## EXISTENCE OF LOCAL INVARIANT MANIFOLDS FOR THE SINGULAR CAT.

## DOMOKOS SZÁSZ

Let  $T_A \equiv Ax \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  an algebraic auto of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  with invariant measure  $\mu = Leb$ . Here, for simplicity,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Its singular version T is an endo of the unit square  $Q = [0,1]^2$  with the same invariant measure. (By a simple argument the ergodicity of T is a direct consequence of that of  $T_A$ .) Denote  $S_n = T^n \partial Q : n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . **Facts:** 

- (1) the set of discontinuities of *T* is  $S_{-1}$ ;
- (2) for  $n \ge 1$ , the set of discontinuities of  $T^n$  is  $\bigcup_{l=1}^{l=n} S_{-l}$ ;
- (3) for  $n \ge 1$ , the set of discontinuities of  $T^{-n}$  is  $\bigcup_{l=1}^{l=n} S_l$ .

Denote  $S\mathcal{R}_+ = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} S_l$  and  $S\mathcal{R}_- = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} S_{-l}$ . (Note: *T* is an auto on  $Q \setminus (SR_- \cup SR_+)$ .) Reminder:

$$\gamma^{s(u)}(x) = \{y | \lim_{n \to \infty} dist(T^{n(-n)}x, T^{n(-n)}y) = 0\}$$

therefore

$$\gamma^{s(u)}(x) = \{x + te^{s(u)} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

**Definition 1.** *Consider the subinterval*  $[x, y] \in \gamma^s(x)$ *.* 

 $\gamma_{loc}^{s}(x) := \{y \in \gamma^{s}(x) | \forall n \ge 0 \text{ the interval } T^{n}[x, y] \text{ never intersects } SR_{-}\}$ or in other words

$$\gamma_{loc}^{s}(x) := \{y \in \gamma^{s}(x) | \forall n \ge 0 \ T^{n} \text{ is continuous on } [x, y] \}$$

**Definition 2.** *Consider the subinterval*  $[x, y] \in \gamma^s(x)$ *.* 

 $\gamma_{loc}^{u}(x) := \{y \in \gamma^{u}(x) | \forall n \leq 0 \text{ the interval } T^{n}[x, y] \text{ never intersects } SR_{+} \}$ or in other words

$$\gamma_{loc}^{u}(x) := \{ y \in \gamma^{u}(x) | \forall n \le 0 \ T^{-n} \text{ is continuous on } [x, y] \}$$

**Theorem 1.** (Existence of local invariant manifolds.) For *a. e.*  $x \in Q$ ,  $\exists \delta(x) > 0$ , *s. t.*  $|\gamma_{loc}^{u}(x)|, |\gamma_{loc}^{s}(x)| > 2\delta(x)$  holds.

*Proof.* Denote length measured along  $\gamma_{loc}^{u}$  by  $d^{u}$  and the unstable eigenvalue of A by  $\lambda^{u} > 1$ . Let  $\alpha > 1$  and c > 0. By simple geometry,

(1) 
$$\mu\{x|d^u(x,S_0) \le \varepsilon\} \le const.\varepsilon$$

By Definition 2 we need:

for a. e. 
$$x \in Q \exists \delta(x) > 0$$
, s. t. $\forall n \ge 0 d^u(x, S_n) > \delta(x)$ 

i. e.

for a. e. 
$$x \in Q \exists \delta(x) > 0$$
, s.  $t. \forall n \ge 0 d^u(T^{-n}x, S_0) > \frac{\delta(x)}{(\lambda^u)^n}$ 

By (??) and measure preserving

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\mu(d^u(x,S_0)\leq \frac{c}{n^{\alpha}})=\sum_{n\geq 0}\mu(d^u(T^{-n}x,S_0)\leq \frac{c}{n^{\alpha}})<\infty$$

thus, by Borel-Cantelli, for a. e. point *x* – apart from a finite set of indices  $n \ge 0$  –

$$d^u(T^{-n}x,S_0)>\frac{c}{n^\alpha}$$

Consequently for a. e. *x* 

$$\exists c(x) > 0 \ s. \ t. \ \forall n \ge 0 \ d^u(T^{-n}x, S_0) > \frac{c(x)}{n^{\alpha}}$$

or equivalently

$$\forall n \ge 0 \ d^u(x, S_n) > (\lambda^u)^n \frac{c(x)}{n^{\alpha}}$$

Let  $\delta(x) := \min_{n \ge 0} ((\lambda^u)^n \frac{c(x)}{n^{\alpha}}) > 0$ . Now it is easy to see that the theorem holds with this function  $\delta(x)$ .

**Remark.** The proof, of course, works in the same way, for any hyperbolic algebraic auto of  $\mathbb{T}^d$  : d > 2. For d > 2, the linear map A may have eigenvalues outside the unit circumference in conjugate pairs. Then one uses the eigenvalues of  $(AA^*)^{1/2}$ . In the general case of hyperbolic diffeos of a compact Riemannian manifold with an invariant measure one uses Oseledec' theorem for defining the real Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{d^s} < 0 < \lambda_{d^s+1} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{d^s+d^u}$ , and then the definition of the invariant manifolds  $\gamma^u(x), \gamma^s(x)$  is itself non-trivial. However, if a hyperbolic diffeo of a compact Riemannian manifold with an invariant measure – like a semi-dispersing billiard – has (not too pathological) singularities, then under rather general conditions the above procedure is still applicable to show that local invariant manifolds exist almost everywhere.

2

EXISTENCE OF LOCAL INVARIANT MANIFOLDS FOR THE SINGULAR CAT. 3