### Markov Processes and Martingales

#### Károly Simon

Department of Stochastics Institute of Mathematics Technical University of Budapest www.math.bme.hu/~simonk

#### A file

### Martingales, the definition

### Definition 1.1 (Filtered space)

Here we follow the Williams' book. [21] A filtered space is  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}, \mathbb{P})$ , where  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space and  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a filtration. This means:

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}$$

is an increasing sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Put

(1) 
$$\mathcal{F}_{\infty} := \sigma\left(\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{F}.$$

The reason that we use filtration so often is

# Martingales, the definition (cont.)

When we say simply "process" in this talk, we mean "Discrete time stochastic process".

### Definition 1.3 (Adapted process)

We say that the process  $M = \{M_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is adapted to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$  if  $M_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ .

### Martingales, the definition (cont.)

#### Remark 1.5

- (a) If  $M_0 \in L^1$  then the process  $M_n M_0$  is a martingale (respectively submartingale, supermartingale) iff so is  $M = \{M_n\}$ . (This follows from the definition immediately.)
- (b) Assume that  $M = \{M_n\}$  is a supermartingale . Then by the tower property for m < n we have
  - (3)

 $\mathbb{E}\left[X_n|\mathcal{F}_m\right] \leq X_m.$ 

### Martingales, the definitions

- Martingales that are functions of Markov Chains
- Polya Urn

# Martingales, the definition (cont.)

#### Theorem 1.2

Given the r.v.  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  and Y on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We define  $\mathcal{F} := \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Then

(2)  $Y \in \mathcal{F} \iff \exists g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , Borel s.t.

$$Y(\omega) = g(X_1(\omega), \ldots, X_n(\omega)).$$

This means that if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are outcomes of an experiment then the value of Y is predictable based on we know the values of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  iff  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $Y \in \mathcal{F}$  means that Y is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

### Martingales, the definition (cont.)

#### Definition 1.4

Let  $M = \{M_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be an adaptive process to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ . We say that X is a martingale if

(i) 
$$\mathbb{E}[|M_n|] < \infty$$
,  $\forall n$ 

(ii) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] = M_{n-1}$$
 a.s. for  $n \geq 1$ 

X is supermartingale if we substitute (ii) with

 $\mathbb{E}\left[M_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] \leq M_{n-1}$  a.s.  $n \geq 1$ .

Finally, M is a submartingale if we substitute (ii) with  $\mathbb{E}\left[M_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] \geq \overline{M_{n-1}} \text{ a.s. } n \geq 1.$ 

### Martingales, the definition (cont.)

### Remark 1.6

In some cases there is another process  $X = \{X_n\}$  such that  $M_n = f(X_n, n)$  for some function f (like  $M_n = X_n^2 - n$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n, M_0)$ . Then we say that M is a martingale w.r.t. X if M is a martingale w.r.t. the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

# Martingales, the definition (cont.)

### Example 1.7

Let  $X_1,X_2,\ldots$  be independent  $L^1$  r.v. (this means that  $\forall k,~\mathbb{E}\left[|X_k|\right]<\infty$ ) with zero mean (that is  $\forall k,~\mathbb{E}\left[X_k\right]=0$ ). Let

$$S_0 = 0$$
 and  $S_n := X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_n := \sigma \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}.$$

(4) 
$$\mathbb{E}[S_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}[S_{n-1}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$$
  
=  $S_{n-1} + \mathbb{E}[X_n] = S_{n-1}$ .

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 9 / 74

# Martingales, the definition (cont.)

### Example 1.9 (Exponential Martingale)

Let  $Y = \{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be iid with moment generating function finite at some  $\theta \neq 0$ :  $M(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\theta Y_1}\right] < \infty$ . We write  $S_n := S_0 + Y_1 \cdots + Y_n$ . Then

$$M_n := \frac{\exp(\theta S_n)}{M^n(\theta)}$$

is a martingale w.r.t. Y. Namely, let  $X_i := \frac{\exp(\theta Y_i)}{M(\theta)}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = 1$ . So, we apply Example 1.8 (i).

Károk Simon (TII Rudanest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 11 /

- Martingales, the definitions
- Martingales that are functions of Markov Chains
- Polya Urn
- 4 Games, fair and unfair
- 5 Stopping Times
- 6 Stopped martingales

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 13 /

# Functions of MC (cont.)

Given a Markov chain  $X = (X_n)$  with transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P} = (p(x, y))_{x,y}$ .

### Martingales, the definition (cont.)

### Example 1.8

- (i) Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be independent non-negative r.v. with  $\mathbb{E}\left[X_k\right] = 1, \forall k$ . Let  $M_1 := 1, \mathcal{F}_n$  as in Example 1.7. Let  $M_n := X_1 \cdots X_n$ . Then  $M = \{M_n\}$  is a martingale.
- (ii) Given a r.v.  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and Y with  $\mathbb{E}[|Y|] < \infty$ . Then

$$M_n := \mathbb{E}\left[Y|X_1,\ldots,X_n\right],$$

is a martingale, called **Doob martingale**.

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingal

A File 10 / 74

### Martingales, the definition (cont.)

We proved the following convergence theorem (which is also [6, Theorem 5.2.9]) in the course Stochastic Processes. This will be a consequence of some more general convergence theorems that we learn letter in this course.

Theorem 1.10 (Convergence Theorem for non-negative supermartingales)

Let  $X_n \ge 0$  be a supermartingale. Then there exists a r.v. X s.t.  $X_n \to X$  a.s. and  $\mathbb{E}[X] \le \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingal

A File 12 / 74

### Functions of MC

#### Remark 2.1

Given a Markov chain  $X = (X_n)$  with transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P} = (p(x,y))_{x,y}$ . We are also give a function  $f: S \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

(5) 
$$f(x,n) = \sum_{y \in S} p(x,y)f(y,n+1).$$

Then  $M_n = f(X_n)$  is a martingale w.r.t. X. (We verified this in the Stochastic Processes course. See [4, Theorem 5.5].)

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingal

A File 14 / 74

# Functions of MC (cont.)

### Definition 2.2 (P-harmonic functions)

For an  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ :

(6) 
$$Pf(x) := \sum_{y \in S} p(x, y) f(y).$$

We say that such an f is harmonic if

(i) 
$$\sum_{y \in S} p(x, y) |f(y)| < \infty$$
,  $\forall x \in S$  and

(ii) 
$$\forall x \in S$$
,  $f(x) = Pf(x)$ 

if we replace (ii) with  $\forall x, \ f(x) \leq Pf(x)$  then f is subharmonic.

# Functions of MC (cont.)

f is called superharmonic if -f is subharmonic. It follows from Remark 2.1 that

#### Theorem 2.3

Let  $X = (X_n)$  be a Markov chain with transition probability matrix  $\mathbf{P} = (p(x, y))_{x,y}$  and let h be a **P**-harmonic function. Then  $h(X_n)$  is a Martingale w.r.t. Χ.

Markov Processes & Martingales

# Functions of MC (cont.)

Example 2.5 (Simple Symmetric Random Walk) Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  be iid with

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i=1)=\mathbb{P}(X_i=-1)=1/2,$$

We write  $S_n := S_0 + Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$ . Then  $M_n := S_n^2 - n$ is a martingale. Namely,  $f(x, n) = x^2 - n$  satisfies (5).

#### Theorem 2.6

Let h be a subharmonic function for the Markov chain  $X = (X_n)$ . Then  $M_k := h(X_k)$  is a submartingale.

Markov Processes & Martingales

### Polya's Urn,

One can find a nice account with more details at http://www.math.uah.edu/stat/urn/Polya.html or click here

Given an urn with initially contains: r > 0 red and g > 0 green balls.

- (a) draw a ball from the urn randomly,
- (b) observe its color,
- (c) return the ball to the urn along with c new balls of the same color.
- If c = 0 this is sampling with replacement.
- If c = -1 sampling without replacement.

Markov Processes & Martingales

### Polya's Urn, (cont.)

#### Claim 1

 $X_n$  is a martingale w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

**Proof** Assume that

$$R_n = i$$
 and  $G_n = j$ 

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1} = \frac{j+c}{i+j+c}\right) = \frac{j}{i+j},$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1} = \frac{j}{i+j+c}\right) = \frac{i}{i+j}.$$

Markov Processes & Martingales

### Functions of MC (cont.)

### Example 2.4

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be iid with

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = p \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(X_i = -1) = 1 - p,$$

$$p \in (0,1), p \neq 0.5$$
. Let  $S_n := X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Then

(7) 
$$M_n := \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{S_n}$$

is a martingale. Namely,  $h(x) = ((1-p)/p)^x$  is harmonic.

Markov Processes & Martingales

- Martingales that are functions of Markov Chains
- Polya Urn

- Stopped martingales

### Polya's Urn, (cont.)

From now we assume that  $c \ge 1$ . After the *n*-th draw and replacement step is completed:

- the number of green balls in the urn is:  $G_n$ .
- the number of red balls in the urn is:  $R_n$ .
- the fraction of green balls in the urn is  $X_n$ .
- Let  $\frac{Y_n}{Y_n} = 1$  if the *n*-th ball drawn is green. Otherwise  $Y_n := 0$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be the filtration generated by  $Y = (Y_n)$ .

### Polya's Urn, (cont.)

Hence

(8) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n\right] = \frac{j+c}{i+j+c} \cdot \frac{j}{i+j} + \frac{j}{i+j+c} \cdot \frac{i}{i+j}$$
$$= \frac{j}{i+j} = \frac{X_n}{X_n}.$$

### Corollary 3.1

There exists an  $X_{\infty}$  s.t.  $X_n \to X_{\infty}$  a.s..

This is immediate from Theorem 1.10. In order to find the distribution of  $X\infty$  observe that:

n (TU Budapest) Markov Processes & Martingales

### Polya's Urn, (cont.)

- The probability  $p_{n,m}$  of getting green on the first m steps and getting red in the next n-m steps is the same as the probability of drawing altogether m green and n-m red balls in any particular redescribed order.
- •

$$\boxed{p_{n,m}} = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{g+kc}{g+r+kc} \cdot \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{r+\ell c}{g+r+(m+\ell)c}$$

Martingales that are functions of Markov Chains

Markov Processes & Martingales

Polya Urn

Games, fair and unfair

Stopping Times

Stopped martingales

larkov Processes & Martingales

. File 27 /

### Games (cont.)

#### Definition 4.1

Given a process  $C = (C_n)$ . We say that:

(i) C is previsible or predictable if

 $\forall n \geq 1, \quad C_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ .

- (ii) C is bounded if  $\exists K$  such that  $\forall n, \forall \omega, |C_n(\omega)| < K$ .
- (iii) C has bounded increments if  $\exists K$  s.t.  $\forall n \geq 1, \forall \omega \in \Omega, |C_{n+1}(\omega) C_n(\omega)| < K$

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 29 /

# Games (cont.)

We say that

 $C \bullet X$  is the martingale transform of X by C.

### Polya's Urn, (cont.)

If c = g = r = 1 then

$$\mathbb{P}(G_n = 2m+1) = \binom{n}{m} \frac{m!(n-m)!}{(n+1)!} = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

That is  $X_{\infty}$  is uniform on (0,1): In the general case  $X_{\infty}$  has density

$$\frac{\Gamma((g+r)/c)}{\Gamma(g/c)\Gamma(r/c)}x^{(g/c)-1}(1-x)^{(r/c)-1}.$$

That is the distribution of  $X_{\infty}$  is Beta  $(\frac{g}{c}, \frac{r}{c})$ 

Markov Processes & Martingales

# Games

Imagine that somebody plays games at times  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  Let  $X_k - X_{k-1}$  be the net winnings per unit stake in game n.

In the martingale case

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_n - X_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] = 0$$
, the game is fair.

In the supermartingale case

 $\mathbb{E}\left[X_n-X_{n-1}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]\leq 0$ , the game is unfavorable.

Markov Processes & Martingales

# Games (cont.)

 $C_n$  is the player's stake at time n which is decided based upon the history of the game up to time n-1. The winning on game n is  $C_n(X_n-X_{n-1})$ . The total winning after n game is

(9) 
$$Y_n := \sum_{1 \le k \le n} C_k (X_k - X_{k-1}) =: (C \bullet X)_n.$$

By definition:

$$(C \bullet X)_0 = 0.$$

Clearly,

$$Y_n - Y_{n-1} = C_n(X_n - X_{n-1}).$$

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 30 / 74

### Games (cont.)

### Theorem 4.2 (You cannot beat the system)

Given  $C = (C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfying:

- (a)  $C_n \ge 0$  for all n (otherwise the player would be the Casino),
- (b) C is previsible (that is  $C_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ ),
- (c) C is bounded.

Then  $C \bullet X$  is a supermartingale (martingale) if X is a supermartingale (martingale) respectively.

Budapest) Markov Processes & Martingales A File 31 / 74

y Simon (TU Budapest) Markov Processe

ocesses & Martingales

V File 32 / 74

# Games (cont.)

#### Proof.

$$(10) \ \mathbb{E}\left[ Y_n - Y_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] = C_n \mathbb{E}\left[ X_n - X_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] < 0.$$

#### Theorem 4.3

Assume that C is a bounded and previsible process and X is a martingale then  $C \bullet X$  is a martingale which is null at 0.

Károly Simon (TU Budapest

Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 33 /

- Martingales, the definitions
- Martingales that are functions of Markov Chains
- Polya Urn
- Games, fair and unfair
- Stopping Times
- Stopped martingales

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 35 /

# Stopping Times, definitions (cont.)

E.g. T is the time when we stop plying the game. We can decided at time n if we stop at that moment based on the history up to time n.

#### Naroly Simon (10 Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 37 / 74

### Stopping Times, definitions (cont.)

#### Lemma 5.3

Assume that T is a stopping time w.r.t. the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ . Let

$$C_n^T := \mathbb{1}_{n \leq T}$$
.

Then  $C_n^T$  is previsible. That is

(13)

 $C_n^T \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ .

#### Proof.

$$\{C_n^T = 0\} = \{T \le n - 1\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}.$$

Markov Processes & Martingales

### Games (cont.)

### Theorem 4.4

In the previous two theorems the boundedness can be replaced by  $C_n \in L^2$ ,  $\forall n$  if  $X_n \in L^2$ ,  $\forall n$ .

The proofs of the one but last theorem is obvious. The proof of the last theorem immediately follows from (f) on slide ?? of file "Some basic facts from probability theory".

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingal

A File 34 / 74

### Stopping Times, definitions

#### Definition 5.1

A map  $\mathcal{T}:\Omega \to \{0,1,\ldots,\infty\}$  is called stopping time if

(11) 
$$\{T \leq n\} = \{\omega : T(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \quad n \leq \infty.$$

equivalent definition:

(12) 
$$\{T=n\}=\{\omega:T(\omega)=n\}\in\mathcal{F}_n,\quad n\leq\infty.$$

We say that the stopping time T is bounded if  $\exists K$  s.t.  $T(\omega) < K$  holds for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Károly Simon (TU Budapes

1arkov Processes & Martinga

A File 36 / 74

### Stopping Times, definitions (cont.)

### Example 5.2

Given a process  $(X_n)$  which is adapted to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ , further given a Borel set B. Let

$$T:=\inf\left\{n\geq 0:X_n\in B\right\}.$$

By convention:  $\inf \emptyset := \infty$ . Then

$$\{T \leq n\} = \bigcup_{k \leq n} \{T = k\} \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 38 / 74

- Martingales, the definitions
- Martingales that are functions of Markov Chains
- Polya Urn
- Games, fair and unfair
- Stopping Times
- Stopped martingales

A File 39 / 74

Budapest) Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 40 / 74

### Stopped martingales

Let T be a stopping time for an  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$  filtration. For a process  $X = (X_n)$  we write  $X^T$  for the process stopped at T:

$$X_n^{\mathsf{T}}(\omega) := X_{\mathsf{T}(\omega) \wedge n}(\omega),$$

where  $a \wedge b := \min \{a, b\}$ .

Assume that Kázmér always bets 1\$ and stops playing at time T. Then Kazmér's stake process is:

$$C_n^{(T)} = \mathbb{1}_{n \le T}$$

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 41 / 74

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

### Theorem 6.1

Let T be a stopping time

(i)

X supermartingale  $\Longrightarrow X^T$  supermartingale.

So, in this case  $\forall n$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{T\wedge n}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right]$ 

(ii)

X martingale  $\Longrightarrow X^T$  martingale. So, in this case  $\forall n, \mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge n}] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ 

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 43 /

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

That is by Theorem 4.2 we get that  $X_{T \wedge n} - X_0$  is a supermartingale (martingale ) if  $(X_n)$  is a supermartingale (martingale) respectively. Which yields the assertion of the theorem.

#### Remark 6.2

It can happen for a martingale X that

(16) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{\mathcal{T}}\right] \neq \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right].$$

The most popular counter example uses the Simple Symmetric Random Walk (SSRW). First we recall its definition and a few of its most important properties.

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 45 / 74

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

### Lemma 6.4 (SSRW)

The Simple Symmetric Random Walk on  $\mathbb Z$  is

- (i) Null recurrent,
- (ii) martingale.

The second part follows from Example 1.7. We proved that SSRW is null recurrent in the course Stochastic processes. To give an example where (16) happens:

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

In Lemma 5.3 we proved that  $C^{(T)}$  is previsible (the notion "previsible" was defined on slide # 29). By (9), Kázmér's winning's process:

$$(C^{(T)} \bullet X)_n = X_{T \wedge n} - X_0.$$

That is

$$C^{(T)} \bullet X = X^T - X_0$$

So, by Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 we obtain

Károly Simon (TU Budapest

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 42 / 74

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

#### **Proof**

We define  $C_n^{(T)}$  as in (14). Clearly,  $C^{(T)} \geq 0$  and bounded. As we saw in Lemma 5.3,  $C^{(T)}$  is previsible. So, we can apply Theorem 4.2 for

(15) 
$$\frac{(C \bullet X)_n}{(C \bullet X)_n} = \sum_{K=1}^n C_k \cdot (X_k - X_{k-1})$$

$$= \begin{cases} X_n - X_0, & \text{on } \{T \ge n\}; \\ \sum\limits_{k=1}^T (X_k - X_{k-1}) = X_T - X_0, & \text{on } \{T < n\}. \end{cases}$$

$$= X_{T \land n} - X_0$$

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 44 / 74

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

Example 6.3 (Simple Symmetric Random Walk (SSRW))

The Simple Symmetric Random Walk (SSRW) on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $S = (S_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , where

(17) 
$$S_n = X_0 + X_1 + \cdots + X_n,$$

where  $X_0=0$  and  $X_1,X_2,\ldots$  are iid with  $\mathbb{P}(X_1=1)=\mathbb{P}(X_1=-1)=\frac{1}{2}.$ 

We have seen that

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martinga

A File 46 / 74

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

#### Example 6.5

 $S=(S_n)$  be the SSRW and let  $T:=\inf\{n:S_n=1\}$ . Then by Theorem 6.1,  $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{T\wedge n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right]$ . However,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{T}\right]=1\neq0=X_{0}=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right].$$

#### Question 1

Let X be a martingale and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a stopping time. Under which conditions can we say that

(18) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{\mathcal{T}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right]?$$

ly Simon (TU Budapest) Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 47 / 74

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

v Processes & Martingales

A File 48 / 74

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

### Theorem 6.6 (Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem)

Let X be a supermartingale and T be a stopping time. If any of the following conditions holds

- (i) T is bounded.
- (ii) X is bounded and  $T < \infty$  a.s..
- (iii)  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$  and X has bounded increments.

then

- (a)  $X_T \in L^1$  and  $\mathbb{E}(X_T) \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .
- **(b)** If X is a martingale then  $\mathbb{E}(X_T) = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .

Károly Simon (TU Budapest

Markov Processes & Martingale

Δ File 40 / 7

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

### Corollary 6.7

Assume that

- (a)  $M = (M_n)$  is a martingale.
- (b)  $\exists K_1 \ s.t. \ \forall n, \ |M_n M_{n-1}| < K_1,$
- (c)  $C = \{C_n\}$  is a previsible process with  $|C_n(\omega)| < K_2$ ,  $\forall \omega, \forall n$ .
- (d) T is a stopping time with  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ .

Then

(19)

 $\mathbb{E}\left[(C \bullet M)_{T}\right] = 0.$ 

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

arkov Processes & Martingales

File 51 /

# Stopped martingales (cont.)

#### Proof.

We know that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_{T\wedge n} = X_T$  a.s. and  $X_{T\wedge n} \geq 0$ . So we can apply Fatou Lemma :

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{T\wedge n}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[X_{T}\right].$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 6.1 the left hand side is smaller than or equal to  $\mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingal

File 53 / 7

Awaiting for the (almost) inevitable (cont.)

#### Proof.

We apply (20) for n = (k-1)N. Then the assertion follows by mathematical induction from Homework  $\ref{eq:second}$ ?

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

### Proof.

By Thm: 6.1  $\forall n$ ,  $X_{T \wedge n} \in L^1$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge n} - X_0] \leq 0$ .

If (i) holds then  $\exists N$  s.t.  $T \leq N$ . Then for n = N, we have  $X_{T \wedge n} = X_T$ . Hence (a) follows.

If (ii) holds then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_{T\wedge n} = X_T$ . So, by Dominated Convergence Theorem:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{n\wedge T}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right]$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 6.1,  $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{T\wedge n}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right]$ .

If (iii) holds The answer comes from Dom. Conv.

Thm.  $|X_{T \wedge n} - X_0| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{T \wedge n} (X_k - X_{k-1}) \right| \le KT < \infty$ . If X is a martingale, apply everything above also for -X.

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Jarkov Processes & Martinga

A File 50 / 74

### Stopped martingales (cont.)

#### Proof.

Put together Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 6.6.

A corollary of the Optional Stopping Theorem is:

#### Theorem 6.8

Assume that

- (i)  $M = (M_n)$  is a non-negative supermartingale,
- (ii) T is a stopping time s.t.  $T < \infty$  a.s..

Then  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingal

A Fi**l**e 52 / 74

Awaiting for the (almost) inevitable

In order to apply the previous theorems we need a machinery to check if  $\mathbb{E}\left[T<\infty\right]$  a.s. holds.

#### Theorem 6.9

Assume that  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(20)

 $\mathbb{P}(T \leq n + N|\mathcal{F}_n) > \varepsilon$ , a.s.

then

 $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ .

Károly Simon (TU Budapest)

Markov Processes & Martingale

A File 54 / 74

### **ABRACADABRA**

The following exercise is named as "Tricky exercise" in Williams' book [21, p.45].

#### Problem 6.10 (Monkey at the typewriter)

Assume that a monkey types on a typewriter. He types only capital letters and he chooses equally likely any of the 26 letters of the English alphabet independently of everything. What is the expected number of letters he needs to type until the word "ABRACADABRA" appears in his typing for the first time?

The same problem formulated in a more formal way:

oly Simon (TU Budapest) Markov Processes & Martingales

ocesses & Martingales A

Károly Simon (TU Budapest

Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 56 / 74

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

### Problem 6.11 (Monkey at the typewriter)

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be iid r.v. taking values from the set Alphabet :=  $\{A, B, \dots, Z\}$  of cardinality 26. We assume that the distribution of  $X_k$  is uniform. Let T be

(21) 
$$T := \min \{ n + 10 : (X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+10}) = (A, B, R, A, C, A, D, A, B, R, A) \}$$

### Find $\mathbb{E}[T] = ?$

We associate a players in a Casino to the monkey:

# ABRACADABRA (cont.)

Now for every j we define a previsible process  $C^{(j)} = \{C_n^{(j)}\}$ . Namely, let  $C_n^{(j)}$  be the bet of gambler j on day n:

$$C_{j+k}^{(j)} := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k < 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } k = 0; \\ 26^k, & \text{if } X_j, \dots, X_{j+k-1} \text{ were correct; } 1 \le k \le 11 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $X_i, \ldots, X_{i+k-1}$  correct means that they are the first k letters of ABRACADABRA. For every j, the value of  $C_n^{(j)}$  depends only on  $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ . So, for every j the process  $C^{(j)} = \{C_n^{(j)}\}$  is previsible.

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

Similarly, k days after that player j entered the game (this is the i + k - 1-th day of the game) the net winning of player j is either  $(26^k - 1)$ \$ or -1\$. This net winning comes from the amount

the Casino paid to the player by the end of his k-th day in the game (which is the k + j - 1-th day of the game) minus 1\$ (which is the player's initial investment).

We denote this net winning of player j after HIS k-th day in the game by  $M_{\nu}^{(j)}$ .

Remember that we have fixed a j. For this j we define  $\mathcal{F}_k^{(j)} := \sigma(X_i, \ldots, X_{i+k-1}).$ 

# ABRACADABRA (cont.)

Then

(23) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{k+1}^{(j)}|M_k^{(j)}=-1\right]=26^{k+1}\cdot 1/26-1=M_k^{(j)}$$
.

On the other hand, if  $M_k^{(j)} = -1$  then also  $M_{k+1}^{(j)} = -1$ .

(24) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{k+1}^{(j)}|M_k^{(j)}\neq 26^k-1\right]=-1=M_k^{(j)}$$

Putting these together we obtain that

(25) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_{k+1}^{(j)}|\mathcal{F}_k\right] = M_k^{(j)}.$$

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

### Example 6.12 (Players associated to the monkey)

Imagine that for every  $\ell=1,2,\ldots$ , on the  $\ell\text{-th}$  day a new gambler arrives in a Casino. He bets:

1\$ on the event: "  $X_\ell = A$ " . If he loses he leaves. If he wins he receives 26\$. Then he bets his 26\$ on the event: "  $X_{\ell+1} = B$ ".

If he loses he leaves. If he wins then he receives 262\$ and then he bets all of his

 $26^2$ \$ on the event: " $\ell + 2$ -th letter will be R" and so on until he loses or gets ABRACADABRA.

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

The definition of  $M_k^{(j)}$  Fix a  $j \ge 1$ . The net winning of player *j* after the HIS first day (day *j* of the game) is

- -1\$ if monkey did not type A on day j of the
- (26-1)\$ if monkey typed letter A on the *j*-th of the game.

# ABRACADABRA (cont.)

### Claim 2

For every j,  $M_k^{(j)}$  is a martingale w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_k^{(j)}$  with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[M_k^{(j)}\right] = 0$$

**Proof of the Claim**. Then  $M_k^{(j)} \in \mathcal{F}_k^{(j)}$  and  $-1 \leq M_k^{(j)} \leq 26^k$ . That is  $M_k^{(j)}$  is bounded, in particular  $M_k^{(j)} \in L^1$ . If  $M_k^{(j)} \neq -1$  then  $M_k^{(j)} = 26^k - 1$ .

$$M_{k+1}^{(j)} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 26^{k+1} - 1, & \mbox{with probability } 1/26; \ -1, & \mbox{with probability } 25/26. \end{array} 
ight.$$

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

Hence,  $\mathbb{E}\left[M_{k}^{(j)}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[M_{1}^{(j)}\right]=0.$  The last equality follows from an immediate computation.

Now we apply Doob's optional stopping theorem for the stopping time T defined in (21) and for a martingale  $S = (S_n)$  to be defined below.

The definition of  $S = (S_n)$  Let  $S_n$  be the cumulative net winning (may be negative) of all gamblers together up to (and including) time n:

(26) 
$$S_n := \sum_{j=1}^n M_{n-j+1}^{(j)}.$$

Markov Processes & Martingales

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

By (22) we have

(27) 
$$\forall n, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[S_n\right] = 0.$$

Then  $S_n$  is the finite sum of martingales, so  $S_n$  is a martingale itself w.r.t. the filtration:  $\{\sigma(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\}_n$ Actually we verify in the following two Claims that both parts of condition (iii) of Theorem 6.6 hold.

A File 65 / 74

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

#### Claim 4

There exists a finite J such that  $|S_n - S_{n-1}| < J$ .

#### **Proof of the Claim**

By definition,  $|S_n - S_{n-1}|$  is less than the maximum amount  $\frac{J}{J}$  that the Casino can possibly pay to all of the players together on any particular single day. We prove below that J is finite. This implies that  $S = (S_n)$ has bounded (by J) increments.

Markov Processes & Martingales

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

So, J is the amount the Casino pays on the day when the monkey first completed the typing of the word "ABRACADABRA". This is by definition day T. To compute J note that there are exactly three players who get payment on day T. Namely,

- The one who arrived on day T. (He had to bet for A). He gets 26\$ from the Casino.
- The one who arrived on day T-3 has made 4 successful bets. So, he gets 26<sup>4</sup>\$ from the Casino on day T.
- The player who arrived on day T-10 gets  $26^{11}$ \$ from the Casino.

# ABRACADABRA (cont.)

From this and from (28) we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}[T] = J = 26 + 26^4 + 26^{11}.$$

This solves the Monkey at typewriter problem. ■

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

#### Claim 3

 $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ .

**Proof of the Claim** The Claim follows from Theorem 6.9 with the following substitutions:

$$N = 11$$
,  $\varepsilon = (1/26)^{11}$ ,

Namely, whatever happens now, the probability is at least  $(1/26)^{11}$  that in the next 11 steps the monkey gets ABRACADABRA. ■

A File 66 / 74

### ABRACADABRA (cont.)

By Claims 3 and 4 both parts of condition (iii) of Theorem 6.6 hold. Hence by this Theorem and (22) we get

(28) 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[S_{T}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[S_{1}\right] = 0.$$

The computation of J The worst day for the Casiono, that is the day when the total amount that the Casino pays to all the players together is at its maximum is clearly the last day of the game, that is day T.

A File 68 / 74

# ABRACADABRA (cont.)

So, the total amount that the Casino pays on day T is

$$J := 26 + 26^4 + 26^{11}$$
.

Observe that whatever the Casino paid to the players on any day n < T they immediately bet it on day  $n+1 \leq T$ . So, the Casino got it back. In this way, the total amount that the Casino ever paid to the players is just J. By definitions, this means that

$$S_T = J - T$$
.

Balázs Márton, Tótti Bálint Valószínűségszámítás 1. jegyzet matematikusoknak és fizikusoknak Bálázs Márton Honlapja, 2012, Az internettes változatért kattintso

onvergence of probability measures

B. DRIVER Analysis tools with examples locturenotes, 2012, Click her

R. DURRETT Probability: Theory and Examples

D.H. FREMLIN Measure Theory Volume I

P.H. FREMLIN Measure Theory Volume II

n (TU Budapest) Markov Processes & Martingales

A File 72 / 74

Markov Processes & Martingales

- I.I. GIHMAN, A.V. SZKOROHOD Bevezetés a sztochasztikus folyamatok elméletébe Můszaki Könyvkiadó1975, Budapest, 1985 [10]
- S. KARLIN, ILM. TAYLOR A first course in stochastic processes Academic Press, New York, 1975 [11]
- S. Karlin, H.M. Taylor Sztochasztikus Folyamatok Gondolat, Budapest, 1985
- S. KARLIN, H.M. TAYLOR
  A second course in stochastic processes
  , Academic Press, 1981 [13]
- G. LAWLER Intoduction to Stochastic Processes Chapmann & Hall 1995. [14]
- D.A. LEVIN, Y. PERES, E.L. WILMER Markov chains and mixing times
  American Mathematical Society, 2009. [15]
- [16]
  - MAJOR PÉTER
    Folytonos idejú Markov láncok http://www.renyi.hu/-major/debrecen/debrecen2008a/markov3.html
- [17] P. MATTILA Geometry of sets and measure in Euclidean spaces. Cambridge, 1995.
- RÉNYI ALFRÉD Valószínűségszámítás, (negyedik kiadás) Tankönyvkiadó Budapest, 1981. [18]
- S. Ross A First Course in Probability, 6th ed. Prentice Hall, 2002 [19]

- [20] TÓTH BÁLINT Sztochasztikus folyamatok jegyzet Tóth Bálint Jegyzetért kattintson ide
- D. WILLIAMS
  Probability with Martingales
  Cambridge 2005 [21]

 
 Károly Simon (TU Budapest)
 Markov Processes & Martingales
 A File
 73 / 74
 Károly Simon (TU Budapest) Markov Processes & Martingales A File 74 / 74