

① Let $f_t(\omega) := e^{itX(\omega)}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \Omega$. Then, as $t \rightarrow t_0$, $f_t(\omega) \rightarrow f_{t_0}(\omega)$

a.) for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover $|f_t(\omega)| \leq g(\omega) \equiv 1$ for $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ and $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable, so the dominated convergence theorem gives $\mathcal{N}(t) = \int_{\Omega} f_t(\omega) dP(\omega) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow t_0} \int_{\Omega} f_{t_0}(\omega) dP(\omega) = \mathcal{N}(t_0)$ \square

b.) ~~Let f_t~~ Fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $f_h(\omega) = \frac{e^{i(t_0+h)X(\omega)} - e^{it_0X(\omega)}}{h}$.

Since $t \rightarrow e^{it}$ is Lipschitz cont. with constant 1

(meaning $|e^{i(t+\Delta)} - e^{it}| \leq \Delta$), we have that

$$|f_h(\omega)| \leq |X(\omega)| =: g(\omega) \text{ for } \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

By assumption, this g is integrable.

Moreover, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f_h(\omega) = \frac{d}{dt} e^{itX(\omega)} = iX(\omega) e^{itX(\omega)}$ for $\forall \omega \in \Omega$,

so the dominated convergence theorem gives that

$$\mathcal{N}'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{N}(t+h) - \mathcal{N}(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f_h(\omega) dP(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} iX(\omega) e^{itX(\omega)} dP(\omega)$$

(and this exists $\in \mathbb{C}$).

$$\text{For } t=0, \mathcal{N}'(0) = \int_{\Omega} iX dP(\omega) = iEX. \quad \square$$

② $I_n := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \mathbb{E}\left(f\left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}\right)\right)$, where

X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d $\sim \text{Uni}[0,1]$.

Since $f: [0,1]$ is continuous it is also automatically bounded, so the weak law of large numbers, ~~says exactly~~ ^{which says} that

$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \text{Uni}[0,1] = \frac{1}{2}$ says in particular that

$$\underline{I_n \rightarrow f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}. \quad \square$$

(3) $\mathbb{E}X_i = P(X_i=1) = \frac{1}{4}$ for every i . If $|i-j| > 1$, then X_i and X_j are independent, so $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$.

Moreover, the X_i are uniformly distributed with finite variance, so $\text{Var} X_i \leq C_1$ and $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_{i+1}) \leq C_2$. These imply

that $\mathbb{E}S_n = n \cdot m$

$$\text{Var} S_n = \text{Cov}(S_n, S_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \leq n C_1 + 2(n-1) C_2 \leq C \cdot n$$

so $\mathbb{E} \frac{S_n}{n} = m$ and $\text{Var} \frac{S_n}{n} \leq \frac{C}{n} \rightarrow 0$.

So the standard argument gives that $\boxed{\frac{S_n}{n} \Rightarrow m}$: for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - m\right| > \varepsilon\right) \stackrel{\text{Chebys.}}{\leq} \frac{\text{Var} \frac{S_n}{n}}{\varepsilon^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

□

Summary: $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$ weakly.

(4) Let $S_{n,k}$ be i.i.d $\sim X$ for $n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ where

| | | | | |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| k | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| $P(X=k)$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |

Then $Z_0 = 1$ and $Z_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{Z_n} S_{n,k}$ by the definition of the branching process.

$$\mu := \mathbb{E}X = \frac{0+1+2+3}{4} = \frac{3}{2}, \text{ so an easy calculation shows}$$

that $\frac{Z_n}{\mu^n}$ is a martingale. Since $\frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} \geq 0$, the martingale

convergence theorem immediately gives that the limit $\theta := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z_n}{\mu^n}$ exists almost surely.

To see that the limit is not identically zero, we need to

calculate $\text{Var} \frac{Z_n}{\mu^n}$ and see that it converges to some

finite limit. In particular, $\sup_n \text{Var} \frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} < \infty$, so the L^2 martingale

convergence theorem ensures that $\frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} \rightarrow \theta$ also in L^2 , implying that $1 = \mathbb{E} \frac{Z_n}{\mu^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \theta$, so $\mathbb{E} \theta = 1$ meaning $\theta \neq 0$.

□

(5) Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $Y_n := 2^{X_n}$

$$E(Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} 2^{X_n-1} + \frac{1}{3} 2^{X_n+1} = 2^{X_n} & \text{on } X_n \notin \{-10, 10\} \\ \frac{2}{3} \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{X_n} = 2^{X_n} & \text{on } X_n \in \{-10, 10\} \end{cases} = Y_n,$$

so Y_n is indeed a martingale.

Y_n is bounded, so the martingale convergence theorem ensures that it is a.s. convergent. Of course, the limit has to be 2^{-10} or 2^{10} (everywhere else the flea keeps jumping distance 1 at each step). So an endpoint has to be reached a.s.

Let T be the stopping time $T := \min\{n : X_n \in \{-10, 10\}\}$.

Then we have seen that $P(T < \infty) = 1$, and since Y_n is bounded, the optional stopping theorem gives $EY_T = EY_0 = 2^0 = 1$.

$$\text{But } \begin{cases} EY_T = P(X_{\infty} = -10) \cdot 2^{-10} + P(X_{\infty} = 10) \cdot 2^{10} = 1 \\ P(X_{\infty} = -10) + P(X_{\infty} = 10) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Solving this system of equations gives

$$P(X_{\infty} = -10) = \frac{1}{1+2^{20}} = \frac{1024}{1025}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{\infty} = 10) &= \frac{2^{10}}{1+2^{20}} \\ P(X_{\infty} = 10) &= \frac{1}{1025} \end{aligned}$$