

# Convex Geometry tutorial

## For students with mathematics major

### Problem sheet 2 - Convex hull, theorems of Radon and Carathéodory - Solutions

**Exercise 1.** Prove that if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\text{conv } A \subseteq \text{conv } B$ .

**Solution**

If a convex set contains  $B$ , then it clearly contains  $A$ , and thus, the statement follows from the definition of convex hull.

**Exercise 2.** A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *convex cone* if it is convex and for every  $x \in S$  the points  $\lambda x$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  are elements of  $S$ . Following the definition of convex combination and convex hull, define the conic combination of points and the conic hull of a set. Show that a conic hull is a convex cone, and it coincides with the set of the conic combinations of the finite subsets of the set.

**Solution**

Let us define the conic hull of a set  $S$  as the intersection of all convex cones containing  $S$ . We show that this set is a convex cone. Since a convex cone is convex, it is sufficient to show that if  $x$  is contained in the intersection of all convex cones containing  $S$ , then the same holds for all  $\lambda x$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ . But by the definition of convex cones it is satisfied for all convex cones containing  $S$ , and hence it is satisfied for the intersection of these sets.

Let us define a conic combination of the points  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as the points  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i p_i$ , where  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ s. Let  $C$  denote the set of all conic combinations of the finite subsets of  $S$ , and let  $C'$  denote the conic hull of  $S$ . Since a convex combination of conic combinations is a conic combination, we have that  $C$  is convex. Furthermore, if  $\lambda \geq 0$ , then  $\lambda$  times a conic combination is a conic combination of the same points, implying that  $C$  is a convex cone. Thus,  $C' \subseteq C$ . On the other hand, observe that as  $C'$  is convex it contains the convex combinations of all finite subsets of  $S$ . But a conic combination of some points of  $S$  can be written as a convex combination of the same points, multiplied by some suitably chosen  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Thus, the fact that  $C'$  is a convex cone yields that  $C'$  contains the conic combinations of the finite subsets of  $S$ ; that is,  $C \subseteq C'$ .

**Exercise 3.** A set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called *locally convex* if for every  $p \in K$  there is some  $\rho > 0$  such that the intersection of  $K$  with the ball  $B(p, \rho)$  of radius  $\rho$  and center  $p$  is convex. Is it true that every locally convex set is convex?

**Solution**

The answer is no. As examples for locally convex but not convex sets we can take any finite point set.

**Exercise 4.** Give an example for a closed set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  whose convex hull is not closed.

**Solution**

Let  $A = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(0, 1)\}$ . Then  $A$  is a closed set, but  $\text{conv}(A) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq y < 1\} \cup \{(0, 1)\}$ , which is not closed.

**Exercise 5.** Prove that the convex hull of an open set is open.

**Solution**

Let  $A$  be open, and let  $p \in \text{conv}(A)$ . Then there are some points  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in A$  and real numbers  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$  such that  $p = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i p_i$ . Since  $A$  is open, there is some  $\rho > 0$  with the property that  $A$  contains the closed ball of radius  $\rho$  centered at  $p_i$  for all values of  $i$ . In other words, there is some  $\rho > 0$  such that for every  $x$  with  $\|x\| \leq \rho$  and every value of  $i$ , we have  $p_i + x \in A$ . But then

$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(p_i + x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i p_i\right) + x = p + x$ , from which we have  $p + x \in \text{conv}(A)$ . Thus,  $\text{conv}(A)$  contains the closed ball of radius  $\rho$  and center  $p$ . Since  $p \in \text{conv}(A)$  was arbitrary, this implies that  $\text{conv}(A)$  is open.

**Exercise 6.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set consisting of  $n + 2$  points in general position (i.e. any  $n + 1$  of the points is affinely independent). Prove that then  $S$  can be uniquely decomposed into two disjoint subsets  $S_1, S_2$  satisfying  $\text{conv } S_1 \cap \text{conv } S_2 \neq \emptyset$ . In addition, prove that in this case the intersection is a singleton.

**Megoldás**

Let  $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n+2}\}$ , where every  $(n+1)$ -element subset of  $S$  is affinely independent. Then the homogeneous system of linear equations  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \alpha_i p_i = o$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \alpha_i = 0$  (containing  $n + 1$  equations and  $n + 2$  variables) has a nontrivial solution, which, by the Kronecker-Capelli theorem, can be given using exactly one free parameter; that is, there is some vector  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+2})$  with not all  $\beta_i$ s equal to zero such that the solutions consists of the vectors  $\alpha_i = t\beta_i$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . If there was some  $i$ , for which  $\beta_i = 0$ , then by Theorem 1 in the first lecture the remaining  $n + 1$  points would be affinely dependent, implying that no  $\beta_i$  is zero. Let  $U = \{i : \beta_i > 0\}$ ,  $V = \{i : \beta_i < 0\}$ , and for every  $i$  let  $\gamma_i = -\beta_i$ . Then  $\sum_{i \in U} \beta_i = \sum_{i \in V} \gamma_i$ .

Now we prove the statement. Assume that the index set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n + 2\}$  has a decomposition into disjoint sets  $U, V$ , and there are some coefficients  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $\delta_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i \in U} \alpha_i = \sum_{i \in V} \beta_i = 1$  that satisfy the conditions  $\sum_{i \in U} \alpha_i p_i = \sum_{i \in V} \delta_i p_i$ . Then, introducing the notation  $\alpha_i = -\delta_i$  for  $i \in V$ , the above point can be assigned to a solution of the system of the linear equations  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \alpha_i = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \alpha_i p_i = o$ . But according to the description of the solution in the previous paragraph, both the sets  $U, V$  and the coefficients assigned to such a decomposition, are determined uniquely.

**Exercise 7.** \* Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be a permutation. Define the permutation matrix assigned to  $\sigma$  by  $A_\sigma := (a_{ij})$ , where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma(i) = j \\ 0, & \text{if } \sigma(i) \neq j. \end{cases}$$

A matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$  is called *doubly stochastic*, if its entries are nonnegative, and the sum of the entries in each row and each column is one. Prove that the convex hull of the set of permutation matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  is the set of doubly stochastic matrices. (Hint: try to reduce the problem to Hall's theorem for bipartite graphs)

**Solution**

Let  $S$  denote the set of permutation matrices and  $C$  denote the set of doubly stochastic matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ . We need to show that  $\text{conv}(S) = C$ . First we prove that the  $C$  is convex. Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$  be doubly stochastic, and consider the matrix  $C = (c_{ij}) = tA + (1 - t)B$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then, clearly, the elements of  $C$  are nonnegative. The sum of the elements of  $C$  in the  $i$ th row is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n (ta_{ij} + (1 - t)b_{ij}) = t \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} + (1 - t) \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} = t \cdot 1 + (1 - t) \cdot 1 = 1,$$

implying that  $C$  is doubly stochastic. By the definition of convex sets, this yields that  $C$  is convex.

On the other hand, any permutation matrix is doubly stochastic, and thus,  $S \subseteq C$ , implying that  $\text{conv}(S) \subseteq C$ . Thus, we need to show that every element of  $C$  is a convex combination of permutation matrices. Let  $D = (d_{ij})$  be a doubly stochastic matrix different from any permutation matrix. We define a weighted graph  $G = G(V, E)$  as follows. The vertices of  $G$  are  $V = \{r_1, \dots, r_n, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  (corresponding to the rows and columns of  $D$ ), and the edges of  $G$  are the pairs  $\{r_i, c_j\}$  with  $d_{ij} \neq 0$ , and in this case the weight  $w(r_i, c_j)$  of  $\{r_i, c_j\}$  is  $d_{ij}$ . Clearly,  $G$  is

a bipartite graph. Let  $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$  and  $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ . For any  $A \subseteq V$  let the *neighborhood*  $N(A)$  of  $A$  be defined as the vertices of  $G$  connected to at least one vertex of  $A$ . Then we have  $N(A) \subseteq C$  for any  $A \subseteq R$  and  $N(A) \subseteq R$  for any  $A \subseteq C$ . Furthermore, if  $A \subseteq R$ , then

$$\sum_{r_i \in A, c_j \in N(A)} w(r_i, c_j) = \sum_{r_i \in A} \sum_{c_j \in N(\{r_i\})} w(r_i, c_j) = \sum_{r_i \in A} \sum_{c_j \in N(\{r_i\})} d_{ij} = \sum_{r_i \in A} 1 = |A|,$$

and the same statement holds if  $A \subseteq C$ . On the other hand, for any  $A \subseteq R$  or  $A \subseteq C$ , we have  $A \subseteq N(N(A))$  by the definition of neighborhood. Thus, assuming that  $A \subseteq R$ ,

$$|N(A)| = \sum_{c_j \in N(A), r_i \in N(N(A))} w(r_i, c_j) \geq \sum_{c_j \in N(A), r_i \in A} w(r_i, c_j) = |A|,$$

and the same inequality holds if  $A \subseteq C$ . Hence, we may apply Hall's theorem for bipartite graphs which states that in this case  $G$  has a perfect matching: there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\{r_i, c_{\sigma(i)}\}$  is an edge of  $G$ . Let  $P$  be the  $n \times n$  permutation matrix defined by  $\sigma$ . Note that by our construction, for every value of  $i$ ,  $d_{i\sigma(i)} > 0$ , and since  $D \neq P$ , we have  $d_{i\sigma(i)} < 1$  for some value of  $i$ . For any  $t \in [0, 1)$  let  $D(t)$  be the matrix defined by  $D = (1-t)D(t) + tP$ , or in other words, let  $D(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}D - \frac{t}{1-t}P$ . Observe that  $D(0) = D$  and that  $D(t)$  has a negative entry if  $t$  is sufficiently close to 1. Thus, there is a maximal value  $t_0$  such that  $D(t_0)$  has only nonnegative entries, which implies by the continuity of the entries of  $D(t)$  that for all  $ij$ ,  $d_{ij} = 0$  implies that the corresponding entry of  $D(t_0)$  is zero, and also that some other entry of  $D(t_0)$  is also zero. On the other hand,  $D(t_0)$  is a doubly stochastic matrix by our construction, and hence,  $D$  can be written as the convex combination of a permutation matrix and a doubly stochastic matrix with strictly more zero entries. By continuing this process, we can write  $D$  as a convex combination of permutation matrices.