  is needed, by constructing a counterexample for the statement when this condition does not hold.

4. (a) We toss a biased coin, on which the probability of heads is some  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ . Define the random variable  $\xi$  as the indicator function of tossing heads, that is

$$\xi := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if tails} \\ 1, & \text{if heads} \end{cases}.$$

- i. Describe the distribution of  $\xi$  (called the Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $p$ ) in the “classical” way, listing possible values and their probabilities,
- ii. and also by describing the distribution as a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , giving the weight  $\mathbb{P}(\xi \in B)$  of every Borel subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- iii. Calculate the expectation of  $\xi$ .

(b) We toss the previous biased coin  $n$  times, and denote by  $X$  the *number of heads* tossed.

- i. Describe the distribution of  $X$  (called the Binomial distribution with parameters  $(n, p)$ ) by listing possible values and their probabilities.
  - ii. Calculate the expectation of  $X$  by integration (actually summation in this case) using its distribution,
  - iii. and also by noticing that  $X = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n$ , where  $\xi_i$  is the indicator of the  $i$ -th toss being heads, and using linearity of the expectation.
5. In the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  let  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the Lebesgue measure (restricted to  $\mathcal{F}$ ). Let the random variable  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as

$$X(\omega) := \begin{cases} \ln \omega, & \text{if } \omega \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega = 0 \end{cases}.$$

- (a) Show that  $X$  is measurable as a function  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  when  $\Omega$  is equipped with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  is also equipped with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . (*Remark: This exercise is only for those interested in every mathematical detail. It is not at all as important as it may seem. You are also welcome to just believe that  $X$  is measurable.*)
- (b) (**homework**) Let  $\mu$  be the distribution of  $X$ , which means that  $\mu$  is the measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$  defined by

$$\mu(A) := \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) \in A\}) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

(In other words,  $\mu$  is the push-forward of the measure  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $X$ .)

“Describe” the measure  $\mu$  by calculating  $F(x) := \mu((-\infty, x])$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Also calculate  $\mu([a, b])$  for every interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  (with  $a \leq b$ ).

(*This function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called the (cumulative) distribution function of the measure  $\mu$ , or also the (cumulative) distribution function of the random variable  $X$ .*)

6. The *ternary* number  $0.a_1a_2a_3\dots$  is the analogue of the usual decimal fraction, but writing numbers in base 3. That is, for any sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  with  $a_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , by definition

$$0.a_1a_2a_3\dots := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}.$$

Now let us construct the ternary fraction form of a random real number  $X$  via a sequence of fair coin tosses, such that we rule out the digit 1. That is,

$$a_n := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the } n\text{-th toss is tails,} \\ 2, & \text{if the } n\text{-th toss is heads} \end{cases},$$

and setting  $X = 0.a_1a_2a_3\dots$  (ternary). In this way,  $X$  is a “uniformly” chosen random point of the famous *middle-third Cantor set*  $C$  defined as

$$C := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}, a_n \in \{0, 2\} (n = 1, 2, \dots) \right\}.$$

Show that

- (a) The distribution of  $X$  gives zero weight to every point – that is,  $\mathbb{P}(X = x) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . (As a consequence, the cumulative distribution function of  $X$  is continuous.)
- (b) The distribution of  $X$  is not absolutely continuous w.r.t the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .