





#### Tamás Kalmár-Nagy, BME ARA Having fun on the plane: Poincaré-Lyapunov constants, Jacobians, Quadrics and Jordan Forms



**Miklós Farkas** 

Seminar on Applied Analyis





#### DEDICATION



I wish to express my gratitude to those friends and colleagues who helped me in the preparation of this volume. Barna Garay, Viktor Kertész and Gábor Stépán of the Budapest University of Technology and Dana Schlomiuk of l'Université de Montreal read parts of the manuscript, corrected many errors and made invaluable suggestions that improved the text considerably. The participants of the Stability Theory Seminar at the Budapest University of Technology contributed much by their active criticism when listening to talks about some of the topics. My student Tamás Kalmár-Nagy corrected many misprints. Zoltán Farkas drew the figures with great care and much skill. Gábor Salfer solved with ease all the problems caused by our computer dependence in preparing the IAT<sub>E</sub>Xversion of the manuscript. Miss Flóra Géczy has done a fine job bravely learning TFX and typing the text. Karen Kosztolnyik of Springer-Verlag New York organized and arranged everything with utmost efficiency when, finally, the publication of the book gained momentum. I thank them all. Finally, I thank my wife, Kati, for her encouragement, moral support and patience, that is, for playing the role of the Muse superbly; without this, the book probably would have not been written.

Hegyesd, March 1994

Miklós Farkas



- Intro: Hopf bifurcation, Poincaré-Lyapunov constants
- Hopf Quadric
- 15 Little Jacobians
- Poincaré-Lyapunov constants and linear algebraic

properties of Carleman matrices

• Summary



#### **MOTIVATION: HOPF BIFURCATION ANALYSIS**



Source: YouTube - Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos



Source : YouTube – Aeroelastic Flutter

#### **POINCARÉ-ANDRONOV-HOPF BIFURCATION**





#### HOPF BIFURCATION AND POINCARÉ-LYAPUNOV CONSTANTS



 $L_1, \ldots, L_m$  Poincaré-Lyapunov constants



$$\dot{x}_{1} = \omega x_{2} + a_{20}x_{1}^{2} + a_{11}x_{1}x_{2} + a_{02}x_{2}^{2} + a_{30}x_{1}^{3} + a_{21}x_{1}^{2}x_{2} + a_{12}x_{1}x_{2}^{2} + a_{03}x_{2}^{3} + h.o.t$$
  
$$\dot{x}_{2} = -\omega x_{1} + b_{20}x_{1}^{2} + b_{11}x_{1}x_{2} + b_{02}x_{2}^{2} + b_{30}x_{1}^{3} + b_{21}x_{1}^{2}x_{2} + b_{12}x_{1}x_{2}^{2} + b_{03}x_{2}^{3} + h.o.t$$





#### **HOPF QUADRIC** WITH ALEXEI UTESHEV – ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNI.







Hyperbolic paraboloid





Hyperboloid of one sheet

Hyperboloid of two sheets

Cone what are other words for quadric?

quadric surface, quadratic, quaternary, quartic, quartile, square, curve, curved shape









#### First Poincaré-Lyapunov constant

$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{8\omega} [(a_{20} + a_{02})(b_{20} - b_{02} - a_{11}) + (b_{20} + b_{02})(a_{20} - a_{02} + b_{11})] + \frac{1}{8}(3a_{30} + a_{12} + b_{21} + 3b_{03})$$

15 parameters ( $\omega$ , 7 a's and 7 b's) in the planar differential

equation, but  $L_1$  is a function of only 11 parameters.

# $L_1$ is a quadratic form



$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{8\omega} [(a_{20} + a_{02})(b_{20} - b_{02} - a_{11}) + (b_{20} + b_{02})(a_{20} - a_{02} + b_{11})] + \frac{1}{8}(3a_{30} + a_{12} + b_{21} + 3b_{03})$$

 $\mathbf{u} = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{20}, a_{02}, a_{30}, b_{11}, b_{21}, b_{20}, b_{02}, b_{03})^{\mathsf{T}}$ 



**Eigenvalues of M:**  $\frac{1}{8\omega}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$  {-1,-1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0}

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{8\omega}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \operatorname{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$
$$\mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{n}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{1}{16}(0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1, 0, 3, 1)$$

$$H(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} = 0 \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{HOPF} \\ \mathsf{QUADRIC} \end{array}$$

Question: can we find the distance between a given parameter point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^8$  and the Hopf quadric? This distance would be a **measure of the "criticality" of the Hopf bifurcation**.



$$H(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} = 0 \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{HOPF} \\ \mathsf{QUADRIC} \end{array}$$

The stationary points of the squared distance function from  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to the quadric are the real zeros of a univariate algebraic equation

$$f(\mu) = A_0 \mu^4 + A_1 \mu^3 + A_2 \mu^2 + A_3 \mu + A_4 \in \mathbb{C}[\mu], \ A_0 \neq 0$$
  
**Discriminant:**  $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(f(\mu)) := 4 \mathfrak{I}_2^3 - 27 \mathfrak{I}_3^2$   
 $\mathfrak{I}_2 := 4A_0A_4 - A_1A_3 + \frac{1}{3}A_2^2,$   
 $\mathfrak{I}_3 := -A_0A_3^2 - A_1^2A_4 + \frac{8}{3}A_0A_2A_4 + \frac{1}{3}A_1A_2A_3 - \frac{2}{27}A_2^3.$ 

HOPF QUADRIC

The condition  $D_{\mu}(f(\mu)) = 0$  is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a multiple zero of f.

$$u_* = \frac{2A_1\mathfrak{I}_2^2 + (3A_1A_2 - 18A_0A_3)\mathfrak{I}_3}{(24A_0A_2 - 9A_1^2)\mathfrak{I}_3 - 8A_0\mathfrak{I}_2^2}$$

**Theorem 1** The square of the distance between the quadric (15) and a point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  outside the quadric ( $H(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0$ ) is the minimal positive zero  $z_*$  of the **distance** equation

$$\mathcal{F}(z) := \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\Phi(\mu, z)) = 0,$$

provided that this zero is not a multiple one. Here

$$\Phi(\mu, z) := \det \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{array} \right) + \mu \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{T}} & z - \mathbf{x}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_0 \end{array} \right) \right)$$

and **I** stands for the nth order identity matrix. The coordinates of the point in the quadric nearest to  $\mathbf{x}_0$  are:

$$\mathbf{x}_* = (\mu_* \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{b} + \mu_* \mathbf{x}_0) \,.$$

Here  $\mu_*$  stands for the multiple zero of the polynomial  $\Phi(\mu, z_*)$ .



$$\Phi(\mu, z) = A_0(z)\,\mu^4 + A_1\mu^3 + A_2(z)\,\mu^2 + A_3\mu + A_4,$$

where

$$A_0(z) = 8192 \,\omega^2 z, \ A_1 = 8192 \omega^2 L_1(\mathbf{x}_0),$$
  

$$A_2(z) = 32[20\omega^2 - 6z + 3(b_{20} + b_{02})^2 + 3(a_{20} + a_{02})^2 + 2(a_{20} - a_{02} + b_{11})^2 + 2(b_{20} - b_{02} + a_{11})^2],$$
  

$$A_3 = -24(3a_{30} + a_{12} + b_{21} + 3b_{03}), \ A_4 = -15.$$

**Theorem 2** For a specific  $\mathbf{x}_0$  the square of the distance from it to the Hopf quadric equals the minimal positive zero of the equation

$$\mathcal{F}(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{5} C_j z^{5-j} = 0.$$

The coefficient  $C_j$  is a polynomial of the degree 2j in  $\omega$  and components of the vector  $\mathbf{x}_0$ :

$$C_0 = 12960,$$
  
$$C_1 = 216 \left[ 800\omega^2 - 3(3a_{30} + a_{12} + b_{21} + 3b_{03})^2 \right]$$

 $-200((a_{20} + a_{02})^2 + (b_{20} + b_{02})^2) + 80((b_{11} + 2a_{20})(2a_{02} - b_{11}) + (2b_{20} - a_{11})(a_{11} + 2b_{02}))]$   $\vdots$ 



#### 

 $z_* \approx 3.803941$  .

Therefore, the distance from  $u^{(0)}$  to the Hopf quadric equals  $\sqrt{z_*} \approx 1.950369$ . For  $\omega = 1/2$ , the distance equation has three real zeros, namely

 $z_* \approx 2.016646, 14.061803, 14.252662$ .

In this case, the distance to the Hopf quadric equals  $\sqrt{z_*} \approx 1.420086$ .



#### **15 LITTLE JACOBIANS**



### Can we determine stability from eigenvalues around a nonhyperbolic equilibrium?



Ghaffari and Lasemi considered the autonomous system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}\right),$$

where **f** is continuously differentiable, and the origin  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is an isolated nonhyperbolic equilibrium point. Let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be a point inside of a punctured neighborhood N of the origin and  $J = \frac{d\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})}{d\mathbf{x}}\Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_0}$ . Their theorem states that the origin is asymptotically stable if for all  $\mathbf{x}_0$  in N the matrix A is stable, otherwise it is unstable.

Let us consider

$$\dot{x}_{1} = \omega x_{2} + a_{20} x_{1}^{2} + a_{11} x_{1} x_{2} + a_{02} x_{2}^{2} + a_{30} x_{1}^{3} + a_{21} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} + a_{12} x_{1} x_{2}^{2} + a_{03} x_{2}^{3},$$
  

$$\dot{x}_{2} = -\omega x_{1} + b_{20} x_{1}^{2} + b_{11} x_{1} x_{2} + b_{02} x_{2}^{2} + b_{30} x_{1}^{3} + b_{21} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} + b_{12} x_{1} x_{2}^{2} + b_{03} x_{2}^{3}.$$
  

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{20} x_{1} + a_{11} x_{2} + 3a_{30} x_{1}^{2} + 2a_{21} x_{1} x_{2} + a_{12} x_{2}^{2} & \omega + a_{11} x_{1} + 2a_{02} x_{2} + a_{21} x_{1}^{2} + 2a_{12} x_{1} x_{2} + 3a_{03} x_{2}^{2} \\ -\omega + 2b_{20} x_{1} + b_{11} x_{2} + 3b_{30} x_{1}^{2} + 2b_{21} x_{1} x_{2} + b_{12} x_{2}^{2} & b_{11} x_{1} + 2b_{02} x_{2} + b_{21} x_{1}^{2} + 2b_{12} x_{1} x_{2} + 3b_{03} x_{2}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\omega^2 = \left. \operatorname{Det} J \right|_{\mathbf{x} = 0}$$



$$TrJ = (2a_{20} + b_{11})x_1 + (a_{11} + 2b_{02})x_2 + (3a_{30} + b_{21})x_1^2 + 2(a_{21} + b_{12})x_1x_2 + (a_{12} + 3b_{03})x_2^2$$

$$Tr J = \sum_{i+j=1,2} t_{ij} x_1^i x_2^j$$
  

$$t_{10} = 2a_{20} + b_{11}, \quad t_{01} = a_{11} + 2b_{02},$$
  

$$t_{20} = 3a_{30} + b_{21}, \quad t_{11} = 2(a_{21} + b_{12}), \quad t_{02} = a_{12} + 3b_{03}$$
  
Let us define

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 3a_{30} + b_{21} & a_{21} + b_{12} \\ a_{21} + b_{12} & a_{12} + 3b_{03} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{20} + \frac{b_{11}}{2} \\ \frac{a_{11}}{2} + b_{02} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr} J = \mathbf{x}^T C \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{2} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$$

 $\operatorname{Tr} C = t_{20} + t_{02} = 3a_{30} + b_{21} + a_{12} + 3b_{03}$ 



#### **15 LITTLE JACOBIANS**

$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{8\omega} [(a_{20} + a_{02})(b_{20} - b_{02} - a_{11}) + (b_{20} + b_{02})(a_{20} - a_{02} + b_{11})] + \frac{1}{8} (3a_{30} + a_{12} + b_{21} + 3b_{03})$$

Can we express the Poincaré-Lyapunov constant in terms of Tr.J and DetJ evaluated at different points?



 $\bigcirc$ 

$$PL = \frac{1}{8} \left( 3a_{30} + b_{21} + a_{12} + 3b_{03} \right) + \frac{1}{8\omega} \left[ (b_{02} + b_{20})(2a_{20} + b_{11}) - (a_{02} + a_{20})(a_{11} + 2b_{02}) \right] = \frac{1}{8} \left( t_{20} + t_{02} \right) + \frac{1}{8\omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( t_{01} - \frac{d_{10}}{\omega} \right) t_{10} - \frac{1}{2} \left( t_{10} + \frac{d_{01}}{\omega} \right) t_{01} \right] = \frac{1}{8} \left( t_{20} + t_{02} - \frac{d_{10}t_{10} + d_{01}t_{01}}{2d_{00}} \right)$$



$$Tr J = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$
$$Det J = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

## Yes, we can determine stability from eigenvalues at 15 points around a nonhyperbolic equilibrium!



#### HOPF BIFURCATION AND CARLEMAN MATRICES WITH CSANÁD HUBAY – BME ARA

**22** 30

- 1932, Carleman method based on Poincaré's idea
- 1986, Tsiligiannis & Lyberatos, theorems about Hopf bifurcation using Carleman matrices
- $\circ\;$  1991, Steeb and Kowalski book

**Goal:** Use of linear algebraic methods in bifurcation analysis



#### **Research question:**

What is the connection between the Poincaré-Lyapunov constants and the linear algebraic properties of the Carleman matrices?



Let us consider

$$\dot{x}_1 = -x_2 + \sum_{i+j\geq 2} a_{ij} x_1^i x_2^j,$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = x_1 + \sum_{i+j\geq 2} b_{ij} x_1^i x_2^j,$$

Introducing the monomials of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ 

. . .

$$\mathbf{x}^{[j]} = (x_1^j, \ x_1^{j-1} x_2^1, \ x_1^{j-2} x_2^2, \ \dots, \ x_1^2 x_2^{j-2}, \ x_1^1 x_2^{j-1}, x_2^j)^{\mathsf{T}}$$
e.g.

$$\mathbf{x}^{[1]} = (x_1, x_2)^{\mathrm{T}},$$
$$\mathbf{x}^{[2]} = (x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^2)^{\mathrm{T}},$$
$$\mathbf{x}^{[3]} = (x_1^3, x_1^2 x_2, x_1 x_2^2, x_2^3)^{\mathrm{T}},$$



For example, differentiating  $\, {f x}^{[3]}$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{x}^{[3]} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^3 \\ x_1^2 x_2 \\ x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3x_1^2 \dot{x}_1 \\ 2x_1 x_2 \dot{x}_1 + x_1^2 \dot{x}_2 \\ x_2^2 \dot{x}_1 + 2x_1 x_2 \dot{x}_2 \\ 3x_2^2 \dot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

In general,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{x}^{[j]} = (\mathrm{N}_{1}(j)\,\dot{x}_{1})\,\frac{\mathbf{x}^{[j]}}{x_{1}} + (\mathrm{N}_{2}(j)\,\dot{x}_{2})\,\frac{\mathbf{x}^{[j]}}{x_{2}},$$

where

$$\mathbf{N}_{1}(j) = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 & \dots & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & j - 1 & \dots & 0 & | & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & | & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & - \dots & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & - \dots & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{N}_{2}(j) = j\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{N}_{1}(j).$$



#### CARLEMAN EMBEDDING TECHNIQUE

The Carleman embedding

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{x}^{[j]} = \sum_{k=j}^{n} \mathbf{A}_{j,k}\mathbf{x}^{[k]} , \ j = 1, \ \dots, \ n.$$

The matrices  $\mathbf{A}_{j,k}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}_{j,k} = \mathbf{N}_{1}(j) \begin{pmatrix} a_{l,0} & a_{l-1,1} & \dots & a_{1,l-1} & a_{0,l} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{l,0} & a_{l-1,1} & \dots & a_{1,l-1} & a_{0,l} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{l,0} & a_{l-1,1} & \dots & a_{1,l-1} & a_{0,l} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ + \mathbf{N}_{2}(j) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_{l,0} & b_{l-1,1} & \dots & b_{1,l-1} & b_{0,l} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{l,0} & b_{l-1,1} & \dots & b_{1,l-1} & b_{0,l} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{l,0} & b_{l-1,1} & \dots & b_{1,l-1} & b_{0,l} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$
where  $l = k - j + 1$ .



#### The Carleman embedded system

$$\underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}}_{\mathbf{y}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{[1]} \\ \mathbf{x}^{[2]} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{[n]} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{y}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,1} & \mathbf{A}_{1,2} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_{1,n} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_{2,2} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{C}_n} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{[1]} \\ \mathbf{x}^{[2]} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}^{[n]} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{x}^{[n+1]}\right).$$

and the vector of the initial conditions

$$\mathbf{y}_0 = \left(0, h, 0, 0, h^2, 0, 0, 0, h^3, \dots, h^n\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$



#### **CONSTRUCTING A SOLUTION**

#### The linearized system



#### Strategy to solve the linearized system:

- 1. Calculating the eigenvalues of the Carleman matrix
- 2. Calculating the eigenvectors of the Carleman matrix
- 3. Constructing the solution as linear combinations



The Carleman matrix:

$$\mathbf{C}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,1} & \mathbf{A}_{1,2} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_{1,n} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_{2,2} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma (non-trivial):

$$\operatorname{sp}\left(\mathbf{A}_{n,n}\right) = \begin{cases} \{0, \pm 2i, \dots, \pm ni\} & 2 \mid n \\ \{\pm i, \pm 3i, \dots, \pm ni\} & 2 \nmid n \end{cases}.$$

Lemma (trivial):

$$\operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{C}_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{A}_{i,i}).$$

It is true since  $\mathbf{C}_n$  is an upper-triangular matrix.



Eigenproblem

$$\mathbf{C}_n \mathbf{u}_n = \lambda \mathbf{u}_n.$$

Block matrix structure



Starting point

$$\operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{C}_1) = \{\pm i\}$$
 ,  $\mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = i \mathbf{u}_1$   
that yields

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} i\\1\end{array}\right).$$



The equations to solve are

$$\mathbf{A}_{n,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n} = \lambda \mathbf{u}_{n,n},$$

$$(\mathbf{C}_{n-1} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,n} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,n}.$$

$$(1)$$

1. Case of eigenvalues with already calculated eigenvectors  $\mathbf{u}_{n-1}$  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{A}_{n,n}), \lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{C}_{n-1}), \text{ i.e. } \lambda \in \{(n-1)i, (n-3)i, \dots\}.$ 

The eigenvector reads

$$\mathbf{u}_n = \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{u}_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n+1} \end{array} \right).$$



$$\mathbf{A}_{n,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n} = \lambda \mathbf{u}_{n,n}, \qquad (\mathbf{1}$$
$$(\mathbf{C}_{n-1} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,n} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,n}. \qquad (\mathbf{2}$$

2. Case of new, single eigenvalues,  $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{A}_{n,n}), \lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{C}_{n-1})$ , i.e.  $\lambda = \pm ni$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}_{n-1})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,n} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,n}.$$
$$\mathbf{u}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}_{n-1})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,n} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,n}.$$

Thus,



3. Case of repeated eigenvalues,

$$\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{A}_{n,n}), \ \lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{C}_{n-1}), \text{ i.e. } \lambda \in \{(n-2)i, \ (n-4)i, \ \dots \}.$$

a) Similarly to the first case

$$\mathbf{u}_n = \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{u}_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n+1} \end{array} \right)$$

b)





In case of zero eigenvalue

$$(\mathbf{C}_{n-1} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1,n} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{n,n},$$

utilizing the blockmatrix structure of  $\, {f C}_{n-1} \,$ 

$$\mathbf{A}_{n-1,n-1}\mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} = -\mathbf{A}_{n-1,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n},$$
  

$$\mathbf{A}_{n-2,n-2}\mathbf{u}_{n,n-2} = -\mathbf{A}_{n-2,n-1}\mathbf{u}_{n-1} - \mathbf{A}_{n-2,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n},$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$\mathbf{A}_{2,2}\mathbf{u}_{n,2} = -\mathbf{A}_{2,3}\mathbf{u}_{n,3} - \mathbf{A}_{2,4}\mathbf{u}_{n,4} - \dots - \mathbf{A}_{2,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n},$$
  

$$\mathbf{A}_{1,1}\mathbf{u}_{n,1} = -\mathbf{A}_{1,2}\mathbf{u}_{n,2} - \mathbf{A}_{1,3}\mathbf{u}_{n,3} - \dots - \mathbf{A}_{1,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n}.$$

Since 
$$\lambda = 0 \notin \operatorname{sp} (\mathbf{A}_{n-1,n-1})$$
  
 $\mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} = -\mathbf{A}_{n-1,n-1}^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{n-1,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n}.$ 



We want to solve the following equation

$$\mathbf{A}_{n-2,n-2}\mathbf{u}_{n,n-2} = \underbrace{-\mathbf{A}_{n-2,n-1}\mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} - \mathbf{A}_{n-2,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n}}_{\mathbf{b}_2}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{z}_2 \in \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{A}_{n-2,n-2}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)$  then

$$\mathbf{z}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{b}_2 = c_1 L_1$$

If  $L_1 \neq 0$  there exists no solution. Otherwise,

$$\mathbf{u}_{n,n-3} = -\mathbf{A}_{n-3,n-3}^{-1} \left( \mathbf{A}_{n-3,n-2} \mathbf{u}_{n,n-2} + \mathbf{A}_{n-3,n-1} \mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} + \mathbf{A}_{n-3,n} \mathbf{u}_{n,n} \right)$$

and

. . .

$$\mathbf{A}_{n-4,n-4}\mathbf{u}_{n,n-4} = \underbrace{-\mathbf{A}_{n-4,n-3}\mathbf{u}_{n,n-3} - \mathbf{A}_{n-4,n-2}\mathbf{u}_{n-2} - \mathbf{A}_{n-4,n-1}\mathbf{u}_{n,n-1} - \mathbf{A}_{n-4,n}\mathbf{u}_{n,n}}_{\mathbf{b}_4},$$

we examine the scalar product  $\ \left( \ \mathbf{z}_{4} \in \mathcal{N}\left( \mathbf{A}_{n-4,n-4}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \right)$ 

$$\mathbf{z}_4^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{b}_4 = c_2 L_2.$$



#### **GENERALIZED EIGENVECTORS**

The Carleman matrix  $\mathbf{C}_n$  is defective. The number of distinct eigenvalues is p=2n+1.

Generalized eigenvector of rank k

$$(\mathbf{C}_n - \lambda \mathbf{I})^k \mathbf{w}_n = \mathbf{0},$$

but

$$(\mathbf{C}_n - \lambda \mathbf{I})^{k-1} \mathbf{w}_n \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

The rank 1 generalized eigenvector is the normal eigenvector  $\mathbf{u}_n$  . Generalized eigenvectors can be calculated from

$$(\mathbf{C}_n - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{w}_n = \mathbf{w}_{n-1}.$$



 $\alpha_{\lambda_j}$  - algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ 

Introducing the notation of the eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_n,\,\lambda_j,\,k)$$
 ,

where

- $\mathbf{C}_n$  is the Carleman matrix of order n,
- $\lambda_j$  is the *j*th eigenvalue,
- k denotes the rank k generalized eigenvector.

The linear combination of all the linearly independent solutions of the eigenvalues gives

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_{\lambda_j}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} c_{j,k}(h) e^{\lambda_j t} \frac{t^{k-l}}{(k-l)!} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_n, \, \lambda_j, \, k) \, .$$



$$x_2(T(h)) - x_2(0) = L_1 h^3 + L_2 h^5 + \dots + L_m h^{2m+1},$$
  
 $T(h) = 2\pi + \mathcal{O}(h).$  Kuznetsov & Leonov (2007, 2008)

Difference between the solution and the intial conditions

 $x_1(2\pi) - x_1(0) = \mathbf{e}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \left( \mathbf{y}(2\pi) - \mathbf{y}(0) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_{\lambda_j}} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} c_{j,k}(h) \frac{(2\pi)^{k-l}}{(k-l)!} \mathbf{e}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{C}_n, \lambda_j, l \right) = M_1 h^3 + M_2 h^5 + \dots + M_m h^n,$ 

$$\dot{x}_{2}(2\pi) - x_{2}(0) = \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \left( \mathbf{y}(2\pi) - \mathbf{y}(0) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_{\lambda_{j}}} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} c_{j,k}(h) \frac{(2\pi)^{k-l}}{(k-l)!} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{n}, \lambda_{j}, k) = L_{1}h^{3} + L_{2}h^{5} + \dots + L_{m}h^{n} + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+1}),$$

#### Where

$$\mathbf{e}_2^{\mathrm{T}} = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$
 is the standard basis vector,  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  are the Poincaré-Lyapunov constants.

DHASE PORTRAIT



![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

The third order Carleman matrix is written as

$$\mathbf{C}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & a_{2,0} & a_{1,1} & a_{0,2} & a_{3,0} & a_{2,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{0,3} \\ 1 & 0 & b_{2,0} & b_{1,1} & b_{0,2} & b_{3,0} & b_{2,1} & b_{1,2} & b_{0,3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 2a_{2,0} & 2a_{1,1} & 2a_{0,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & b_{2,0} & a_{2,0} + b_{1,1} & a_{1,1} + b_{0,2} & a_{0,2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2b_{2,0} & 2b_{1,1} & 2b_{0,2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

its spectrum is

$$\operatorname{sp}(\mathbf{C}_3) = \{0, \pm i[2], \pm 2i, \pm 3i\},\$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors are the following.

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{3}, 0) = (-b_{0,2} - b_{2,0}, a_{2,0} + a_{0,2}, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}},$$
$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{3}, i) = (i, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}},$$
$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{3}, 2i) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -b_{2,0} + 2a_{1,1} + b_{0,2} \\ a_{2,0} + 2b_{1,1} - a_{0,2} \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + i\frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{2,0} + b_{1,1} - 2a_{0,2} \\ 2b_{2,0} - a_{1,1} - 2b_{0,2} \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{3}, 3i) = \dots$$

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **EXAMPLE: GENERALIZED EIGENVECTOR**

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{3}, i, 2) = \frac{2}{d} \begin{pmatrix} 10a_{0,2}b_{0,2} + 14a_{2,0}b_{0,2} + a_{1,1}b_{1,1} - 4a_{0,2}b_{2,0} + 4a_{2,0}b_{2,0} - 3b_{1,1}b_{0,2} + 9b_{0,3} - 3b_{1,1}b_{2,0} + 3b_{2,1} \\ 4a_{1,1} + 14b_{0,2} + 10b_{2,0} \\ -5a_{0,2} - 7a_{2,0} + b_{1,1} \\ 2a_{1,1} + 4b_{0,2} - 4b_{2,0} \\ -9 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 4a_{1,1} + 14b_{0,2} - 4b_{2,0} \\ -9 \\ 0 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+i\left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ -2a_{1,1}b_{0,2}+5a_{0,2}b_{1,1}+7a_{2,0}b_{1,1}-4a_{1,1}b_{2,0}-4b_{0,2}^2-10b_{2,0}b_{0,2}-b_{1,1}^2-10b_{2,0}^2+3b_{1,2}+9b_{3,0}\\ -4a_{0,2}+4a_{2,0}32b_{1,1}\\ a_{1,1}-7b_{0,2}-5b_{2,0}\\ 10a_{0,2}+14a_{2,0}+4b_{1,1}\\ 0\\ 3\\ 0\\ 9\end{array}\right)\right),$$

where

$$d = \frac{12}{\pi} (M_1 + iL_1),$$

$$\begin{split} M_{1} &= \frac{\pi}{12} (-a_{0,2}b_{1,1} - 5a_{1,1}b_{0,2} - 5a_{2,0}b_{1,1} - a_{1,1}b_{2,0} + 10a_{0,2}^{2} + 10a_{2,0}a_{0,2} + a_{1,1}^{2} + 4a_{2,0}^{2} + 9a_{0,3} + 3a_{2,1} + 4b_{0,2}^{2} + b_{1,1}^{2} + 10b_{2,0}^{2} - 3b_{1,2} + 10b_{0,2}b_{2,0} - 9b_{3,0}) \\ L_{1} &= \frac{\pi}{4} \left( 2a_{0,2}b_{0,2} - 2a_{2,0}b_{2,0} + a_{0,2}a_{1,1} + a_{2,0}a_{1,1} + a_{1,2} + 3a_{3,0} + 3b_{0,3} - b_{0,2}b_{1,1} - b_{1,1}b_{2,0} + b_{2,1} \right). \end{split}$$

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **EXAMPLE: CONSTRUCTING A SOLUTION**

The subsolutions if  $d \neq 0$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{1,1}(t) &= \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, 0 \right), \\ \mathbf{s}_{2,1}(t) &= e^{it} \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, i \right), \, \mathbf{s}_{2,2}(t) = e^{it} \left( t \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, i \right) + \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, i , \, 2 \right) \right), \\ \mathbf{s}_{3,1}(t) &= e^{-it} \overline{\mathbf{v}} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, i \right), \, \mathbf{s}_{3,2}(t) = e^{-it} \left( t \overline{\mathbf{v}} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, i \right) + \overline{\mathbf{v}} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, i , \, 2 \right) \right), \\ \mathbf{s}_{4,1}(t) &= e^{2it} \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, 2i \right), \\ \mathbf{s}_{5,1}(t) &= e^{-2it} \overline{\mathbf{v}} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, 2i \right), \\ \mathbf{s}_{6,1}(t) &= e^{3it} \mathbf{v} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, 3i \right), \\ \mathbf{s}_{7,1}(t) &= e^{-3it} \overline{\mathbf{v}} \left( \mathbf{C}_{3}, \, 3i \right). \end{aligned}$$

The solution  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  of system

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{7} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_{\lambda_j}} c_{j,k}(h) s_{j,k}(t),$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{1,1} \\ c_{2,1} \\ c_{2,2} \\ c_{3,1} \\ c_{3,2} \\ c_{4,1} \\ c_{5,1} \\ c_{6,1} \\ c_{7,1} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_0$$

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### SOLUTION OF THE EXAMPLE

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$L_{1} = \frac{\pi}{4} \left( -2a_{20}b_{20} + a_{11}a_{20} + a_{11}a_{02} - b_{11}b_{20} - b_{11}b_{02} + 2b_{02}a_{02} + 3a_{30} + a_{12} + b_{21} + 3b_{03} \right)$$

**Lemma:** Suppose  $C_{2m+1}$  is the (2m+1)th order Carleman matrix and  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  are the pure imaginary eigenvalues of that. Then generalized eigenvectors of the eigenvalues may exists in the form

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{2m+1}, \lambda_j, k) = \frac{1}{M_{k-1} + iL_{k-1}}(.), k \le m$$

Lemma: Suppose  $C_{2m+1}$  is the (2m+1)th order Carleman matrix then generalized eigenvectors of the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0$ 

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{C}_{2m+1}, 0, k) = \frac{1}{L_{k-1}}(.), k \le m.$$

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

The row echelon form of a Carleman matrix

$$\mathbf{C}_{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} \circ & \Box & \Box & \cdots & \Box \\ 0 & \circ & \Box & \cdots & \Box \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{0}{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{0}{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{0}{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{\cdots}{\mathbf{0}} - \frac{c\frac{L_i}{\pi}}{\mathbf{0}} - \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $L_i$   $(i \leq k-1)$  is the  $i^{th}$  non-vanishing Poincaré-Lyapunov constant.

#### Lemma:

$$\gamma_0 \left( \mathbf{C}_{2m} \right) = \gamma_0 \left( \mathbf{C}_{2m+1} \right) = \underbrace{\# \left\{ i \mid L_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, m-1 \right\}}_{\text{Number of zero Poincaré-Lyapunov constants}} + 1.$$

The research question is answered: we found the connection between the Poincaré-Lyapunov constants and the linear algebraic properties of the Carleman matrices.

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Thank you for your attention!