

# The universal von Neumann algebra of smooth four-manifolds revisited

Gábor Etesi

Budapest University of Technology and Economics  
Department of Geometry  
HUNGARY

New York City Noncommutative Geometry Seminar  
26th October 2022 (online from Budapest)

## PLAN

- \* Rapid introduction to smooth 4-manifolds
- \* Construction of a von Neumann algebra
- \* Construction of a new smooth 4-manifold invariant (sketch)
- \* Application to quantization of gravity in 4 dimensions (if time remains)

## Smooth 4-manifolds

*The basic problem:* Assume that a topological space  $X$  admits a finite dimensional manifold structure. There are two essentially different possibilities: either  $X$  carries a  $C^0$  or **topological manifold** structure  $X$  or a  $C^\infty$  or **smooth manifold** structure  $M$ . Given a topological manifold  $X$ , can it be refined in a compatible way (i.e., “smoothened”) to an  $M$ , or equivalently: Does  $X$  admit any compatible smooth structure  $M$  (existence)? If yes, is this structure unique (uniqueness)?

Why is four dimensions so special?

- (i) If  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X \leq 3$  then  $X$  always admits a smooth structure  $M$  which is unique (classical fact);
- (ii) If  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X \geq 5$  and  $X$  is compact then it admits at most finitely (including zero) many different smooth structures (Sullivan);

- (iii) If  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 4$  then there exists a **plethora of smooth structures** and the situation is very complicated (Akbulut, Donaldson, Freedman, Gompf, Kirby, Taubes,...). It may happen that:  $X$  is compact and not smoothable at all (e.g. the simply connected space with intersection form  $E_8$ ); it carries countably infinitely many smooth structures (e.g. the  $K3$  surface); or we do not know yet how many (the case of  $S^4$ );  $X$  is not compact and the cardinality of different smooth structures reaches that of the continuum in ZFC set theory. Perhaps the most striking phenomenon:

### Theorem

*Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  if  $m \neq 4$ . If  $m = 4$  then there exist many non-countable families  $\{R^4\}, \dots$  of pairwise non-diffeomorphic smooth 4-manifolds which are all homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  (such an  $R^4$  is called a **fake** or **exotic  $\mathbb{R}^4$** ).  $\diamond$*

*How to recognize or distinguish smoothness in four dimensions?*

(This question is important both mathematically and physically.)

Try to construct computable but sensitive **smooth 4-manifold invariants**. Interestingly, four is the dimension of macroscopic **physical space-time** and the strongest invariants arrive from contemporary theoretical **particle physics**: The **Donaldson invariant** (from Yang–Mills or gauge theory) and the **Seiberg–Witten invariant** SW (from supersymmetric gauge theory). Why **gravity theories** (e.g. general relativity) cannot exhibit smooth invariants?

### Remark

Two unsatisfactory properties of the Seiberg–Witten invariant (roughly): (i) If  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  denotes the complex projective space then  $SW(\mathbb{C}P^2) = 0$ ; (ii) If  $\#$  denotes the connected sum operation on 4-manifolds then  $SW(M\#N) = 0$ .  $\diamond$

# Emergence of the $\text{II}_1$ hyperfinite factor

From the **superabundance of smooth 4-manifolds** one can distillate a **single von Neumann algebra** as follows:

Theorem (Etesi, 2017, 2022)

*Let  $M$  be a connected oriented smooth 4-manifold. Making use of its smooth structure only, a von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{R}$  can be constructed which is geometric in the sense that it contains algebraic (i.e., formal or coming from a metric) curvature tensors on  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  itself is a hyperfinite factor of type  $\text{II}_1$  (hence is unique up to abstract isomorphism of von Neumann algebras).  $\diamond$*

The construction is based on two simple steps and looks like this:

**Step 1.** Let  $M$  be a connected oriented smooth 4-manifold and consider  $T^{(p,q)}M$ , the bundle of  $(p,q)$ -type tensors over  $M$ . Among these bundles  $\wedge^2 T^*M \subset T^{(0,2)}M$ , the **bundle of 2-forms**, is the only one which admits a natural (i.e. defined without any additional structure) pairing over  $M$ : Writing  $\Omega_c^2(M) := C_c^\infty(M; \wedge^2 T^*M)$  for the infinite dimensional real vector space of compactly supported smooth 2-forms, then for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_c^2(M)$ , an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear symmetric pairing

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{L^2(M)} := \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta \quad (1)$$

exists provided by the orientation and the smooth structure of  $M$  only.

Observe that this pairing is non-degenerate however is *indefinite* in general thus can be regarded as an indefinite scalar product on  $\Omega_c^2(M)$ . It therefore induces an indefinite real **quadratic form**  $Q$  given by  $Q(\alpha) := \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{L^2(M)}$ . Let  $C(M)$  denote the **complexified** infinite dimensional **Clifford algebra** associated with  $(\Omega_c^2(M), Q)$ . If  $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$  denotes the algebra of  $k \times k$  complex matrices then

$$C(M) \cong \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}) \quad (2)$$

or equivalently

$$C(M) \cong \mathfrak{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathfrak{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \dots$$

and note that being (1) a non-local operation, this  $C(M)$  is a genuine global infinite dimensional object.



The isomorphism (2) shows that  $C(M)$  is a complex  $*$ -algebra whose  $*$ -operation (provided by taking Hermitian matrix transpose, a non-local operation) is written as  $A \mapsto A^*$  (note the **difference** in notation between  $*$  and  $\ast$  at various places from now on) moreover  $C(M)$  possesses a unit and its center comprises the scalar multiples of the unit only. If  $A \in C(M)$  then one can pick the smallest  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$  consequently  $A$  has a **finite trace** defined by

$$\tau(A) := 2^{-n} \text{Trace}(A)$$

i.e., taking the usual normalized trace of the corresponding  $2^n \times 2^n$  complex matrix. It is straightforward that  $\tau(A) \in \mathbb{C}$  does not depend on  $n$ . We can then define a sesquilinear inner product on  $C(M)$  by

$$(A, B) := \tau(AB^{\ast})$$

which is non-degenerate.

**Step 2.** Thus the **completion** of  $C(M)$  with respect to the norm  $\| \cdot \|$  induced by  $( \cdot , \cdot )$  renders  $C(M)$  a complex **Hilbert space** what we shall write as  $\mathcal{H}$  and its **Banach algebra** of all bounded linear operators as  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

Multiplication in  $C(M)$  from the left on itself is continuous hence gives rise to a **representation**  $\pi : C(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Finally our central object effortlessly emerges as the weak closure of the image of  $C(M)$  under  $\pi$  within  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  or equivalently, by referring to von Neumann's bicommutant theorem we put

$$\mathfrak{R} := (\pi(C(M)))'' \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}) .$$

This is a von Neumann algebra and of course admits a unit  $1 \in \mathfrak{R}$  moreover continues to have trivial center i.e., it is a factor. Moreover by construction it is hyperfinite. The trace  $\tau$  as defined has the form

$$\tau(A) = (A, 1) \quad (3)$$

and extends from  $C(M)$  to  $\mathfrak{R}$  and satisfies  $\tau(1) = 1$ . Moreover this trace is unique on  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

Consequently from an  $M$  a **hyperfinite  $II_1$ -type factor von Neumann algebra**  $\mathfrak{R}$  has been extracted. Moreover whatever  $M$  was, this  $\mathfrak{R}$  is **unique** up to abstract isomorphisms of von Neumann algebras.

How the operator algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  looks like? One can show that

$$\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C}) \subset C(M) \subset \text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C}))$$

(the first embedding is canonical, the second is not) which permits to explore at least the  $\text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathfrak{K}$  part:

- (i) (Some non-local operators) Take a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  with  $\int_M \mu_g = 1$  and let  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots\}$  be an ONB in  $\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C})$ . Then if  $B \in \text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C}))$  satisfies

$$\tau(B) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (B\varphi_i, \varphi_i)_{L^2(M, g)} < +\infty \quad (4)$$

(which is the same as (3) above) we know that  $B \in \text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathfrak{K}$ ;

- (ii) (Some local operators) **A peculiarity of four dimensions** is that the subspace  $C^\infty(M; \text{End}(\wedge^2 T^*M)) \subset \text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M))$  of bundle morphisms contains the space of algebraic (i.e., formal or coming from a metric) **curvature tensors** on  $M$ . E.g. if  $(M, g)$  is an oriented (pseudo-)Riemannian 4-manifold then its Riemannian curvature tensor  $R_g$  is a member of this algebra.

continued...

...continued

With respect to the decomposition of complexified 2-forms into their (anti)self-dual parts, as a map

$$R_g : \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_c^+(M; \mathbb{C}) & & \Omega_c^+(M; \mathbb{C}) \\ \oplus & \longrightarrow & \oplus \\ \Omega_c^-(M; \mathbb{C}) & & \Omega_c^-(M; \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

the **complexified** curvature looks like

$$R_g = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{12} \text{Scal} + \text{Weyl}^+ & \text{Ric}_0 \\ \text{Ric}_0^* & \frac{1}{12} \text{Scal} + \text{Weyl}^- \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

that is,  $R_g \in C^\infty(M; \text{End}(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}))$  indeed.

continued...

...continued

Then if a local operator  $R \in C^\infty(M; \text{End}(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}))$  (hence possessing a pointwise trace function  $x \mapsto \text{tr}(R_x)$  like for instance a curvature tensor  $R_g$  above) satisfies

$$\tau(R) = \frac{1}{6} \int_M \text{tr}(R) \mu_g < +\infty$$

(this is the shape of the previous non-local trace formula (4) for local operators) we know that

$$R \in C^\infty(M; \text{End}(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathfrak{A}.$$

Observe that both (4) or this latter trace formula are satisfied by any  $A \in \text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C}))$  i.e.  $A \in \text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{A})$  if it is a **bounded operator** (with respect to any metric  $g$  on  $M$ ).

## A new smooth 4-manifold invariant

The rich **representation theory** of the  **$\text{II}_1$  hyperfinite factor** allows one to construct a smooth invariant as well:

**Theorem (Etesi, 2017, 2022)**

*Let  $M$  be a connected oriented smooth 4-manifold and  $\mathfrak{R}$  its von Neumann algebra as before. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  admits a representation on a certain Hilbert space over  $M$  such that the unitary equivalence class of this representation is invariant under orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $M$ . Consequently the Murray–von Neumann coupling constant of this representation gives rise to a smooth invariant  $\gamma(M) \in [0, 1)$ . It has the following properties:*

continued...



...continued

(i) *It satisfies*

$$\gamma(M) = 1 - \frac{1}{x}$$

where  $x \in \{4 \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{n}) \mid n \geq 3\} \cup [4, +\infty)$ , the set of Jones' subfactor indices;

(ii) *It behaves like  $\gamma(\overline{M}) = \gamma(M)$  under reversing orientation,  $\gamma(M \setminus Y) = \gamma(M)$  under excision of homologically trivial submanifolds and*

$$\gamma(M \# N) = \frac{\gamma(M) + \gamma(N)}{1 + \gamma(M)\gamma(N)}$$

*under connected sum;*

continued...

...continued

(iii) If  $M', M''$  are connected, simply connected closed smooth 4-manifolds which are homeomorphic then (unfortunately)  $\gamma(M') = \gamma(M'')$ . Moreover if  $M$  is a closed, simply connected, smooth 4-manifold then

$$\gamma(M) = \frac{17^{b_2(M)} - 1}{17^{b_2(M)} + 1}$$

where  $b_2(M)$  is the second Betti number of  $M$ .  $\diamond$

Thus for instance  $\gamma(S^4) = 0$ ,  $\gamma(\mathbb{C}P^2) = \frac{8}{9}$  and for the K3 surface having  $b_2(K3) = 22$  already  $1 - \gamma(K3) \approx 1.70 \times 10^{-27}$  and the general asymptotics of  $\gamma$  in the simply connected case is

$$0 < 1 - \gamma(M) \approx e^{-\text{const. } b_2(M)} . \quad (6)$$

This indicates that this invariant maps four dimensional smooth structures into  $[0, 1)$  in a **logarithmic way**.

On the proof of this theorem:

First recall that if  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a  $\text{II}_1$  hyperfinite factor and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a (left)  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module then there exists a map  $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \dim_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathcal{H} \in [0, +\infty)$  called the  **$\mathfrak{R}$ -dimension** or the **Murray–von Neumann coupling constant** of the (left)  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is a unitary invariant of the representation and is an isomorphism between equivalence classes of all (left, surely **not irreducible**)  $\mathfrak{R}$ -modules and  $[0, +\infty)$ .

**Sketch:** Basically using the standard **GNS technique** alone, out of  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  as before, one constructs a Hilbert space  $\{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M)^\perp \subsetneq \mathcal{H}$  and a representation  $\rho_M := \pi|_{\mathcal{I}(M)^\perp}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$  on this Hilbert space. If  $P_M : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(M)^\perp$  is the orthogonal projection then  $P_M \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $\dim_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathcal{I}(M)^\perp = \tau(P_M) \in [0, 1)$  hence is an invariant of the representation. Finally one defines the invariant as

$$\gamma(M) := \tau(P_M) .$$

**More details:** Pick a pair  $(\Sigma, \omega)$  consisting of an (immersed) closed orientable surface  $\Sigma \looparrowright M$  with induced orientation and  $\omega \in \Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C})$  which is also closed i.e.,  $d\omega = 0$ . Consider the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear **functional**  $F_{\Sigma, \omega} : \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by continuously extending

$$A \longmapsto \int_{\Sigma} A\omega$$

from  $\text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathfrak{K}$ . This extension is unique because  $\text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C})) \cap \mathfrak{K}$  is norm-dense in  $\mathfrak{K}$ . Let  $\{0\} \subseteq I(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$  be the subset of elements  $A \in \mathfrak{K}$  satisfying  $F_{\Sigma, \omega}(A^*A) = 0$ . In fact for all pairs  $(\Sigma, \omega)$  we obviously find  $\{0\} \subsetneq I(M)$ . It turns out that  $I(M)$  is a multiplicative left-ideal in  $\mathfrak{K}$  which is independent of  $(\Sigma, \omega)$  and satisfies  $I(M) \cap \mathbb{C}1 = \{0\}$  hence is non-trivial if  $F_{\Sigma, \omega}(1) \neq 0$ ; and  $I(M) = \mathfrak{K}$  hence is again independent of  $(\Sigma, \omega)$  but trivial in this sense if  $F_{\Sigma, \omega}(1) = 0$ .

Consider the space  $\{0\} \subsetneq I(M)I(M)^* \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$  consisting of all finite sums  $A_1B_1 + \cdots + A_kB_k \in \mathfrak{K}$  where  $A_i \in I(M)$  and similarly  $B_j \in I(M)^*$ . (This subset is **self-adjoint** by construction). It gives rise to a closed linear subspace  $\{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}(M) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  by closing of  $C(M) \cap I(M)I(M)^*$  within  $\mathcal{H} \supset C(M)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  is a well-defined closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  which is non-trivial if  $F_{\Sigma, \omega}(1) \neq 0$  and coincides with  $\mathcal{H}$  whenever  $F_{\Sigma, \omega}(1) = 0$ . Take its orthogonal complementum  $\mathcal{I}(M)^\perp$ . Note that  $\mathcal{I}(M)^\perp$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{I}(M)$ . One checks that the standard representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{K}$  restricts to this subspace. Then **define**

$$\rho_M : \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{I}(M)^\perp) \text{ to be } \begin{cases} \pi|_{\mathcal{I}(M)^\perp} & \text{on } \mathcal{I}(M)^\perp \neq \{0\} \text{ if possible,} \\ \pi|_{\mathcal{I}(M)^\perp} & \text{on } \mathcal{I}(M)^\perp = \{0\} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The choice is unambiguously determined by the topology of  $M$ .

Then, as noted before, if  $P_M \in \mathfrak{K}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{I}(M)^\perp$  one puts  $\gamma(M) := \tau(P_M)$ .

**Jones' subfactor theory:** The subset  $I(M)I(M)^* \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$  is self-adjoint hence

$$\mathfrak{J}(M) := (\pi(C(M) \cap I(M)I(M)^*))'' \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is a von Neumann subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{K}$ ; moreover  $I(M)I(M)^*$  is a left-ideal too hence  $\mathfrak{J}(M)$  is a factor and it acts on  $\mathcal{I}(M) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  by the standard representation. These imply that

$$\gamma(M) = 1 - \frac{1}{[\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{J}(M)]}$$

where  $[\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{J}(M)]$  is the **Jones index** of  $\mathfrak{J}(M)$  as a subfactor of the hyperfinite  $II_1$  factor  $\mathfrak{K}$ . Jones' substantial observation in 1983 was that the set of Jones indices is equal to

$$\left\{ 4 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \mid n \geq 3 \right\} \cup [4, +\infty) .$$

**Computations in the simply connected closed case:** Take  $x \in [0, 1)$  and by the connected sum formula put recursively  
 $R_0(x) := 0, R_1(x) := x, \dots, R_k(x) := \frac{x + R_{k-1}(x)}{1 + xR_{k-1}(x)}, \dots$  implying

$$R_k(x) = \frac{(1+x)^k - (1-x)^k}{(1+x)^k + (1-x)^k} .$$

Put  $y := \gamma(\mathbb{C}P^2) = \gamma(\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}) \neq 0$ . Then for every **connected, simply connected, closed** 4-manifold  $M$  one finds

$$\gamma(M) = R_{b_2(M)}(y) .$$

(*Proof:* For every pair  $(M, N)$  of connected, simply connected closed 4-manifolds there exist integers  $k_1, l_1$  and  $k_2, l_2$  such that  $M \#_{k_1} \mathbb{C}P^2 \#_{l_1} \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \cong N \#_{k_2} \mathbb{C}P^2 \#_{l_2} \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ . Then put  $M$  arbitrary and  $N := S^4$  and apply the connected sum formula above.)

Finally one computes by hand using subfactor considerations that  $[\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{C}P^2)] = 9$  hence

$$y = 1 - \frac{1}{9} = \frac{8}{9}$$

as stated in the theorem.

**Interesting question:** All subfactors indices provided by smooth 4-manifolds do belong to the **wild range**  $[4, +\infty)$  of Jones' indices?



## Application to the cosmological constant problem

Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented (pseudo-)Riemannian 4-manifold satisfying the **vacuum Einstein equation**

$$\text{Ric} = \Lambda g \quad (7)$$

where  $\Lambda \approx 2.89 \times 10^{-122} \ell_{\text{Planck}}^{-2}$  is the **cosmological constant**. Let  $*$  :  $\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C})$  be the complexified Hodge operator having the shape  $*$  =  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}(\Omega_c^2(M; \mathbb{C}))$  hence  $*$   $\in \mathfrak{K}$ . If the full **complexified** Riemannian curvature also happens to satisfy  $R_g \in \mathfrak{K}$  then referring to (5) it is easy to see that (7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} R_g^* & = R_g & \text{(the curvature is symmetric)} \\ \tau(R_g^*) & = 0 & \text{(algebraic Bianchi identity)} \\ *R_g^* & = R_g & \text{(Einstein condition).} \end{cases}$$

The cosmological constant itself is recovered by taking trace:

$$\tau(R_g) = \frac{\Lambda}{3} .$$

Switching to a quantum mechanical language this latter equation formally means that  $\Lambda$  arises as the expectation value of measurements carried out on the space-time curvature (whatever this means).

These serve as motivations for the following **operator-theoretic reformulation of the classical vacuum Einstein equation** (with bounded curvature tensor):

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a connected oriented smooth 4-manifold and  $\mathfrak{R}$  its  $II_1$ -type hyperfinite factor von Neumann algebra as before.

- (i) A **refinement of  $\mathfrak{R}$**  is a pair  $(\mathfrak{R}, *)$  where  $1 \neq * \in \mathfrak{R}$  and satisfies  $*^2 = 1$ ;
- (ii) An operator  $Q \in \mathfrak{R}$  **solves the quantum vacuum Einstein equation** with respect to  $(\mathfrak{R}, *)$  if

$$\begin{cases} Q^* & = Q & \text{(self-adjointness)} \\ \tau(Q*) & = 0 & \text{(algebraic Bianchi identity)} \\ *Q* & = Q & \text{(Einstein condition)}. \end{cases}$$

- (iii) The trace  $\tau(Q) =: \frac{\Lambda}{3} \in \mathbb{R}$  is called the corresponding **quantum cosmological constant**.

Unlike the **classical** Einstein equation (7) which is a **non-linear** 2nd order PDE on **metrics** hence is extremely difficult to solve, the **quantum** one as defined here is a **linear** equation on bounded **operators** hence is easily solved. Indeed, given  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$  then  $S = \frac{1}{2}(B + B^*)$  is self-adjoint and then picking an arbitrary refinement  $(\mathfrak{A}, *)$  and taking into account that  $*$  is always self-adjoint,  $Q := \frac{1}{2}(S + *S*) - \tau(S^*)^* \in \mathfrak{A}$  is automatically a solution of the quantum vacuum Einstein equation; moreover using  $\tau(*) = 0$  its trace  $\frac{\Lambda}{3}$  is equal to  $\tau(B)$  hence is independent of the particular refinement.

Taking the (self-adjoint) projections  $P_M \in \mathfrak{K}$  used to construct  $\gamma(M)$  we find that the average  $Q_M$  of for instance  $1 - P_M$  i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_M &:= \frac{1}{2}(1 - P_M + *(1 - P_M)* ) - \tau((1 - P_M)*)* \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}(P_M + *P_M*) + \tau(P_M)* * \end{aligned}$$

solves the quantum vacuum Einstein equation moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Lambda}{3} \ell_{\text{Planck}}^2 &= \tau(Q_M) = 1 - \gamma(M) \\ &\in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right] \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \cos^{-2} \left( \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \mid n = \dots 5, 4, 3 \right\} \subset (0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

is automatically a **small but strictly positive** number!

Assuming that the space-time manifold  $M$  underlying the (observable) Universe is simply connected and interpreting  $b_2(M)$  as the number of **primordial black holes** around the **Planck era** one can use (6) together with the observed value of  $\Lambda$  to obtain an estimate

$$\begin{aligned} b_2(M) &\approx -\text{const.} \log(1 - \gamma(M)) = -\text{const.} \log \left( \frac{\Lambda}{3} \ell_{\text{Planck}}^2 \right) \\ &\approx 10^2 . \end{aligned}$$

This demonstrates that within our model the existence of very early primordial black holes is negligible. This result is consistent with other estimates based on the **Press–Schechter mechanism**.

Further details to be worked out...

## References:

G. Etesi: *The universal von Neumann algebra of smooth four-manifolds revisited*, preprint, 18pp, (2022), available at: [math.bme.hu/~etesi/qgravity55-revisited.pdf](http://math.bme.hu/~etesi/qgravity55-revisited.pdf);

G. Etesi: *The universal von Neumann algebra of smooth four-manifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **25**, 995-1024 (2021), arXiv: 1712.01828 [math-ph].

Thank you!