

**7.3** If  $\mu \leq 1$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(Z_n \rightarrow 0) = \mathbb{P}(\text{extinction}) = 1$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n / \mu^n = 0) = \mathbb{P}(Z_n \rightarrow 0) = 1$  as well. - the statement is trivial. So **assume  $\mu > 1$**

Let  $Z_n^{(k)}$  be the number of descendants of the  $k$ -th child of the root in the ~~(n)~~  $(1+n)$ -th generation, as in the hint.

For every  $k$ , this  $\{Z_n^{(k)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is also a branching process with the same law as  $Z_n$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n^{(k)} / \mu^n \rightarrow 0) = \mathbb{P}(Z_n / \mu^n \rightarrow 0) = \theta \text{ for each } k.$$

Now on the event  $\{Z_1 = K\}$  we have

$$Z_n = \sum_{k=1}^K Z_{n-1}^{(k)} \Rightarrow \frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{Z_{n-1}^{(k)}}{\mu^{n-1}}$$

This is a sum of ~~numbers~~ <sup>finitely many</sup> nonnegative ~~terms~~ terms, so it goes to zero

iff each term goes to zero. So **on the event  $\{Z_1 = K\}$**

$$\text{we have } \left\{ \frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} \rightarrow 0 \right\} = \left\{ \frac{Z_{n-1}^{(k)}}{\mu^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } k=1, 2, \dots, K \right\}$$

$$\text{so } \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} \rightarrow 0 \mid Z_1 = k\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Z_{n-1}^{(k)}}{\mu^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } k=1, 2, \dots, K \mid Z_1 = k\right) =$$

the  $Z_{n-1}^{(k)}$  are independent of each other and also of  $Z_1$   $\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Z_{n-1}^{(k)}}{\mu^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0\right) = \theta^k$ . The law of total

probability gives  $\theta = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} \rightarrow 0\right) = \sum_{K=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}(Z_1 = K) \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} \rightarrow 0 \mid Z_1 = K\right) = \sum_{K=0}^\infty p_K \theta^K$

That is,  **$\theta = \varphi(\theta)$** .

[4.3] (continued) Since the fixed point equation  $\theta = \varphi(\theta)$  -18-  
has only the two solutions  $\theta = 1$  and  $\theta = \rho$ ,  
we have shown that if  $\theta < 1$  then  $\theta = \rho$ .

Since for  $\mu > 1$   $\left\{ \frac{Z_n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \right\} \supset \left\{ Z_n \rightarrow 0 \right\}$ , equality of the  
probabilities also implies that the two events coincide a.s.  $\square$

**7.5**  $Z_n$  is a Galton-Watson branching process with  $Z_1 \sim \text{Pess Geom}(p)$ :

$P(k \text{ people recruited}) = (1-p)^k p$  for  $k=0, 1, \dots$ . Set  $q=1-p$ .

so  $\varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k p z^k = \frac{p}{1-qz}$  and  $m = EZ_1 = \frac{1}{p} - 1$ .

**I** for  $p = \frac{2}{3}$  we have  $m = \frac{1}{p} - 1 = \frac{3}{2} - 1 = \frac{1}{2} < 1$ . the process is

**subcritical**. So a.)  $P(\text{extinction}) = \underline{1}$

b.)  $EN = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} EZ_k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^n = \frac{1}{1-m} = \underline{2}$

c.) irrelevant

**II** for  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  we have  $m = \frac{1}{p} - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$ : the process is

**critical**. So a.)  $P(\text{extinction}) = \underline{1} = 1$

b.)  $EN = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} EZ_k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 1 = \underline{\infty}$

c.) irrelevant

**III** for  $p = \frac{1}{3}$  we have  $m = \frac{1}{p} - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2 > 1$ : the process is

**supercritical**. So

a.)  $g = P(\text{extinction})$  is the only solution in  $[0, 1)$  of

$g = \varphi(g)$ , so  $g = \frac{p}{1-qs} = \frac{1/3}{1-2/3s} = \frac{1}{3-2s}$

$g(3-2g) = 1 \Rightarrow 0 = 2g^2 - 3g + 1 = 2(g-1)(g-1/2)$

$\Downarrow g \neq 1$

$g = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $P(\text{extinction}) = \underline{\frac{1}{2}}$

b.)  $EN = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k = \underline{\infty}$

c.) On the event  $\{Z_n > 0\}$  we have  $Z_n \sim m^n = 2^n$  in the sense that  $\frac{Z_n}{2^n} \rightarrow c = c(\omega)$  a.s. on  $\{\omega | Z_n > 0\}$ .

7.6

$S$  is a sum with random number of terms, so

-20-

$$\underline{\underline{E S}} = E N \cdot E(X_0 + 1) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{p} + 1 \right) = 6(6+1) = 6 \cdot 7 = \underline{\underline{42}}.$$

Relation with „Life, the Universe, and Everything“.

When Arthur manages to roll a 6, he shouts „I'm almost there“.

After the next roll, he will say „Oh, no, I can start all over again“ with prob.  $\frac{5}{6}$ , or „YES, I WON“ with prob.  $\frac{1}{6}$ .

Let  $N$  be the number of times he shouts „I'm almost there“.

and ~~the~~ let  $X_k$  be the number of rolls he needs to wait for the first 6 after the previous failure.

So  $N \sim \text{Poisson}(\frac{1}{6})$ ,  $X_k \sim \text{Poisson}(\frac{1}{6})$  and

$S = \sum_{k=1}^N (X_k + 1)$  is the total number of rolls.

**7.9** Let  $A = \{\text{Alice wins}\}$  and  $B = \{\text{Bob wins}\}$ .

a.) Let  $X_n$  denote the capital of the casino.

• On the event  $A$  we have  $X_T = X_0 + T - (16+4)$ ,  
because 1 player goes away with  $\$4$  wins,  
and 1 ~ 2 ~ -

• On the event  $B$  we have  $X_T = X_0 + T - (0)$ ,  
because all ~~to~~ players lost their money.

$$\text{So } X_T = \mathbb{1}_A \cdot (X_0 + T - 20) + \mathbb{1}_B \cdot (X_0 + T - 0) = X_0 + T - 20 \mathbb{1}_A$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} X_T = \mathbb{E}(X_T - X_0) = \mathbb{E} T - 20 P(A)$$

Now the optional stopping theorem says  $\mathbb{E}(X_T - X_0) = 0$  just like in "ABRACADABRA", so  ~~$\mathbb{E} T - 20 P(A) =$~~

$$\boxed{\mathbb{E} T - 20 P(A) = 0}$$

b.) Let  $Y_n$  denote the capital of the casino.

• On the event  $A$  we have  $X_T = X_0 + T - (8+2)$

• On the event  $B$  we have  $X_T = X_0 + T - (16+2)$

$$\text{so } X_T = X_0 + T - 10 \mathbb{1}_A - 18 \mathbb{1}_B \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_T - X_0) = \mathbb{E} T - 10 P_A - 18 P_B$$

Now the opt. st. thm says  $\boxed{\mathbb{E} T - 10 P_A - 18 P_B = 0}$

$$c.) \begin{cases} \mathbb{E} T - 20 P_A = 0 \\ \mathbb{E} T - 10 P_A - 18 P_B = 0 \\ P_A + P_B = 1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} P_A &= \frac{9}{14} \\ P_B &= \frac{5}{14} \\ \mathbb{E} T &= \frac{180}{14} \approx 12.9 \end{aligned}}$$